Principal Authors

Michael H. Boldt and Ryan M. Poor
Ice Miller LLP

John McNerney, general counsel of the Mechanical Contractors Association of America, Inc., served as this publication’s contributing editor.

Manolis Boulukos and J. David Campbell of Ice Miller LLP and Adrienne Breedlove of the MCAA staff also contributed to this edition of the book.

Ice Miller LLP and the Mechanical Contractors Association of America, Inc. have made every effort to ensure this publication is as complete and accurate as possible, but no warranty of fitness is implied. The information provided is on an “as is” basis. The author and MCAA shall have neither liability nor responsibility for errors or omissions, nor is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of the information contained herein. The information contained herein should not be construed as legal advice. The reader must consult with legal counsel to determine how laws or decisions discussed herein apply to the reader’s specific circumstances.

© 2012, Ice Miller LLP and the Mechanical Contractors Association of America, Inc.
All rights reserved.

Published: October 2012

The material contained herein is owned by the Mechanical Contractors Association of America, Inc. (MCAA) and Ice Miller LLP (Ice Miller) and is protected under the copyright laws of the United States of America (Title 17, United States Code) as well as the copyright laws of other jurisdictions. The duplication, reproduction, exhibition, dissemination, or transmission of this publication in any form by any means without the prior written consent of the MCAA and Ice Miller is strictly prohibited.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**INTRODUCTION** – Michael H. Boldt, Ice Miller LLP

**PART 1: PREPARING AND ORGANIZING FOR NEGOTIATIONS**

1.1 NEGOTIATION: WHAT IS IT?  
1.2 GETTING A NEGOTIATION PARTNER/ADVERSARY  
   1.2.1 "You Pick" Situations  
   1.2.2 "You Don’t Pick" Situations  
1.3 DEFINE GOALS AND ALTERNATIVES  
   1.3.1 Situation 1 – Negotiating with a Supplier  
   1.3.2 Situation 2 – Buying a Car  
   1.3.3 Situation 3 – Collective Bargaining Agreement  
1.4 ANALYZE THE SITUATION  
1.5 EXERCISING LEVERAGE  
1.6 CONCLUSION ON NEGOTIATION GENERALLY  
1.7 NEGOTIATION AND COLLECTIVE BARGAINING  
   1.7.1 Nature of Collective Bargaining  
   1.7.2 General Rules/Concepts  
1.8 SELECTION OF THE NEGOTIATING TEAM  
   1.8.1 Negotiator’s Role and Characteristics  
   1.8.2 Roles of Other Team Members  
   1.8.3 Future Negotiations/ Succession Planning  
   1.8.4 Selecting and Using Legal Counsel  
1.9 SELECTING A MEETING PLACE  
1.10 TIMING OF MEETINGS  
1.11 ORGANIZING AND ANALYZING DATA  
1.12 DEVELOPING A STRATEGY  
   1.12.1 Deciding on an Approach  
   1.12.2 Developing Goals  
   1.12.3 Initial Preparation – Some Questions to Ask and Answer  
      1.12.3.1 Bargaining Unit Operations
1.12.3.2 Management Attitudes, Strengths, Weaknesses | 16
1.12.3.3 Summary of Previous Negotiations | 16
1.12.3.4 Current vs. Prior Agreements | 16
1.12.3.5 Side Agreements | 17
1.12.3.6 Grievance Analysis | 17
1.12.3.7 Jurisdictional Disputes | 17
1.12.3.8 Analysis of Union Team and their Probable Demands | 17
1.12.3.9 Open Shop Growth and Competition | 17
1.12.3.10 New Legal Requirements | 17
1.12.3.11 Market Outlook – The Economics | 18
1.12.3.12 Other Craft Settlements | 18
1.12.3.13 Management Aims | 18

**PART 2: SETTING MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND PREPARING PROPOSALS** | 19

2.1 LOCAL BARGAINING BACKGROUND | 19
2.2 SETTING MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES | 19
2.3 PREPARING MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS | 20

**PART 3: THE LAW OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING: THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT** | 21

3.1 GENERAL PURPOSES | 21
3.2 METHODS OF DETERMINING REPRESENTATION QUESTIONS UNDER SECTION 9(A) | 22
  3.2.1 Voluntary Recognition | 22
  3.2.2 Certification | 23
  3.2.3 Bargaining Orders | 24
3.3 UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES | 24
  3.3.1 Employer Unfair Labor Practices | 24
  3.3.2 Union Unfair Labor Practices | 26
  3.3.3 Prohibited Boycott Agreements | 27
  3.3.4 Filing of Unfair Labor Practice Charges | 27
  3.3.5 Establishment of Bargaining Relationship in the Construction Industry | 28
3.4 THE EMPLOYER IN NEGOTIATIONS | 30
3.4.1 Multiemployer Bargaining in the Construction Industry 30
3.4.2 Single-Employer Bargaining 31
   3.4.2.1 Withdrawal from Multiemployer Bargaining 32
   3.4.2.2 "Most Favored" Employer Clauses 33
3.4.3 Coordinated Bargaining 34
3.4.4 Strict Assignments of Bargaining Rights 35

3.5 THE UNION IN NEGOTIATIONS 37
3.6 PROJECT AGREEMENTS 38
   3.6.1 One Employer, One Craft, One Project 38
   3.6.2 All Employers, All Crafts, One Project 38

3.7 FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE (FMCS) 39
3.8 DUTY TO BARGAIN 40
   3.8.1 Nature of Collective Bargaining 40
   3.8.2 Duty to Supply Information 41
   3.8.3 Remedy for Not Bargaining in Good Faith 42

3.9 MANDATORY/PERMISSIVE/ILLEGAL SUBJECTS OF BARGAINING 43
   3.9.1 Section 9(a) Contractors 43
   3.9.2 Section 8(f) Contractors 46

3.10 SPECIFIC LEGAL ISSUES TO ANTICIPATE DURING BARGAINING 47
   3.10.1 Subcontract Clauses 47
      3.10.1.1 Union Signatory Clauses 47
      3.10.1.2 Work Preservation Clauses 48
      3.10.1.3 Area Standards Clauses 49
   3.10.2 Anti-Dual Shop Clauses 49
   3.10.3 The Union Political Action Committee Check-Off 51
   3.10.4 Industry Fund Contributions 51
   3.10.5 Substance Abuse Testing Clauses 53
      3.10.5.1 Unscheduled ("Random") Testing 53
      3.10.5.2 "For-Cause" Testing 53
      3.10.5.3 Post-Incident/Accident or Injury Testing 53
      3.10.5.4 Pre-Employment Testing 53
3.10.6 Hiring Hall Clauses
3.10.7 Jurisdiction Claimed in Union Constitution vs. Jurisdiction in Collective Bargaining Agreement
3.10.8 Craft Jurisdiction/Jurisdictional Dispute Issues
3.10.9 Paid Holidays
3.10.10 Apprentice Day School – Paid Time
3.10.11 Contributions to Union Organizing Efforts
3.10.12 The Industrial Relations Counsel – The "Council" Clause

3.11 OTHER STATUTES THAT LIMIT BARGAINING CHOICES
3.11.1 Anti-Discrimination Statutes
3.11.2 ERISA
3.11.3 Occupational Safety & Health Act
3.11.4 Fair Labor Standards Act
3.11.5 State Laws

3.12 IMPASSE, STRIKES, LOCKOUTS AND YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS

APPENDICES
APPENDIX I: CONSTRUCTION SITE STRIKES, Picketing, AND BOYCOTTS
APPENDIX II: EXECUTIVE ORDER 11246: EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION FOR GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS
- Part 1: Sample Policy
- Part 2: The Sixteen Steps
- Part 3: OFCCP List of Goals for Minority and Female Employment
APPENDIX III: PREVENTING HARASSMENT
APPENDIX IV: DIGEST OF THE LM-2 OF EVERY UA LOCAL UNION FROM THE DOL/OLMS WEBSITE
INTRODUCTION

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

by Michael H. Boldt
Ice Miller LLP

If you are reading these materials, you are probably anticipating or preparing for, or are in the middle of, collective bargaining negotiations. You might be critiquing your past collective bargaining negotiations or preparing to assess the bargaining approaches of others in your organization. You may be a first-time participant wanting to know what to expect, an experienced business person who has recently entered into a bargaining relationship, or a seasoned veteran of the collective bargaining process looking for fresh ideas on approaching bargaining in the future. Whichever describes you, you are reading these materials because you have a desire to learn about the process of collective bargaining negotiations. With that in mind, this guide and legal analysis will cover both practical aspects of the negotiation process and the legal framework for bargaining. While this book refers to and discusses several statutes and National Labor Relations Board and court cases, the book is intended for a non-lawyer audience, so citations to statutes and the full legal citations to the cases are not included; lawyers who review the book should have no difficulty finding the cases from the basic citation information that is included. Much of the substance of these materials can be applied to collective bargaining negotiations generally. Some of the principles will be specific to the construction industry. Whatever your reason for reading these materials, it is our goal that you gain substantive knowledge that will improve your understanding of the bargaining process to assist you in preparing properly for negotiations and to make your bargaining experience more successful.
PART 1: PREPARING AND ORGANIZING FOR NEGOTIATIONS

1.1 NEGOTIATION: WHAT IS IT?

Everyone negotiates, practically all the time. We negotiate consciously and subconsciously. Obvious (conscious) negotiations include business deals (such as buying or selling a business, purchasing materials, purchasing insurance, purchasing automobiles), negotiating legal disputes, and collective bargaining. Non-obvious (subconscious) negotiations include family matters (such as where to go to dinner, where to go on vacation, when your kids will do their homework) and negotiations with colleagues at work (such as who will take a particular assignment and office location).

The same basic principles are involved in all of these negotiations. Tactics will vary from situation to situation. Ultimately, however, you seek to achieve a goal through negotiations. To succeed, you need to have a plan.

There are only three basic ways to get others to agree with you:

- you can convince them to agree;
- you can buy their agreement; or,
- you can force them to agree.

In this section, this book discusses how to negotiate. What are the basic principles? What do you need to negotiate successfully? We discuss negotiations in general first, and then deal with special considerations regarding collective bargaining.

1.2 GETTING A NEGOTIATION PARTNER/ADVERSARY

It seems obvious, but remember it "takes two to tango." In order to negotiate, there must be someone to negotiate with. Sometimes you pick your adversary, sometimes you do not. What unfolds in negotiations is often affected by this basic difference.

1.2.1 "YOU PICK" SITUATIONS

Many times the "you pick your adversary" situations are also obvious – buying a car, buying a house, buying insurance. Even if you face a situation in which you need a car, a house, or insurance, you still choose a car dealer or seller, a real estate agent or home owner, or an insurance agent to deal with.

1.2.2 "YOU DON’T PICK" SITUATIONS

Some of the "you don't pick your adversary" situations are also obvious – settling an auto accident claim or negotiating about a raise with your boss. One not so obvious situation in which you do not pick your adversary is when negotiating a collective bargaining agreement. In the construction industry, while you may have selected which unions you will deal with, you do not pick the unions' negotiators.

1.3 DEFINE GOALS AND ALTERNATIVES

Before you begin negotiating, you need to know what you want – define your ultimate objective. Superficially, what you want again seems obvious – if you are negotiating with an owner, you want to be selected to be the contractor; if you are negotiating with a union, you want a new collective bargaining agreement; if you are negotiating with a supplier, you want a reasonable price and reliable delivery.

However, to negotiate effectively, that is not enough. You need to define alternatives in advance of negotiating so that you know what you will do if you do not get exactly what you want.

Why is it important to define your alternatives in advance of actually negotiating? After all, if you do not get exactly what you want, you will just recalibrate and "see what you can get" – correct?

That is true in a sense, but knowing in advance is a question of peace of mind, and even more importantly a question of leverage.

There is a general term, or acronym, used to describe this analysis of what you will do if you do not get exactly what you want. The first time we saw the term, it was in the book Negotiating to Yes by Fisher and Ury of the Harvard Negotiation Project. It is B-A-T-N-A. What does that stand for?

It is an acronym for:

Best
Alternative
To
Negotiated
Agreement

You need to know your BATNA to decide how you are going to approach negotiations. You need to know how hard a stance to take in support of your position. It, more than anything else, tells you how
aggressive you can be in going after your ultimate goal, i.e., how much leverage do you have? If your BATNA is acceptable, you can afford to be firm in pursuing your objective.

The following examples illustrate the importance of this point.

1.3.1 SITUATION 1 – NEGOTIATING WITH A SUPPLIER

Your ultimate goal in this case is to purchase supplies from a reliable supplier at a good price. What do you need to consider to develop your BATNA?

Obviously, the principal question to address is whether there are alternate reliable suppliers in the area. If you have the option of more than one supplier to deal with, you have leverage in dealing with any particular supplier. Conversely, if there is no other "game in town," you have little or no leverage and must figure out how to conjure some leverage or be faced with a "take it or leave it" offer from the one available supplier.

1.3.2 SITUATION 2 – BUYING A CAR

The first question is to be honest with yourself: do you need a car or simply want one?

If you simply want one, you have leverage, at least if you are disciplined enough that you won’t buy one if you don’t get the deal you want. However, if you have no other means of getting around and you really need a car, the salesman has the leverage.

1.3.3 SITUATION 3 – COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT

As you approach negotiations, you know that your ultimate goal is to get a new agreement. If you do not reach an agreement initially, consider what you and the union will do. Will the union strike? If so, can you “take” it?

If the answer to those two questions is "yes," then relax and put the union in the position of having to strike if it does not agree with what you want – you have the leverage.

If the answer to the first question is "yes," the union will strike, and the answer to the second question is, "no," you cannot take it, then you need an agreement. The union has the leverage and you must plan in advance how to manage your and, more importantly, the union’s, expectations to see that you get an agreement on some terms even if they are not optimally what you want.

If the answer to the first question is "no," and you know the union will not strike, you may have leverage even if you cannot take a strike because the union’s need to avoid one may be even greater than your difficult position. How will you play that hand?

Practical Tip:
Taking a Strike

For a multi-employer bargaining unit (MEBU), “taking a strike” means maintaining MEBU cohesion. Will the members of the MEBU allow their projects to sit idle long enough – and refuse to sign interim agreements – to send the message to the union that the strike will not cause the employers to change their position? Will the owners of ongoing projects support the strike, recognizing that the employers’ goals are to keep labor costs, and thus the owners’ costs, under control? Are projects governed by PLAs with no-strike clauses going to undermine the effectiveness of “taking the strike”? These are among the factors that must be taken into account when evaluating whether the MEBU can “take a strike.”

1.4 ANALYZE THE SITUATION

Analyze your BATNA in every situation.

If you know what you are going to do if you do not get all of what you want – and you can live with it – RELAX! Because in that case, you:

- Still have to negotiate
- Still have to discuss
- Still have to cajole
- But YOU CAN’T LOSE

If you know what you must do if you do not get all of what you want, and you cannot live with it, you have to make a deal at some price. At least knowing that in advance should promote more rational decision-making when having to settle for less than optimum choices.

1.5 EXERCISING LEVERAGE

Assume you have leverage. Should you exercise it? The answer is different in different contexts.

Consider the question of leverage in non-business negotiations such as a car purchase, a house purchase, or family matters. How does the answer whether to exercise all the leverage you have in a given situation vary among those three types of negotiation?

When buying a car, most people believe that if they have any leverage, they had better exercise it. The assumption of the negotiation is that the dealership salesperson will be exercising any
leverage he/she has, and actually expects the customer to do the same. Additionally, it is a classic type of "you pick the adversary" negotiation discussed earlier. You know that you picked the dealership, and probably the salesperson at that dealership, and you never need to do business with that dealership or person again if you choose not to, so there is little downside to exercising all the leverage you have.

When buying a house, it is similar, but not the same. Certainly, "you pick the adversary" within reason. Houses, especially older homes, are not as much of a fungible commodity as cars, and the universe of real estate agents and homeowners you may have to choose from is more limited. You may find yourself dealing with the same real estate agent again if he/she has another house listing that you are interested in at a later date. The homeowner may be offended by a too-aggressive stance on your part, and choose not to deal with you if you exercise leverage ruthlessly.

Family matters are a very delicate issue for most people. After all, you live with your spouse and children. Exercising leverage as totally as you can could be a very bad idea if you value your marriage and home life.

Are there different considerations of leverage in commercial negotiations? In construction, what factors do you need to consider in connection with negotiating with owners, suppliers and unions? Are they different from one another?

Owners vary in sophistication, and in the extent of their participation in the construction marketplace. Some owners may be experienced developers of commercial properties whose skills and approach may be much the same as the car salesperson – professional, expecting, in a non-bidding situation, that you will exercise all the leverage you have, and prepared to do the same in return. Others may be building a commercial building as a once-in-a-career project for a corporate headquarters or the like, and may be offended by heavy-handed tactics just as a homeowner would; to some extent this may go to how much leverage you have as opposed to how aggressively you should exercise it, but you had better think about it.

Suppliers are similar to the car salespeople, except for the fact that you are almost certain to need to deal with them again in the future on projects when you do not have all the leverage. The question here is whether you negotiate a really hard bargain this time, or take a less aggressive stance, letting the supplier know it, so that you may establish a favorable relationship for the future.

Unions, as is discussed in the next section of this book, occupy a different place in the negotiating universe than all of the negotiating adversaries referred to previously. They are the ultimate "you don't pick" adversary with whom, in many instances, you have a legal duty to negotiate, and with whom you are virtually guaranteed to negotiate on many future occasions and under many different economic conditions. Each time you negotiate with a union, you know that you are creating part of a negotiating history that you will live with – good or bad.

1.6 CONCLUSION ON NEGOTIATION GENERALLY

Many times, all of the thought process described above is subconscious, and some of you may have been doing a great job instinctively for a long time. However, you will inevitably be a better negotiator if you make an effort to approach it consciously, and analyze your BATNA and your leverage in every negotiation. All of that could and should be employed in all negotiating.

1.7 NEGOTIATION AND COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

1.7.1 NATURE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING

Collective bargaining in the United States is an oddity, because it is seemingly contrary to the American ideals of capitalism and the free-market economy. In fact, collective bargaining is a permitted type of restraint of trade and price fixing; the employees, through their union, are allowed to threaten collectively to withhold, and actually withhold, their "product" – labor – to coerce a business or a collection of businesses into agreeing to their demands. Once their demands have been negotiated and reduced to writing, the result is an agreement that fixes the price of the "product" for a fixed term in a fixed area, and may restrain individuals who are covered by the agreement from selling their labor at any greater or lesser price to that business or group of businesses. In almost any other context in this country, such agreements to restrain trade and fix prices are violations of civil laws – antitrust laws – punishable by triple damages. Sometimes violations result in criminal penalties as well.

Collective bargaining is the equivalent of drafting a private system of laws – creating legislation to govern the relationship between a group of employees and their employer or employers, usually
over a period of years. To engage in collective bargaining effectively, you must realize that it is an exercise in which "fairness" and "equity" are not necessarily the basis on which a bargain is struck, or even the measure of a good bargain. While we recommend that a sense of fair play be employed so that a generally equitable bargain is struck, the ultimate test of collective bargaining negotiations is not fairness, but "acceptability" – that is, when one side's position is acceptable (which may or may not also be fair or equitable) to the other side, there will be a contract, and not before.

Caution:

Collective bargaining is subject to some antitrust rules

Antitrust is still a relevant topic for collective bargaining. In one well publicized case, the National Electrical Contractors Association, Inc. (NECA) and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) were sued by the National Constructors Association (NCA) over an alleged price-fixing scheme involving a mandatory contribution for non-NECA members to an industry promotion fund. Under the terms of the NECA-IBEW national agreement, the industry fund contribution was required for "all construction agreements in the electrical industry" – meaning that the IBEW had to include the contribution in all of its agreements, even with non-NECA members. NCA alleged that it had enjoyed a competitive advantage prior to the institution of the mandatory contribution because its members neither paid the contribution nor due to NECA. NCA argued that the industry fund contribution was an attempt by NECA to eliminate that competitive advantage, and that it constituted price-fixing in violation of the Sherman Act. The courts agreed, holding that the requirement to include the contribution in all IBEW contracts constituted price fixing, and they placed a permanent injunction on NECA and the IBEW, prohibiting them from entering into, maintaining or enforcing the contribution clause.

Accordingly, it is important to emphasize that price-fixing and antitrust issues are not limited to the boardrooms of corporate America, but also apply directly to bargaining in the construction industry. Any clauses affecting competitive advantages should be discussed with legal counsel before they are agreed to or implemented so as to avoid the years of costly litigation that the parties in this case endured.

1.7.2 General Rules/Concepts

We are going to devote the initial portion of these materials to the preparation necessary for successful bargaining, starting with selection of a bargaining team and a chief spokesman. First, however, some very general rules and concepts about the overall negotiation process are in order. These concepts will be helpful in understanding and applying all of the rest of the materials in this portion of the book.

First, good negotiators try to make bargaining a win/win situation. You have to live with the other side all through the term of the agreement you negotiate, so one goal should be to make it livable for both sides. Many inexperienced management negotiators often forget that (absent some change in circumstances) they will have to negotiate with the union again when the agreement expires. If you make the union a big loser this time around, you can bet it will be much harder to achieve your goals the next time around.

Second, stick to the issues that are on the table. Do not attack the other side or its negotiator personally. It hurts your credibility and may affect the relationship throughout the agreement if you stray from the central purpose of attaining a good agreement.

Practical Tip:

Create safeguards against bargaining theatrics, abusive conduct

If the other side resorts to personal attacks, theatrical outbursts, or rude behavior, just adjourn until the next meeting date. Consider the practice followed by some MEBUs of walking out at the first instance of such conduct. This sends the message that you will listen when they behave, and not until then. It is always a good idea to set the next meeting arrangements at the beginning of any negotiating session. That way, if a walk-out is necessary, it does not create a procedural impasse (discussed below) regarding the next meeting.

Third, keep an open mind. The union may propose alternative solutions for issues that will work just as well as your original idea. Keeping an open mind does not mean abandoning your objectives; it means simply remaining open to considering different ways of achieving those objectives.

Fourth, bargaining is about solving problems and dealing with issues, not securing specific positions or proposals. A proposal is just one possible solution to a problem – a solution that someone has identified. Consider concentrating on the problem and leaving the drafting of language until after you and the union have agreed on the solution. When parties become fixated on proposed language, they can lose sight of the intention or
reasoning behind that language, and that can inhibit solving the problem and reaching an agreement.

Fifth, listen to the other side's proposals. Do not cut the union off in mid-explanation. This goes along with the "open mind" principle. Even if you think the union's proposal will not solve the problem or issue (as you see it), you may be able to learn how the union is thinking, and redirect its proposal by using its own reasoning. The ability to be a good listener is a skill that all members of a bargaining team should possess.

Sixth, do not bargain with yourself. If you have made a proposal on an issue, don't make another move on it if the union has not countered or otherwise responded. Why should you, in effect, counter your own proposal? It is natural to want to reach the end result as quickly as possible, and this drives some negotiators to anticipate the union's counter with a new proposal before a response has been received, but you should avoid this temptation.

Seventh, recognize that there is a psychological aspect to the process of proposals and counter-proposals that cannot be excluded from bargaining. It is not necessarily efficient in all cases, but you will never know what agreement you could have reached if you do not allow the process to work as it should.

Finally, try to avoid procedural impasses. It is almost impossible to reach an agreement if you get into a standoff, where each side thinks it is the other's turn to make a proposal or where neither side will make a move from its previous position. Whoever moves to break this impasse is perceived as losing face, and if neither side moves, the dispute cannot be resolved even if the parties are otherwise reasonably close to reaching an agreement. This can be avoided by making it clear at the outset what the pattern of proposals will be, and by addressing the procedural issue with regard to specific proposals if any questions do arise.

1.8 SELECTION OF THE NEGOTIATING TEAM

Collective bargaining negotiations rarely take place between two individuals. Each side usually selects a team of representatives to negotiate on its behalf. There are at least three different roles that must be filled in the negotiating process: 1) chief negotiator/spokesperson; 2) fact person (knowledgeable about industry economics and players); and 3) note taker/scribe. Each of these roles serves a specific purpose at the bargaining table. Accordingly, each role should be filled by a person specifically qualified for that role. One person may make an excellent spokesperson, but that same person may be ill-equipped to respond to requests for information about the industry and will not have time to take detailed notes of the proceedings. Another person may possess a deep understanding of the industry and the employer group, but may lack the experience and patience to be the spokesperson or the organizational skills to be the recordkeeper. The recording secretary should have sufficient knowledge and background in the industry to discern the significant elements of the discussion. It is therefore essential to take some time to think about who should be participating at the negotiating table and what their specific roles will be.

Practical Tip: Choose your bargaining team carefully; consider the broad range of perspectives, and be aware that neither side can object to the other teams' members.

Traditionally, construction industry multiemployer bargaining teams have been comprised exclusively of company principals. In some cases, association executives serve on the committee, and in some few instances the association executive is the lead negotiator or even the sole negotiator. It is more common, however, for the company principals to lead and conduct the bargaining. It is rare for association attorneys or other professional negotiators to participate at the table. However, in recent years, and perhaps in response to the growing practice of selective union job actions against employers on the bargaining committee, local MEBUs are increasingly turning to the use of attorneys or paid professional collective bargaining representatives to conduct the negotiations. It is settled NLRB law that the composition of either side's bargaining group is not a mandatory subject of bargaining. Stated differently, the other side may not legitimately object to whomever the MEBU chooses to have conduct the bargaining; neither may the employer group object or seek to bargain about who represents the union at the table. An unfair labor practice charge may be necessary in extreme cases when a party refuses to meet because of who is on the other’s bargaining team.

1.8.1 NEGOTIATOR'S ROLE AND CHARACTERISTICS

The chief negotiator/spokesperson position is the most significant on the team and sometimes the most difficult position to fill. The chief negotiator should be someone with experience in negotiating collective bargaining agreements, preferably someone at least as experienced as the union's chief negotiator. He or
she must possess good negotiating instincts – which are as much art as science – such as the ability to read other people and anticipate their actions. It is also necessary for the spokesperson to have a general knowledge of the operations of the industry and its economics, and he or she should be at least somewhat familiar with the law of collective bargaining, so as to avoid inadvertent unfair labor practices or unintentionally obligating the employers to provide financial or other private information. Also, it is advantageous if the spokesperson is an articulate speaker and is good at drafting and revising written proposals precisely.

In addition to these qualifications, there are several personal characteristics that make a good chief negotiator, such as patience (it is said that true genius is nothing but a greater capacity for patience); humor (to relieve tension and create rapport); a thick skin ("he who is slow to anger appeaseth strife"); and integrity (personal integrity adds institutional credibility, which is a fundamental element of a successful negotiation). Finally, it is essential that the chief negotiator is respected – by both the management group and the union. He or she must either have that respect already or be someone you know can attain it quickly.

Selecting the negotiator. It is generally advisable to avoid selecting your organization's ultimate authority figure (CEO/decision maker/chairman of the Labor Relations Committee) for the chief negotiator position. Although you might think it makes logical sense to have the person with ultimate authority sitting at the table to make decisions, it does not make sense from a negotiating standpoint because it greatly reduces your bargaining options. If a party needs to discuss an issue with a higher authority before reaching a decision, that party can avoid being forced to give an on-the-spot response. The need to get approval provides a buffer between the parties at the table (which helps to retain credibility and rapport – "it's not me that disagrees with you") and ensures that you will have time to discuss and consider the union's proposals and your answers before responding. It is important to reserve the same right of ratification as the union has (this is not automatic; you must reserve it specifically) in order to maintain an appropriate balance of decision-making leverage. This is not possible if the ultimate authority figure is sitting at the table.

Consistent with avoiding having the ultimate authority figure at the table, the limits on the authority of the chief negotiator should be clearly spelled out in advance of the negotiation and not left to assumption by the other team members or the multiemployer group. These limits must be made clear to the negotiator, to the rest of the team, and to the union at the appropriate time.

1.8.2 Roles of Other Team Members

Selecting the chief negotiator first is also important because you should know who will fill that role before selecting the other members of the negotiating team. The other members of the team should have personalities that are compatible with, and knowledge that is complementary to, that of the chief negotiator.

The roles of the fact person (information provider) and the note taker/scribe (recorder/secretary) are relatively clear. The fact person will be called on as necessary during private discussions to develop your position and at the table to provide the information necessary to support your position. The person selected for this role should be someone with the requisite knowledge and the credibility to support his or her statements. He or she should also be articulate and able to convey the information in a way that the union representatives will understand. Obviously, the ability to analyze wage and benefit demands and proposed language regarding other terms and conditions of employment objectively is key for this role. Objective analysis is essential to moving the process forward.

The recorder/secretary also should be selected carefully. While this role may seem menial and the position trivial, it is just as important as the other two; it is of critical importance. You may hope that no grievances or unfair labor practice charges will be filed during the term of the new agreement, but if they arise, negotiating history (notes, minutes) often can be very important to their resolution. Although the chief negotiator will likely take notes during the

Caution: The Bargaining Team Must Have Some Authority to Reach Agreement

Notwithstanding our comments here about leverage and tactics, it is absolutely critical, in a legal sense, that the persons at the bargaining table have the authority to agree on matters at some level without consulting a higher authority. For example, the bargaining team must be able to make a proposal on a subject and reach tentative agreement on it if the proposal is accepted by the union. Otherwise, the employer side may be found not to be bargaining in good faith.
process, it is not reasonable to expect or advisable that the chief negotiator be relied on to perform the recording function and still carry on the task of leading the discussion. The recorder/secretary should therefore be someone capable of making a thorough and accurate record of the negotiations. His or her notes should record all proposals and all discussions that take place at the table, by date, time, and speaker, and they should contain enough detailed information so that when you look at the notes months and years later it will be clear what was said and/or proposed.

**Practical Tip:**

**Electronic and stenographic recordings are permissible only with mutual agreement.**

Despite the importance of good records of what transpires in negotiations, it is an unfair labor practice to record verbatim bargaining sessions electronically unless both parties agree. It is even questionable whether stenographic recordings made by a professional court reporter are permissible without mutual agreement. Additionally, such verbatim recordings may be harmful to the bargaining process itself, if negotiators are aware that their every word will be recorded word-for-word. The better practice is to have someone knowledgeable enough about the industry and the issues designated to take detailed notes of salient points discussed.

In addition to the chief negotiator, fact person, and recorder/secretary, you will need labor relations staff to be available during the negotiations – either at the table or away from it. The staff should be able to access information on instant notice; assemble and organize it for use by the team; and know the objectives of the negotiation from the industry players' perspective.

### 1.8.3 Future Negotiations/Succession Planning

In selecting the team, also keep in mind the importance of experience and the need to train future negotiators. When you negotiate you will need experienced negotiators, because the union certainly has them. There are undoubtedly some experienced negotiators in your organization, but those experienced negotiators do not want to, and cannot, negotiate your agreements forever. When they step out of the picture, you do not want to send someone to take their place who has not seen or participated in a number of sessions from the planning stage through the negotiation and administration stages. Succession planning is crucial for bargaining teams in multiemployer construction bargaining.

Succession planning requires devotion of important human resources to the process. We have often heard construction employers complain, "I'm not in business to negotiate contracts; I'm in business to build buildings." This can be a dangerously shortsighted view if you are a union contractor and want to stay in business as one – it is important for your future leaders to know how to negotiate. As you compile your list of team members, remember that you need to include "up and comers" from influential members of the multiemployer group – people who will be, or already are, valuable to their employers in other capacities. Their employers should let them know that collective bargaining negotiations are important and that their performance on the negotiating team will count in their evaluation as potential long-term employees and leaders of their companies and the association.

### 1.8.4 Selecting and Using Legal Counsel

You also need to consider the need for and use of legal counsel in your collective bargaining negotiations. The law of collective bargaining is constantly changing, and the composition of the National Labor Relations Board (Board) (the administrative body charged with overseeing the law of collective bargaining) is also changing. Appointments to the Board are made by the President of the United States, and as the presidency changes hands between political parties it is not uncommon to see a shift in the Board's decisions as the political balance shifts. Congress also enacts new laws on a regular basis. For example, in recent years we have seen the amendment of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (which deals with discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, and other protected characteristics), and the creation of new rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Family and Medical Leave Act, passage of the Genetic Information Non-discrimination Act, the Pension Protection Act (affecting multiemployer pension plans) and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. Experienced labor legal counsel will know the law and understand the impact of the law at the bargaining table.

Usually, lawyers also are good wordsmiths. They are practiced in the art of drafting legally binding documents (which includes collective bargaining agreements) concisely and clearly. Anyone who has ever operated a business under or litigated about a poorly drafted agreement can attest
to the importance of careful drafting. The bottom line is that it is important to retain competent counsel and use him/her in the collective bargaining process – either at the table or away from it.

There are some important qualities in addition to drafting skill to consider in selecting legal counsel. First, make sure that he/she is a problem-solver and not a problem-creator; that is, a lawyer who is committed to helping clients solve problems rather than raising complications. Second, select a lawyer who is experienced with labor relations issues. A lawyer who devotes significant time to labor law will be a much greater asset than a general practitioner. Finally, choose a lawyer or a law firm with a good reputation in the legal community and the labor industry. A strong reputation can go a long way with a union before the parties even sit down at the table.

1.9 SELECTING A MEETING PLACE

Spend some time thinking about the time and place for meetings. The location of the meeting place can be particularly important. Although it may seem like a good idea to conduct negotiations on your own turf, we recommend that you always negotiate in a place that you can walk away from, if necessary. The ability to walk away from the table if offensive proposals are presented or if the other side becomes insulting or uncivil can create leverage – leverage that you will not have if you hold the meeting in your own offices. In selecting an appropriate space, you should plan on having available two or three rooms for caucuses/mediation. The location for the meetings should also be easy to get to, so you do not spend too much of your time traveling and so that you do not have to wait too long to have information or documents delivered to you from your association office. You should discuss with the union ahead of time who will be responsible for making the necessary arrangements and who will pay for meeting rooms.

1.10 TIMING OF MEETINGS

It is also helpful to decide ahead of time when you will meet and how long the meetings will last. Timing and duration can be important aspects of collective bargaining. You should plan on scheduling enough time to deal with all of the issues that might be presented, but you should not begin negotiations so far in advance that there is no pressure at all to come to an agreement. It is a natural "law" that the amount of work you have will expand or contract to fit the amount of time you have allotted for its completion. If you allow too much time, your negotiations will likely become bogged down on unimportant details. If you do not allow enough time, you may not have the opportunity to address all of the issues you need to resolve.

With regard to the duration of meetings, each of the negotiating sessions needs to be sufficiently long to be productive. Try to agree with the union in advance on the duration of meetings to avoid one side planning on a one hour meeting while the other plans on staying all day. But also recognize that once you get into a meeting, circumstances may change and schedules might have to be adjusted accordingly. As a general rule, try to avoid marathon sessions unless absolutely necessary. Marathon sessions reduce both sides' capacity for rational thought, and often turn negotiations into a "war of attrition."

Also put thought into scheduling time between meetings – enough time to review and compare proposals and to prepare responses. If you schedule your meetings too closely together, you increase the risk that you will make poor decisions. The time between meetings is not negotiation "down time" – it is critical work time.

1.11 ORGANIZING AND ANALYZING DATA

There are many acceptable systems for organizing your information. The one imperative is that you assemble the data in a useful format. Before
negotiations, decide whether you are going to use: 1) a bargaining book, with separate sections for the current agreement, union proposals, management proposals, tentative agreements, area and industry wage and benefit information, certain new laws; and/or 2) a laptop computer with word processing and spreadsheet software; or, 3) some other method. Regardless of the method selected, the important concept is that the data is available at the bargaining table, and that someone is there who is responsible for its maintenance and manipulation.

Having good information available to you is of little use if you do not have the tools to analyze it properly. You will certainly need a laptop computer on hand with Internet access. You may be able to find specific information that has already been analyzed and formatted. Information on UA/MCAA wage rates, benefits, and other general employment statistics are readily available from the MCAA, the Bureau of Labor Statistics and other government agencies, as well as the Construction Labor Research Council.' You should review these materials as a part of your pre-negotiation preparations and have them on hand to support your position at the table.

Before starting negotiations you should determine who will be responsible for collecting and maintaining the information. It could be a bargaining team member or a staff person in your office. However, the information needs to be accessible to the bargaining team at all times – both for tactical reasons (credibility and the ability to react) and legal reasons (the authority to bargain and bargain in good faith).

1.12 DEVELOPING A STRATEGY

Developing a strategy requires a systematic focus on outlining your approach to bargaining and your end goals in the mechanical, piping and service industries marketplace. Both of these items need to be addressed by your management team before bargaining begins.

1.12.1 DECIDING ON AN APPROACH

It is not necessary to know the extent of your authority or even the specific goals of the MEBU in order to develop the approach (or approaches) you will take to bargaining. However, developing an approach can be done more effectively if you have a general understanding of your bargaining goals. Will you be seeking to change the agreement dramatically? Maintain the status quo? Change a few items and allow the union to make some changes as well?

If you do not plan to change the agreement significantly, or at all, you may want to adopt a "reactive approach," where you wait for union proposals throughout. If you plan numerous changes to the agreement you may find that a "proactive approach" best suits your needs. If so, plan to make aggressive proposals and counterproposals to use as the basis for all future discussions. If there are likely to be important and common issues that will be addressed by both sides, a "win/win" or "get-to-yes" approach (otherwise referred to as "mutual gains" or "interest-based bargaining") will usually get you further than an emphasis on confrontation or bullying.

Caution:
Informing MEBU members on status of bargaining

The bargaining team should consider the extent to which such information and information about the status of bargaining should be shared with non-bargaining team members of the MEBU, and the manner for doing so. For example, a page on the association website may be effective, but may also be a source for leaks of sensitive information posted there. Decisions on information sharing in any form must be made keeping in mind the possibility that it may be shared with the Union and individual employees as well.

Practical Tip:
Make an Informed Choice

Bargaining can be adversarial or collaborative. Many MEBUs conduct bargaining the same way as they always have simply because that is the way it has always been done. There are several very good sources of information for bargaining teams on how to transform bargaining relations from adversary, zero-sum contests into "win/win" or interest-based market relations. While none of these approaches can be used to change a union's fiduciary duty to fairly represent its members' interests, they may be used to expand the union's understanding of its membership's interest in employer market competitiveness. The Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service provides this type of consulting to local bargaining groups for free, or in some cases for a nominal charge. In addition, numerous academic/university business school programs provide those same types of services for a fee. Contact MCAA staff for a list of references.

1 These resources are available online at www.mcaa.org in the Labor Agreement Reference file in the members-only section. The Bureau of Labor Statistics website is found at www.bls.gov.
1.12.2 DEVELOPING GOALS

Before you begin bargaining, you have to know what you are going to bargain about. As with any other business venture, you need to start by developing some business marketplace or work force goals. Developing goals is a multi-layered process that requires significant attention. The importance of this process cannot be overlooked, because the success of your negotiations will be both determined and measured by these goals. In other words, goals are the foundation that supports your position during bargaining and the parameters by which your success will be measured when negotiations are completed. The more time you spend developing accurate and attainable goals the more likely you are to succeed in attaining them, because you will understand the reasons for needing to attain them and you have the information to support those reasons. Likewise, your success will be measured by the extent to which you are able to meet your pre-selected goals – if you get more than you asked for, you may not have asked for enough; if you get less, you may have failed at the table. You can see how important accurate and attainable goals can be.

Your bargaining goals should be developed in concert with your business's and association's authority body – the membership of the association as a whole (through a survey or meetings) and/or the elected Labor Relations Committee. These groups are responsible for the ultimate well-being of your business and/or association. These groups may want input into strategy discussions also. However, the development of goals is separate from development of specific proposals, which is generally better accomplished without the ultimate authority group involved. That group obviously has the right to approve proposals, but remember the old adage that a "camel is nothing but a horse that was designed by a committee."

1.12.3 INITIAL PREPARATION – SOME QUESTIONS TO ASK AND ANSWER

In order to develop effective and attainable goals, you must first collect, organize, and review background information on the marketplace, the industry's performance, and previous negotiations. This process should begin once the team is in place and responsibilities have been allocated, but before bargaining begins. Although there could be no end to the types of information that might be relevant to developing goals, we suggest that you begin with the following subjects and answer the questions posed in each part.

1.12.3.1 BARGAINING UNIT OPERATIONS

For most of you as construction companies and associations, the bargaining unit is well settled. However, there remains an ongoing need to identify the work performed by each of the craft unions with which the multiemployer association and its members bargain and note areas of potentially overlapping jurisdiction. Changing technologies and new methods of performing the work often affect previously settled jurisdictional lines. Each employer in the multiemployer bargaining unit should keep information on the number of its employees working in the various trades; this is especially important for employers who are negotiating on their own instead of as part of a multiemployer group. So, a key question is – has the jurisdictional alignment among the crafts changed? Is it changing? Has the union attempted to expand the work it claims as coming within its jurisdiction? [See, for example, the discussion of BIM/CAD work.]

1.12.3.2 MANAGEMENT ATTITUDES, STRENGTHS, WEAKNESSES

Several questions should be answered about the multiemployer bargaining unit. Is everyone united behind the goal of getting a more competitive agreement? Is the multiemployer bargaining unit willing to take a strike? Do some contractor representatives have a special relationship with any of the unions or any of the particular union representatives? Do you have any "loose cannons" that you want to keep out of sensitive discussions?

You need to understand these strengths and weaknesses of your team and your constituency in order to make tactical decisions before and during the negotiations.

1.12.3.3 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS NEGOTIATIONS

Review what happened in previous negotiations. Did the contractor side obtain its objectives the last time? Did the union or contractor side make a last minute concession that might provide a clue to reactions to similar issues this time around? Were there any union "shenanigans" that you need to be on guard against this time? Did the contractors pull any "stunts" that are likely to make the union particularly wary, or out for revenge?

1.12.3.4 CURRENT VS PRIOR AGREEMENTS

Were there any changes made the last time, or even before that, that you want to reverse? Any former contract language which seemed to be
outmoded which might now be useful again because of differing economic conditions or legal requirements?

### 1.12.3.5 Side Agreements

During the term of the agreement which is expiring were any written side letters entered into? If so, should they be inserted into the main agreement? If they have outlived their usefulness, do you want to propose to eliminate them? Have any practices grown up during the term of the agreement that are now commonly in use and should be formalized in the agreement? Do some of those practices restrict productivity, and, if so, should they be specifically prohibited?

---

**Caution:**

**Side agreements may not be ignored**

To the extent that side agreements, written or unwritten, develop during the term of a collective bargaining agreement, they may become a custom, usage or practice that is part of the status quo that may not be changed unilaterally by an employer. As a result, such agreements do not simply expire or fade away at the end of a collective bargaining agreement. Even such agreements that concern permissive subjects of bargaining may prove to be problematic if they are not accounted for since unions or employees may seek to have them applied in the future, giving rise to disputes, perhaps even grievances. Side agreements should be identified and evaluated in preparation for negotiations.

---

### 1.12.3.6 Grievance Analysis

Were there any grievances filed during the term of the agreement? Should the resolutions of them be incorporated in the agreement? Or, should the agreement be changed to avoid the impact of grievance outcomes? Did the grievance process work well, or, if not, should it be changed?

---

### 1.12.3.7 Jurisdictional Disputes

What was the experience with jurisdictional disputes during the term of the prior agreement? Did any occur? What unions were involved? What was the outcome? Should you propose different procedures for resolving jurisdictional questions so they will not be as disruptive even if they do recur? Do you need to make complementary proposals in more than one set of negotiations so that all the parties will be bound to one jurisdictional dispute resolution procedure to minimize the possibility of work stoppages over them? Should you consider proposing changing the work jurisdiction description in one or more agreements? Are any technological changes likely to increase the possibility of jurisdictional disputes that you can anticipate and head off by changing the agreement?

### 1.12.3.8 Analysis of Union Team and Their Probable Demands

Try to identify who in the union you will be negotiating with, and assess their skills, abilities and approaches. Are they experienced? Do they have any particular conflicts with any members of the management team or any of the contractors? Are any of them running for re-election or newly elected? Will they need help from you in selling the agreement to their membership? Will they be looking for more money in wages, fringe benefits, or both? Are they more interested in hiring restrictions or other work rules or overtime issues?

### 1.12.3.9 Open Shop Growth and Competition

Be up to date on the extent of competition presented by the open shop. Backing up your claims of significant competition with facts about the number and size of jobs lost to the open shop is much more effective than mere assertions supported only by anecdotal data.

---

**Practical Tip:**

**Joint labor/management market share studies may be constructive**

In some local areas, labor management cooperative committees have agreed on joint projects to assess union/open shop market share, demographics, and competitiveness issues in their areas. Such studies (often conducted by outside third parties) have been useful in defining bargaining mutual interests and goals and objectives going forward. Potential sources for such studies/research include local business schools or prominent academics. Also, the Construction Labor Research Council and other construction industry consultant services can be engaged to perform customized studies for particular regions or markets in an area.

---

### 1.12.3.10 New Legal Requirements

Have any new laws been enacted which require insertion of new provisions? Do any recent court or administrative (Board) rulings need to be accounted for?
1.12.3.11 MARKET OUTLOOK – THE ECONOMICS

Are the major public and private owners in your market planning more or less work? Check the capital budgets and plans of those owners in your area. Much of this material may be available on economic development websites pertaining to your area.

1.12.3.12 OTHER CRAFT SETTLEMENTS

Assess the likely impact of other craft settlements recently in your area. Will the union want to leap-frog other craft settlements, or catch up for its own past concessions? Similarly, are other crafts or other unions in your area making needed wage or benefits changes or concessions? What are the wage and benefits packages of non-union construction employers and in industrial/production employment generally in the area?

1.12.3.13 MANAGEMENT AIMS

Rank these in importance based on the construction market as of the time you will be negotiating – these will not always rank in the same order: 1) maximize profit; 2) maintain control; 3) reduce non-productive time, methods, work rules; 4) improve productivity and competitiveness; 5) recapture/expand market share; 6) counter open-shop competition; 7) improve work force standards and performance.
PART 2: SETTING MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND PREPARING PROPOSALS

2.1 LOCAL BARGAINING BACKGROUND

The background and history of the parties and the union(s) in question are extremely important in developing a bargaining strategy and preparing for negotiations. Some questions that should be answered include the following:

1. **Strikes** – Have there been strikes in the recent past? The last time there was one, what was it over? Will all trades honor a picket line in support of a strike by only one trade? Which trade is the trend-setter?

2. **Market Share** – Is construction activity in the area down or up? If there is lots of work going to union contractors, that will make the unions feel they are in the driver’s seat; little activity with many members on the bench may make them more likely to moderate their demands to increase competitiveness.

3. **Open-Shop Market Share** – Related to the level of activity is the status of the open shop. Is the open shop busy while the union side is not? Or, is there no activity at all so that neither union nor open shop contractors are busy?

4. **Employment Forecast** – Are large publicly funded projects coming to an end so that the union contractor segment will soon run out of work even if it has been extremely busy recently? Is a large private sector project about to start for which you will need to improve your competitive position relative to the open shop?

We assume you will know this type of information. The question is, will you have facts and backup information available in a way that you can communicate it effectively to the union in a timely manner?

2.2 SETTING MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

In setting objectives for the multiemployer bargaining unit, you should consider the following before negotiations begin:

1. **Setting Objectives** – Who has authority to set objectives? Will the association or the full group of negotiators set objectives? Or are there one or two very influential contractors who will actually make the decisions for the whole group? Will objectives be set in some formal process? At meetings? Written surveys? Internet poll?

2. **Economic Assessment** – What does management want? With regard to financial improvements, do you need relief from high wage rates? Do you need targeted relief? Do you need to put the brakes on fringe costs? Do you need to negotiate the specific fringe costs so that you will no longer let the union decide how to spend a certain pot of money?

3. **Work Rule Changes** – With regard to changes in contract language, will you include weekend make-up days at straight time? Work rules on equipment changes during the course of a shift? Crew mix provisions? Subjourneymen? Apprentices? Composite crews? Combination men?

4. **Contract Duration** – How long should the contract last, given the economics and current bargaining leverage; do you want one, two, three, or more years? Consider economic forecasts and the expiration dates of other bargaining agreements in the construction industry and area in settling upon a proposed expiration date. Also consider the union leadership election cycle. Seeking agreements that do not expire immediately before or after union elections may reduce or avoid the impact of “political promises” on negotiations.

5. **Pragmatism** – What will management give? With regard to acceptable wage increases, have you quantified what you are willing to agree to? Be realistic in setting your goals at the beginning so you don’t need to readjust them afterwards simply because they were unreasonable at the outset. What are acceptable fringe benefit increases? It is important to quantify this as well, and be sure to decide whether you are willing to negotiate a total package that you will let the union decide how to spend, or whether you will negotiate the specific contributions you will agree to. Pragmatism is an often overlooked virtue in collective bargaining negotiations.

6. **Work Rules** – What are the acceptable noneconomic terms? Will you agree to any
changes in apprentice ratios or other work rules if proposed by the union?

7. **Non-negotiable Items** – What items are non-negotiable deal-breakers? Will you refuse to agree to expansion of subcontracting rules and restrictions? Will you agree to be bound by jurisdictional dispute decisions of the Plan for the Settlement of Jurisdictional Disputes in the Construction Industry or some other group or agency?

8. **Trade-offs** – What items do you consider as potential trade-offs? If you know what the union is likely to want because you have done a good job of analyzing its objectives, are there any trade-offs you want to try to set up or engineer by the approach you take to the process? Will you agree to interest arbitration for successor agreements, either under the Industrial Relations Council procedures or some other form of extended bargaining or arbitration?

---

**Practical Tip:**
**Prepare carefully for IRC or Arbitration Presentations**

If you have agreed to interest arbitration in the event of a bargaining stalemate or expect that a negotiating impasse might eventually go to a settlement umpire such as the UA/MCAA/PHCC Industrial Relations Council, be aware that all experienced participants report that the manner of presentation of initial bargaining interests and proposals can be very important at those arbitration procedures. The sophistication of the material and presentation - in terms of substance, market relevance, and analytical precision (not just word processing graphics) can make a big difference in gaining a constructive settlement.

---

2.3 **PREPARING MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS**

It is often said that the best defense is a good offense; that is because it’s true. One of the truisms of negotiations is that parties who have high aspirations obtain more than those who do not. Know what you want. Do not just react to union proposals – advance your own agenda. Let the union know that you have some concerns of your own that you intend to address and that you will not simply be reacting to union demands. Passive approaches are recommended only for those who want to maintain the status quo.

Know your limits on economic proposals. Spend time computing and figuring what the costs to the multiemployer bargaining unit will be for different combinations of economic packages. In doing so, you should look at the total, cumulative financial impact of wages, hours, and other benefits of employment. Because economic proposals are generally negotiated as the final component of a collective bargaining agreement, wages and benefits can usually be negotiated in tandem. That is, the level of wages can be tied to the level of benefits and vice-versa. With regard to fringe benefits, pay close attention to ERISA and pension/health and welfare funds. Know what is in them now. What are the benefits? What is the funding status of the pension plan? Does the welfare fund have a deficit or a reserve? Get a sense of the limits of your ability to negotiate about the terms of the trust itself.

Decide how you will present data and your proposals to the union. Consider:

1. **Position papers** – Will you present the union with written proposals or written statements of concerns/issues? Will you only exchange lists of issues? Will you only exchange written documents after a conceptual agreement is reached?

2. **Financial data** – Will you use charts to demonstrate your position? Will you use other written materials? Will you try not to show costs, but simply stick to proposals?

3. **Cost calculations** – Will you use the Construction Labor Relations Council costing program? Will you share your calculations with the union?
PART 3: THE LAW OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING:
THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT

Collective bargaining is a process created by federal statute. It is a product of the early 20th Century, an outgrowth of the labor movement, and promoting it is the ultimate mission of the National Labor Relations Act and various other federal laws designed to improve working conditions and to prevent industrial strife. Any discussion of collective bargaining in the construction industry, therefore, must begin with its origin – the National Labor Relations Act.2

3.1 GENERAL PURPOSES

The National Labor Relations Act (NLRA) was enacted in 1935, and has two basic purposes: 1) to decide questions concerning representation of employees by unions; and 2) to resolve unfair labor practice charges. For its first purpose, the NLRA authorizes the establishment of rules for determining the standing of unions to act as exclusive bargaining representatives for employees in units appropriate for bargaining. For its second purpose, the NLRA calls for procedures for policing the bargaining relationships established under the representation rules and for enforcement of other employer-employee relations rules involving activity protected by the NLRA. These two purposes often overlap; that is, the determination of representation issues and the resolution of unfair labor practice charges both stem from the policy of giving employees the right to choose for themselves whether to bargain collectively or separately with their employers, while at the same time protecting them from unscrupulous or improper infringements (by employers or unions) on that right.

Before an employer bargaining obligation exists, the issue of union representation must be resolved. Two different sections of the NLRA establish means by which unions can become the representatives of employees for purposes of collective bargaining. These are Section 9(a) and Section 8(f). Section 9(a) applies to all employers covered by the NLRA, including construction employers. Section 8(f) applies only to construction employers.

Most union-signatory contractors in the construction industry enter into bargaining relationships through the mechanism of Section 8(f). That is, most union-signatory contractors take advantage of a special construction industry provision in the NLRA that allows a contractor to sign an agreement with a union without consulting its employees, or without even having employees. In contrast, all union-employer bargaining relationships under Section 9(a) are established by employees affirmatively choosing union representation. A detailed description of the differences between Sections 8(f) and 9(a) is beyond the scope of these materials, but highlighting the most important distinctions is helpful and important for construction multiemployer bargaining units and individual employers.

Prehire vs. Majority Status Relationships – Section 8(f) and Section 9(a) bargaining relationships are different in three fundamental respects: 1) to whom they apply; 2) how they are established; and 3) how long they last. Under Section 8(f), there is no requirement that a majority of a contractor's employees support the union, no requirement that a contractor even have any employees at the time the agreement is signed, and no requirement that a contractor consult any employees that it may have before signing a collective bargaining agreement with a union. Conversely, under Section 9(a), a union must show that it has the support of a majority of a contractor's employees in order to represent the contractor's employees. For a Section 9(a) relationship to be established, an employer must have more than one employee, and the employer may not pick a union to represent any such employees – the employees must choose.

Right to Terminate Relationship vs. Continuing Duty to Bargain Successor Agreement – Under Section 8(f), a contractor has no bargaining obligation or duty to observe any terms and conditions of employment until it signs a collective bargaining agreement. Once that agreement expires, the contractor is free to stop bargaining with the union and to change working conditions unilaterally. The contractor is free to sign another agreement, or to walk away from the relationship altogether. Once a union has been selected under Section 9(a), however, a contractor has a duty to meet and confer with the union before an agreement is reached, a duty to observe the terms and conditions of such agreement during its term, and a duty to bargain in good faith for a new agreement when an existing one expires. A Section 9(a) bargaining obligation is forever – or at least until the contractor goes out of business, the

2 The National Labor Relations Act was enacted initially as the Wagner Act (1935). It now consists principally of the original Wagner Act, as amended by the Taft-Hartley Act (1947) and the Landrum-Griffin Act (1959).
union announces it no longer wishes to represent the employees, or the employees vote the union out.

Each of these particular relationships will be discussed further below.

Caution: Beware Agreeing to Convert to 9(a)—it can be a Double-Edged Sword

Under some circumstances in local areas, the 8(f)/9(a) distinction has far greater political appeal to the union than it has in corresponding practical effect on MEBU members. Put another way, the parties will have to judge the practical effect and political consequences in their geographic area over the nature of the representation format and possible conversion from 8(f) to 9(a) status. For example, in some areas some or all of the employers may be very easily converted by successful organizing elections by the union, so the conversion to 9(a) status for some or all of the employers may be of diminished practical significance; yet, the union may see other political or practical advantages to that conversion and would value it as a key bargaining goal, and employers may believe that agreeing to it is a way to "get something for nothing." However, conversely, if conversion would destabilize the MEBU in marginal union/non-union areas, if the MEBU is in a weak competitive posture in the market, or if benefits funding issues are threatening the stability of the MEBU there could be sudden and very negative consequences for the bargaining unit and benefit plans if a 9(a) conversion forced some employers to reconsider their bargaining status.

3.2 METHODS OF DETERMINING REPRESENTATION QUESTIONS UNDER SECTION 9(A)

As noted above, the only requirements for establishing a bargaining relationship under Section 8(f) are that the employer is engaged primarily in the construction industry and signs an agreement with a union to represent its employees. Under Section 9(a) there are different rules. A bargaining relationship can be established under Section 9(a) only by voluntary recognition, certification following a representation election, or by order of the National Labor Relations Board (Board).

3.2.1 VOLUNTARY RECOGNITION

Voluntary Recognition is one method by which a union can become the exclusive Section 9(a) representative of a group of employees. This occurs when a union informs an employer that the union has been authorized by a majority of the employer's employees in an appropriate unit to represent them for purposes of collective bargaining and the employer agrees voluntarily to recognize the union upon viewing evidence of the union's majority support. If the employer views evidence (typically in the form of signed authorization cards) sufficient to satisfy itself that the union claim is correct, the employer may then recognize the union and begin bargaining with the union as the employees' Section 9(a) exclusive representative. An employer wishing to avoid recognizing the union as the Section 9(a) representative must take care to refuse to examine authorization cards allegedly signed by the employer's employees. Frequently, unions will tender such cards to employers in the hope that the employer will view the cards and thereby obligate itself to recognize and bargain with the union.

Conversion of Section 8(f) relationships into Section 9(a) majority status relationships sometimes occurs inadvertently. Whether such conversion has occurred is a very tricky area of the law, with new developments having occurred in each of the past several years. If you want to avoid conversion of a Section 8(f) relationship into a Section 9(a) relationship, be very wary of language proposed by a union speaking in terms of "majority representation" of employees.

The Board has held that an employer can agree to be bound as a Section 9(a) employer based on contract language alone, "where the language unequivocally indicates that (1) the union requested recognition as the majority or Section 9(a) representative of the unit employees; (2) the employer recognized the union as the majority or Section 9(a) bargaining representative; and (3) the employer's recognition was based on the union's having shown or offered to show, evidence of majority support." Staunton Fuel & Material, Inc. d/b/a Central Illinois Construction, 335 NLRB No. 59 (2001).

This holding was effectively overturned by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in Nova Plumbing, Inc. v. NLRB, 330 F.3d 531 (D.C. Cir. 2003), which held that contract language standing alone cannot establish the existence of a Section 9(a) relationship where the record indicates only an 8(f) relationship exists. The court held that allowing conversion of the relationship under these circumstances eliminates exactly what the National Labor Relations Act was designed to foster – employee choice whether to be represented by a union or not. Since the Nova Plumbing decision, a continued tension has existed.
between its holding and the Board's approach to the issue; the Board has not repudiated its holding in Staunton Fuel. See Raymond Interior Sys., 357 NLRB No. 193, n. 3 (2011). The Board's General Counsel has also continued to apply the Staunton Fuel analysis in advice memoranda.

Nevertheless, in many cases, the Board has sidestepped the apparent conflict between Nova Plumbing and Staunton Fuel by pointing to record evidence demonstrating that the union actually enjoyed majority support at the time the recognition agreement was executed. See M&M Backhoe Service, Inc., 345 NLRB No. 29 (2005) enforced 469 F.3d 1047 (D.C. Cir. 2006); see also Raymond Interior Sys., 357 NLRB No. 193 (2011) (“[T]he result here would be the same under the D.C. Circuit's decision in Nova Plumbing as under Staunton Fuel & Material . . .”).

3.2.2 Certification

A second way a union can become the exclusive Section 9(a) representative of employees is by certification following a Board-conducted election. To achieve this, a union first must solicit authorization from employees. Authorization may be given by employees on cards or petitions. Depending on the language used, employees may give the union their authorization to seek election and/or to act as their bargaining agent. When a union has such authorization from at least 30% of the employer's employees in an appropriate unit, it may file a petition with the Board seeking an election. The union must also make a demand for recognition on the employer. If recognition is refused by the employer, the Board may direct the election process to go forward. The Board must also determine if the unit petitioned for is appropriate. If the unit is appropriate, and if no other impediment to an election exists, such as a Section 9(a) collective bargaining agreement with another union, an election will be held. If at least 50% plus one of the votes cast are in favor of union representation, and no valid and outcome-determinative challenges or objections to the election are filed, the union will be certified by the Board as the representative of the employees in the bargaining unit and collective bargaining must begin.

Caution:
Review recitations of Union status as the employees' representative

Given the continuing uncertainty in this area of Board law, employers should remain extremely wary of any contract language that refers to Section 9(a) or majority status with language regarding a showing of majority support or an offer to show majority support, and should have any such proposals evaluated by legal counsel.

Additional Caution—Review with Counsel: The NLRB takes the position that it has the discretion whether or not to apply the law of any one federal court of appeals on any given issue; when it does not, the law under this national statute may not be uniform nationwide due to differing rules applied in different federal court jurisdictions nationwide. The MEBU may want to review previously existing documents, such as bargaining authorization response, benefit participation agreements, and other correspondence with legal counsel to see if there has been any recitation of union majority representation status accepted by the MEBU in the past, and if so, to decide if the current state of the law requires some remedial action with respect to that written record.

Unions Use 9(a) Conversion as a Tool to Fight Raids on Jurisdiction

With perhaps increasing frequency as competition among the various building trades increases, Section 8(f)/9(a) conversion has become a tool in jurisdictional disputes, and in some cases raiding of signatory contractors among the various crafts. A local union that is seeking to defend itself from the organizing raid of a competing craft may seek to gain some greater stability in its employer base by converting to 9(a) status and thereby preventing a raid at the expiration of the 8(f) agreement. In many cases the defensive union will seek 9(a) conversion through voluntary recognition or through an NLRB election.

Comment:
Determining an Appropriate Bargaining Unit

The examination of whether the petitioned-for unit is appropriate generally centers on the "community of interests" among and between the employees in the unit. The petitioned-for unit need not be the most appropriate unit, only an appropriate unit. Recent Board decisions place the burden on the employer to prove that additional employees belong in a unit rendering the petitioned-for unit inappropriate. The employer must show that the additional employees share "an overwhelming community of interest" with employees in the petitioned-for unit. See Specialty Healthcare & Rehab Ctr. of Mobile, Inc., 357 NLRB No. 174 (2011). In construction, traditional craft lines typically determine the unit.
Employees eligible to vote in Section 9(a) representation elections in the construction industry include: (1) employees currently working for the employer in the bargaining unit the union seeks to represent (which is generally a specific craft); (2) employees who worked for the employer in that unit on at least 30 days in the 12 months immediately prior to the filing of the election petition; and (3) employees who performed some work for the employer in the 12 months immediately prior to the filing of the election petition and who worked for the employer in that unit on at least 45 days in the 24 months immediately prior to the filing of the election petition. For purposes of the 30 and 45 days of work rules, a partial day of work counts as a day of work. These eligibility criteria were established in Daniel Construction Co., 133 NLRB 264, 266-67 (1961), as modified, 167 NLRB 1078, 1079 (1967); see also Steiny & Co., 308 NLRB 1323, 1324-26 (1992); and are commonly referred to as the Daniel-Steiny formula.

The 30 and 45 day rules can have a significant impact on representation elections in the construction industry because employers sometimes enter into one-time project agreements whereby an open shop employer agrees to sign a collective bargaining agreement that is effective for only one project. The intent of such agreements is to allow the employer to be "union" for that project only. However, employees working for that employer on that project may work enough days to become eligible voters in elections conducted among that employer's employees in the next 12 or 24 months.

3.2.3 Bargaining Orders

Although recognition and certification are the primary methods of establishing a Section 9(a) relationship, there is one other method for a union to obtain bargaining rights. If an employer is found to have committed serious unfair labor practices during the course of an election campaign, the Board may order the employer to bargain with the union if it finds that a fair election would not be possible because of the unfair labor practices – even if the union lost the election by a significant margin. Bargaining orders are rare, and extremely rare in the construction industry since most construction industry relationships are initiated under Section 8(f).

3.3 Unfair Labor Practices

An unfair labor practice is conduct by an employer or a union that interferes with the purposes of, and has been prohibited by, the NLRA. Under the NLRA, only an employer (including an employer agent such as a multiemployer bargaining association) or a union can be liable for the commission of unfair labor practices – individual persons or employees cannot. However, the actions of individual agents (such as union business agents or employer supervisors or superintendents) can be attributed to the union or the employer and thus can result in findings that the unions or employers committed unfair labor practices.

3.3.1 Employer Unfair Labor Practices

An employer may not:

a. Interfere with, restrain or coerce employees with respect to their exercise or non-exercise of the right to engage in protected concerted activity. Protected concerted activity is activity engaged in by or specifically on behalf of two or more individuals for purposes of addressing concerns regarding wages, hours or working conditions. A union does not need to be involved in order for activity to be considered protected concerted activity. In fact, any time two or more individuals act together (concertedly) to address concerns regarding wages, hours or working conditions, their activity can be deemed protected. For example, two non-union
### NLRA Rights in a Non-Union Setting

Section 7 of the NLRA guarantees covered employees, union and non-union alike, the "right to self-organization, to form, join or assist labor organizations, to bargain collectively...and to engage in other concerted activities for the purpose of collective bargaining or other mutual aid or protection..." Section 8(a)(1) makes it a violation of the Act for an employer to "interfere with, restrain, or coerce employees in the exercise" of these rights. This means that even in the absence of representation by a union, employee conduct may be protected by the Act.

In order for an employee's activity to fall within the coverage of Section 7 (and thus Section 8(a)(1)), such activity must meet several conditions. First, the "topic" that the activity relates to must be "protected," i.e., it related to wages, hours, and/or other terms and conditions of employment. Second, the activity must be "concerted," which can take the form of activity by two or more employees that is for mutual aid or protection, or by single employees acting on the authority of other employees, bringing group complaints or attempting to induce group action.

Employers may violate an individual employee's Section 7 rights by attempting to preempt an employee from engaging in protected concerted activity — for example by terminating an employee to stop him/her from attempting to induce group action relating to a term or condition of employment.

Recently, the Board added a page on its website directed to employees who are not represented by a union to advise them of their rights under the Act. (It can be found at [http://www.nlrb.gov/concerted-activity](http://www.nlrb.gov/concerted-activity)). Consequently, employees may be more aware of these rights. In any situation in which a union or non-union employee complains about terms and conditions of employment on behalf of or affecting more than one person, employers should consult legal counsel before engaging in any adverse employment actions (i.e., discipline or termination) based on the possibly protected activity.

---

### Weingarten Rights

A 1975 decision of the Supreme Court of the United States (NLRB v. J. Weingarten, 420 US 251 (1975)), provided that a union employee who was called into a meeting with management and, who reasonably believed that the meeting might lead to discipline, was entitled to insist, upon the employee's request that a fellow employee be present during the interview. Such rights of union-represented employees became known as "Weingarten" rights.

In Epilepsy Foundation of Northeast Ohio, 331 NLRB No. 92 (2000), the Board extended the Weingarten rule to non-union settings. But the decision was overturned by the Board in IBM Corp., 341 NLRB No. 148 (2004), which held that employees in non-union settings were not entitled to Weingarten rights. Even so, it is important to emphasize that even non-union employees still have rights under the National Labor Relations Act.

At this time, employers are not obligated under Weingarten to advise employees of their rights to request witnesses to be present. Employers are simply obligated to grant an employee's request for a witness to attend such a meeting or interview, or else forego having the meeting or interview at all. Additionally, employers should be aware that the Board has given indications that it may extend Weingarten rights to non-unionized employees once again.

---

### Practical Tip:

**Employee use of social media and labor law rights**

The Board continues to assert the rights of non-union employees to engage in protected, concerted activity through other avenues. In particular, the current Board has sought to protect employees' rights to engage in protected, concerted activity through social media. In the last several years, the Board has found employers' social media policies to be overly broad where they could be read to prohibit, among other things:

- Any communication or post that constitutes embarrassment, harassment or defamation of the employer;
- Using social media to talk about company business on their own personal accounts;
- Posting anything that the employee "would not want their manager or supervisor to see or that would put their job in jeopardy";
- Disclosing inappropriate or sensitive employer information;
- Posting any pictures or comments involving the employer or its employees that could be construed as "inappropriate";
- Discussing pay rates and other terms and conditions of employment;
- Discussing disciplinary actions or investigations; and
- Disclosing complaints about terms and conditions of employment to the public or the media.

In Hispanics United, for example, Case No. 3-CA-27872 (September 2, 2011), an Administrative Law Judge found that an employer violated the employees' protected, concerted rights when terminating them because they posted complaints about fellow employees on Facebook. The employer informed each employee that the decision to terminate their employment was because, in the employer’s eyes, the employees’ Facebook posts "constituted bullying and harassment and violated [the employer’s] policy on harassment." Nevertheless, the Administrative Law Judge found that the employees' conduct did not forfeit their right to engage in protected, concerted activity under § 7 of the Act. The posts were not made at work or during working hours, the subject was related to co-worker criticisms of employee job performance, the posts were not unprotected "outbursts," and the employer could not demonstrate any violation of its zero-tolerance, anti-harassment policy.
dealing with matters affecting wages, hours or working conditions may be employee organizations. Examples of such committees/employee organizations may include Total Quality Management (TQM) committees, safety committees, and absenteeism committees.

a. **Discriminate in matters of employment** against or in favor of any employee because of the exercise or non-exercise of rights to engage in protected activity – for example, membership or non-membership in, support or non-support of, labor organizations.

b. **Retaliate against any employee** for filing unfair labor practice charges or testifying in NLRA proceedings.

c. **Refuse to bargain in good faith** with an appropriately recognized or certified bargaining representative of employees.

### 3.3.2 **UNION UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES**

A union may not:

a. **Restrain or coerce employees** with respect to protected activity.

b. **Restrain or coerce employers** in the selection of their representatives for bargaining purposes.

c. **Discriminate against an employee** for the exercise or non-exercise of the right to engage in protected activity. One exception to this is the enforcement of a lawful union security clause in the 28 states that have not enacted "right-to-work" laws. A lawful union security clause is one that requires an employee to pay to the union dues and fees uniformly required as a condition of acquiring or retaining membership in the union. Employees who object to such a requirement may assert their right to pay the union only those fees representing the cost of providing representation services to the employees in the unit. Under most union security clauses, employees who refuse to pay the required fees may be terminated by the employer at the request of the union.

### Right-to-Work States

Section 14(b) of the National Labor Relations Act authorizes individual states and territories of the United States to prohibit union security clauses that would otherwise be authorized by the National Labor Relations Act. Section 14(b) states:

> Nothing in this subchapter shall be construed as authorizing the execution or application of agreements requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment in any State or Territory in which such execution or application is prohibited by State or Territorial law. (emphasis added)

As of the preparation of this publication, the states that have right-to-work legislation on their books, thus prohibiting mandatory payment of fees to unions as a condition of employment, are: Alabama; Arizona; Arkansas; Florida; Georgia; Idaho; Indiana; Iowa; Kansas; Louisiana; Mississippi; Nebraska; Nevada; North Carolina; North Dakota; Oklahoma; South Carolina; South Dakota; Tennessee; Texas; Utah; Virginia; and Wyoming. Employers should not rely on this list as authoritative at any future date. Instead, if a question arises about whether a state in which you are doing business is a "right to work" state, be sure to investigate the issue at that time.

In addition, state right-to-work laws often use different language to achieve similar means. While each state listed above has enacted right-to-work laws, the language of these laws may vary from state to state. Each state may prescribe different procedural requirements, have different state-agency oversight, and establish different penalty regimes. Employers should review state-specific right-to-work language so as to better understand their obligations in each individual state.

### Practical Tip:

**“Membership” does not mean Membership**

Union security clauses that go beyond the payment of dues and fees uniformly required as a condition of acquiring or retaining membership in the union are unlawful. Unlawful clauses are those that require: (a) actual membership in the union; or (b) in the case of an employee who asserts the right to pay representation fees only, payment of full membership dues and fees where the amounts go beyond the amounts necessary to provide the representation.

Despite the fact that actual membership may not be required, it is not unlawful to negotiate a clause which states that membership is required. In Marquez v. Screen Actors Guild, 119 S. Ct. 292, 159 LRRM 2641 (1998), the collective bargaining agreement contained a union security clause that required each employee to become a "member in good standing" of the union, and did not define "member in good standing." The Supreme Court of the United States held that a union does not violate its duty of fair representation when it negotiates a union security clause that requires "membership in good standing" without defining the term in the collective bargaining agreement.
d. **Refuse to bargain in good faith** with an employer for whose employees the union is the bargaining representative.

e. **Engage in prohibited strikes and boycotts.**

f. **Impose excessive or discriminatory membership fees.**

g. **Engage in featherbedding.**

h. **Strike or picket health care institutions without giving at least 10 days' notice.**

### 3.3.3 Prohibited Boycott Agreements

Under Section 8(e) of the National Labor Relations Act, neither employers nor unions may enter into agreements to refuse to do business with other employers on the basis of their labor relations policy, such as whether they are union or non-union. Such an agreement is called a hot cargo agreement. Section 8(e) generally prohibits agreements not to subcontract to employers on the basis of their union/non-union status. However, there is an exception to Section 8(e) for work performed on the jobsite in the construction industry. This exception is discussed further below in section 3.10.

### 3.3.4 Filing of Unfair Labor Practice Charges

Construction contractors may be faced with unfair labor practices committed by unions. Some may involve the illegal picketing of a construction site; others may involve the duty to bargain itself.

The only legal procedure available to stop union unfair labor practice conduct is to file an unfair labor practice charge with the Board. For example, a contractor whose employees have stopped work in response to unlawful picketing is generally not entitled to go into court and obtain an injunction against the work stoppage even if its collective bargaining agreement has a no-strike clause. This is so because the strike by the employees is usually a sympathy strike which is generally not enjoinable. In addition, an injunction cannot usually be obtained because the activity involved is an unfair labor practice and the law provides that only the NLRB can decide unfair labor practice cases. Similarly, if a union commits the unfair labor practice of bargaining in bad faith, the employer may not sue the union directly in federal or state court to enjoin its refusal to bargain in good faith. The only legal remedy available is through the Board by filing an unfair labor practice charge.

**An unfair labor practice charge** is initiated by completing a form and filing it with the proper Board regional office. Forms are available from all Board regional offices. Any person may file a charge, not just the employer that is subject to the allegedly unlawful conduct.

Once an unfair labor charge is filed, a local office of the Board investigates to determine if a violation of the Act may have occurred. If the local office concludes the charge has no merit, it dismisses the charge. The charging party may appeal to the Board’s general counsel in Washington, requesting that the charge be reinstated. If the local office
concludes preliminarily that the charge has merit, or if the General Counsel so concludes after an appeal of a local dismissal, the matter goes to trial before an Administrative Law Judge unless the parties settle.

### Who to Contact...

The National Labor Relations Board's main office contact information is:

National Labor Relations Board
1099 14th Street
Washington, DC 20570-0001

For a complete list of all of the National Labor Relations Board's regional field offices, go to the NLRB website at http://www.nlrb.gov.

**Priority Charges** – Secondary boycott, recognition picketing, and jurisdictional dispute charges are considered priority charges. The Board is obligated to attempt to complete its investigation of such charges within 72 hours after their filing. Additionally, if the investigation provides the investigator with reasonable cause to believe that certain of these unfair labor practices are occurring, the Board may seek an injunction from a federal district court to halt the conduct pending a final decision. In some cases – secondary boycotts for example – the Board must seek an injunction if it has reasonable cause to believe an unfair labor practice is being committed.

Before filing a charge, the employer should already have collected evidence, including statements of witnesses. The employer should be prepared to have its witnesses available to talk to the Board investigator in person. If the Board decides there is reasonable cause to seek an injunction, the employer's witnesses will have to appear in court and testify. It is not necessary to be represented by an attorney in filing these charges, but it is generally advisable to employ one because of the need to be familiar with the law and the procedures followed by the Board.

The remedies for construction industry unfair labor practices include cease and desist orders that require the union to stop its unlawful activity. In **secondary boycott** cases, the union is ordered to stop the unlawful picketing and return to work. In **recognition picketing cases**, the union is ordered to stop picketing if the picketing has continued for more than a reasonable period, which may not exceed 30 days, without the filing of an election petition. This remedy may be accompanied by a direction of an election – but only if a petition has been filed. In **jurisdictional dispute cases**, the union is ordered to stop the course of activity (picketing, striking or the threat of same). This may be accompanied by an order holding a Section 10(k) hearing – an expedited procedure for resolving the disputed work assignment. [Note that a Section 10(k) proceeding is not available if the parties have agreed to the Plan for the Settlement of Jurisdictional Disputes in the Construction Industry. See the material at 3.10.7.]

### 3.3.5 Establishment of Bargaining Relationship in the Construction Industry

As discussed above, the two primary means of establishing Section 9(a) exclusive representative status for bargaining apply to all employers, including those in the construction industry. These are: 1) voluntary recognition; and 2) certification after an election. Each of these means is dependent upon the union actually attaining majority status and proving to the employer's and/or the Board's satisfaction that it enjoys such majority status.

**Caution: Conversion Confusion**

As discussed in greater detail above in section 3.2.1, the Board has held that if an employer signs separately or includes in a collective bargaining agreement a statement that the employer is satisfied with the union's representation that it has attained majority status and recognizes the union voluntarily under Section 9(a), the employer has a Section 9(a) relationship with that union even if the employer has not actually seen any proof of majority status. *Conceivably, an employer could sign off on such language without understanding or even knowing it has done so.*

If a union achieves majority recognition or certification under Section 9(a), the employer and the union each have a duty to bargain with each other over the terms of a new agreement once a collective bargaining agreement expires. Such a duty continues until: 1) the employees vote to decertify the union as their representative; 2) the union disclaims interest in further representing the employer's employees; or, 3) the employer goes out of business.

Until 1987, voluntary recognition was not frequently utilized by unions seeking bargaining rights in the construction industry. This was so because of Section 8(f) which, as discussed above, specifically permits an employer engaged primarily in the construction industry to enter into a collective
bargaining agreement with a union without any showing of majority status by the union.

Section 8(f) for the construction industry was added to the NLRA in the Landrum-Griffin Act of 1959 because of the relatively short duration of employment on many construction projects. Construction projects typically are not permanent locations for work—a contractor’s work is frequently completed in only a few months. The Board’s election machinery is practically unworkable when applied to a project that will last only a short time since after completion of a project or a phase of a project employees are typically released and new employees are hired for the next project or the next phase. The assumption was that permanent certification or recognition of a union was generally inappropriate because of the loss of “majority” status of the union among employees due to the turnover of employees from project to project.

Once a project is bid upon and awarded to a contractor or group of contractors, and either before or after work on the project has begun, open shop contractors may be approached by unions representing employees in the trades to be employed on the project and asked to sign collective bargaining agreements. It is legal to sign such agreements even if a contractor has not hired any employees for the project, but only because of Section 8(f).

Many agreements entered into this way are "project agreements" that have no application to employees at a headquarters facility or on other construction projects. However, they need not be so limited. When entering into such agreements, it is advisable to make sure that such project agreements do not conflict with any other agreements to which the contractor may be signatory.

8(f)/9(a) Differences

There are very important differences between contracts signed pursuant to Section 8(f) and contracts signed after collective negotiations with a union that has been recognized or certified union under Section 9(a).

• Contracts signed after negotiations with a union recognized or certified under Section 9(a) prevent, or act as a bar to, recognition or certification of another union as representative of those same employees during the term of the contract.

• Contracts signed pursuant to Section 8(f) do not prevent the filing of petitions for elections that could lead to certification of the incumbent or another union. Contractors need to be aware that merely signing a Section 8(f) agreement does not mean that they are free from going through a Board election. Also, a Board election that results in certifying a union as the representative of employees will certify the union as the representative of the employer’s employees on all of a contractor’s projects/job sites in the geographic area covered by the certification.

• Upon expiration of a Section 8(f) contract, a Section 8(f) contractor has no duty to bargain a new agreement with the union.

• Despite the fact that a Section 8(f) contractor has no duty to bargain upon the expiration of a Section 8(f) agreement, a Section 8(f) contractor may be bound to a new collective bargaining agreement if it had previously delegated bargaining authority to a multiemployer association and failed to timely withdraw such authority before the start of negotiations for a new collective bargaining agreement.

Comment: “Most Favored Nation” Clauses not Affected by National Agreements or PLAs

In some instances, specific site project agreements—a national maintenance agreement, for example—may have more favorable rates or working conditions (foreman selection, or crew mix, for example) from the employer's perspective than the local area bargaining agreement. In some cases, where the local agreement has a "most favored nation (MFN)" clause, attempts have been made to invoke the MFN clause and transfer the project agreement terms into the local agreement. Most often those attempts fail because of restrictive language in both the project agreement and the local agreement that specifically exempts site-specific agreements from the operation of the MFN clause.

Practical Tip: Beware Forgotten Out-of-Town Agreements

Frequently local agreements have “evergreen” clauses which automatically roll-over signatory status until an explicit withdrawal is made. In some cases, traveling contractors may have signed local agreements with evergreen clauses, and have left the area (without formal termination of the agreement) only to find on returning to the area that the bargaining relationship has continued in effect during the absence under the automatic renewal provisions of the local agreement, leading to problems when the local union or benefit funds seek payment for work under the evergreen clause or an octopus clause. As with all other business agreements, contractors should keep track of all such agreements and their renewal and other terms and may want to consider inventorying all such agreements and taking timely steps to terminate those from areas in which they do not perform work actively.
3.4 THE EMPLOYER IN NEGOTIATIONS

Negotiations may be conducted by employers in three basic bargaining configurations: single employer bargaining; multiemployer bargaining; or coordinated bargaining

3.4.1 MULTIEMPLOYER BARGAINING IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY

Multiemployer bargaining is traditional in the construction industry. It occurs when: 1) two or more employers that individually have a legal obligation or desire to bargain with a craft union decide to negotiate one agreement with that union covering all such employers; and 2) where the union also agrees. Multiemployer bargaining started as an attempt to curb union's strength and defend against certain union tactics - the divide and conquer strategy of whipsaw strikes, pitting one or more employers with a lot of work against other employers that had little work, for example.

If a contractor desires to engage in multiemployer bargaining, it is advisable to assign bargaining rights to the MEBU in writing. Assignments of bargaining rights may apply only to mandatory subjects of bargaining; or, they may be expanded to apply to both mandatory and permissive subjects of bargaining; and/or may also assign the right to administer the collective bargaining agreement during its term. Restrictive terms may also be included in an assignment of bargaining rights. These restrictive terms are covered in detail in the discussion of assignment of bargaining rights, below.

Comment:
Bargaining Realities and Structural Flaws in Multi-Employer Bargaining Approach

Multiemployer bargaining worked well for a long time, and is still the predominant configuration in the construction industry. However, alternatives to it are becoming more common. There is more than one reason for this. On occasion, for example, individual members of multiemployer groups sign interim or retroactive agreements and work through a strike. The Supreme Court found such interim agreements permissible when an impasse in negotiations occurred, even though the Court also found that the impasse was not sufficient justification for abandoning the multi-employer bargaining unit altogether. Charles D. Bonanno Linen Service v. NLRB, 454 U.S. 404, 109 LRRM 2257 (U.S. 1982). When that happens, the other employers in the multiemployer group are at a disadvantage. The remaining members of the group find themselves getting whipsawed, effectively having no bargaining leverage and being forced to settle on undesirable terms. In other words, the desertion of the interim agreement signers allows the union to again employ the whipsaw or divide-and-conquer strategy that multiemployer bargaining was designed to avoid. The employers that do not sign interim agreements cannot achieve their desired deal because of the loss of bargaining leverage caused by the desertion of the employers that signed the interim agreement.

Another circumstance that has undermined multiemployer bargaining to some extent is the increase in project agreements on major, long-running projects. These typically contain no-strike/no-lockout clauses that are iron-clad for the life of the project agreement (which frequently runs beyond the expiration date of local area agreements). Thus, a major project employing a substantial percentage of the locally available union labor may go on without interruption even while a strike takes place against the contractors of the area by the union involved. This significantly reduces the bargaining power of the multiemployer bargaining unit in the local collective bargaining agreement negotiations.

Practical Tip:
Selective Strikes Undermine MEBU Cohesion

Another threat to MEBU cohesion is a union's ability to call a selective strike/job action against only members of the bargaining committee, threatening even the long-term effectiveness and viability of the group as a whole. One way MEBUs are dealing with this threat is to hire a professional bargaining representative to represent the group in negotiations, insulating the cohesion of the group, and preventing resort to single employer or even model agreement bargaining. As noted previously, in a 9(a) relationship it would be an unfair labor practice for either side to object or refuse to bargain because of the selection of representatives. 8(f) arrangements are less formal, but practically the outcome is the same; if bargaining is to take place, each party will have to deal with whomever represents the other side.

Practical Tip:
“Exclusive” Bargaining Relationship is One-Way Street

As a practical matter, MEBUs have no legal right under the NLRA to insist that the union deal with the MEBU exclusively. So, the union has a right to be the exclusive representative of a group of employees, but may deal freely with other MEBUs or employers in the area without fear of unfair labor practice restraints. In fact, for employers to attempt to insist on exclusivity, i.e., the union will refrain from dealing with any other employers, would invite antitrust challenges.
It should be noted that it is possible for a multiemployer unit to be certified as an appropriate unit for a Section 9(a) relationship, pursuant to an election or otherwise. Although the general rule is that a single employer unit is presumptively appropriate, a controlling history of collective bargaining on a multiemployer basis, the employers’ intent and other factors of a community of interest could result in a finding that a union is entitled to an election on a multiemployer basis.

3.4.2 SINGLE-EMPLOYER BARGAINING

One obvious alternative to traditional multiemployer bargaining is negotiating on a single-employer basis. This involves one contractor bargaining a contract with each union with which it has a bargaining relationship. Any contract agreed upon applies to that contractor only.

Comment:
For the Lawyers—a Discussion of Some Board Cases on 9(a) Conversion in a Multi-Employer Unit

For example, in J.D. Consulting, 345 NLRB 1298 (2005), the Board found a multi-employer unit appropriate on the basis that the 8(f) relationship between the Plasterers and an employer association had converted to a 9(a) relationship. The association, on behalf of its individual members, had voluntarily recognized the Plasterers as the 9(a) representative of a majority of employees employed by each association member and had been presented with stacks of authorization cards for the employees of each such member. This arrangement was memorialized in a subsequent collective bargaining agreement between the parties. On this basis, the Board denied a petition brought by the individual association members, the association itself, and a second union, the Bricklayers, all of whom had requested single-employer units represented by the Bricklayers. (For a more expansive discussion of 9(a) recognition in multiemployer units, see the NLRB’s “An Outline of Law and Procedure in Representation Cases,” Chapter 14, which can be found at http://www.nlrb.gov).

Although there is not a great deal of authority dealing with this issue in the construction industry, two cases have arisen that should be noted. First, in Comtel Systems Technology, 305 NLRB 287 (1991), the Board held that the merger of Section 9(a) employers with Section 8(f) employers in a multiemployer bargaining group did not convert the Section 8(f) relationships to those under 9(a). Although the facts of the case are complicated, the general premise is that a Section 8(f) employer, Comtel, signed an agreement that was presumed to be a Section 9(a) multiemployer agreement. Comtel—for reasons that are not explained in the case—filed an election petition with regard to its own employees and the union with which the multiemployer group (to which it belonged) had a Section 9(a) relationship. The union resisted the petition by claiming that Comtel had a 9(a) relationship and the petition was barred. The Board sided with Comtel, stating as follows: “an employer that has designated a multiemployer association as its collective-bargaining representative will be bound by any agreement reached by that association, but the agreement will not be binding as anything other than an 8(f) agreement in the absence of a showing that a majority of the employees in the employer’s covered workforce had manifested their support for the union before the employer became bound by the agreement.” The Board continued, “where, as here, (1) a union seeks full 9(a) status as the exclusive bargaining representative of employees in a multiemployer unit, and the multiemployer group voluntarily extends such recognition, (2) within a reasonable time after such recognition is extended, a question is raised about the existence of majority support among the employees of a member employer at the time the association is granted recognition [Note: the Board declined to comment on what would happen if the question was raised outside of Section 10(b)’s 6-month limitations period], and (3) the record fails to show that a majority of the single employer's employees supported the union at that time, we conclude that allowing an election in which the views of the single employer's employees can be ascertained before they are merged into the larger unit is a reasonable means of striking a balance between the legitimate and often conflicting congressional policies embodied in Section 8(f) and the Act as a whole.”

Consistent with the above, the Board held that the Comtel-union relationship was presumptively an 8(f) relationship, that Comtel’s act of joining the multiemployer group and assenting to the agreement did not make it subject to a 9(a) relationship, and that it did not merge its employees into the larger unit “unless majority support among the Comtel employees was manifested prior to that assent.” Finally, and most significant to our discussion, the Board held that if a union wanted to achieve Section 9(a) status “in a construction industry multiemployer association — and therefore eliminate the potential for 8(f) proviso elections that would test its majority during a contract’s term — it must have the manifest support of a majority of the employees of any individual employer whose employees it seeks to merge into the unit under a 9(a) agreement.”

In the second case, Arbor Construction Personnel, Inc., 343 NLRB No. 38 (2004), the Bricklayers petitioned for an election at one member of a multiemployer unit with which they had an agreement. However, the employer successfully resisted the election petition by establishing that it had a Section 9(a) relationship with the Cement Masons through another employer association to which it belonged. The Board held that because the employer had established a Section 9(a) relationship through one multiemployer unit, “a petition for a single-employer unit will not be entertained.” Unlike in the Comtel decision, however, no employer contested the fact that the multiemployer relationship was a Section 9(a) relationship, and the Board did not evaluate this issue.
One option is for a single employer to adopt local area agreements (doing this is sometimes called signing a “me too” agreement). An employer that is not bargaining as part of a multiemployer group may generally adopt a local area agreement with a craft union. To do so, the employer may sign an Acceptance of Working Agreement and/or Participation Agreement form for benefit fund payments that may contain an agreement to accept the local area agreement. An employer that does so should review the form carefully to be certain whether it contains an assignment of bargaining rights for future negotiations, or territorial jurisdiction provisions that would affect the employer's operations elsewhere. The documents also should be reviewed, carefully, to determine whether they purport to grant Section 9(a) recognition, and the Board's current position regarding conversion. [See section 3.2.1, above, discussing Staunton Fuel, the extension of Section 9(a) recognition, and the Board's current position regarding conversion.]

### Pros and Cons of Multiemployer Bargaining

**PROS:** Multiemployer bargaining allows employers to:

1. Present a united front;
2. Take easy advantage of a pool of skilled labor through the union referral hall (given that all employees referred out to any employer know that they will always receive the same rates, benefits and working conditions);
3. Eliminate competition among the employers for labor (at least in terms of not having to worry about adjusting pay and benefits to attract workers from their competitors in the MEBU);
4. Reap significant cost savings in the negotiation process and end up with an agreement that applies equally to their unionized competition;
5. Take advantage of an association or at least a centralized authority for administering the agreement and dealing with grievances, if any.

**CONS:** Multiemployer bargaining:

1. Prohibits an employer from bargaining for its own special issues (although in an 8(f) arrangement, the union may have most of the leverage);
2. May require each employer to be bound by an interpretation of the agreement, or a grievance settlement or arbitration result, that the individual contractors may object to or have been able defend better on their own;
3. Reduces an employer's ability to get a leg up on its competition through cost control measures, such as wages and benefits;
4. May limit the employer's ability to find and train its own, preferred employees.

## Caution:

**Extraterritorial “octopus” clause can unwittingly bind employers to labor pacts in other areas**

In signing “me-too” agreements or out-of-area agreements, employers should be careful in understanding the language covering the territorial scope of the agreement. In some cases, even specific area local agreements have language that would bind the employer to a certain craft agreement in other areas for that type of work. In those cases, such an "octopus" clause could result in a conflict with other choices of craft in such other area. An example of such a clause found in at least some UA agreements states: "The Employers shall abide by the legally established Agreements of the Locals of the United Association in whose jurisdiction they are contracting for work."

### 3.4.2.1 Withdrawal from Multiemployer Bargaining

Of course, before engaging in single-employer bargaining, if an employer has previously assigned its rights to a multiemployer group, it must first withdraw the assignment of those rights from the multiemployer group. The employer should consult legal counsel to ensure that the withdrawal is accomplished effectively.

A critical factor for effective withdrawal of bargaining rights is timing. **Before** the deadline in the expiring collective bargaining agreement for giving notice of an intent to terminate or modify that agreement, and **before** negotiations actually commence, the employer should do the following. **First,** the employer should send written notice to the multiemployer group of its unequivocal intent to withdraw the right to bargain on its behalf from the multiemployer group. **Second,** the employer should send such written notice to the union or unions involved. **Third,** it may also be necessary (depending on the employer's plans, as to bargaining proposals and a possible lockout strategy) to notify federal and state mediation agencies somewhere between 90 and 60 days before the collective bargaining agreement expires. The employer should inform the agencies of the expiration date of the collective bargaining agreement and that it is conducting negotiation on its own and not as part of a multiemployer association or unit. [See FMCS Form F-7 in section 3.7, below.]

Two decisions of the Board have had a significant impact upon the timing and process for effective withdrawal of bargaining rights from
multiemployer associations. The cases are *Chel La Cort*, 315 NLRB No. 154 (1994) and *James Luterbach Construction Co.*, 315 NLRB No. 147 (1994).

**Section 9(a) Relationships** – In *Chel La Cort*, the Board held that employers in Section 9(a) relationships that assign bargaining rights to a multiemployer association must effectively withdraw those bargaining rights *before* actual negotiations begin, even if negotiations begin much earlier than called for under the collective bargaining agreement itself, even if the employer had no reason to know that negotiations were going to begin early, and possibly even if the multiemployer association deliberately concealed the fact of early negotiations from one or more of the employers that had assigned bargaining rights to it.

### Actual Negotiations

In *Retail Associates, Inc.* 120 NLRB 388 (1958), the Board first stated the actual negotiations rule as follows:

> We would accordingly refuse to permit the withdrawal of an employer or a union from a duly established multiemployer bargaining unit, except upon adequate written notice given prior to the date set by the contract for modification, or to the agreed-upon date to begin the multiemployer negotiations. Where actual bargaining negotiations based on the existing multiemployer unit have begun, we would not permit, except on mutual consent, an abandonment of the unit upon which each has committed itself to the other, absent unusual circumstances.

The Board has applied that rule in many circumstances. For example, in *Carvel Co.*, 226 NLRB 111, enforced 560 F.2nd 1030 (First Circuit 1977), the Board held that once proposals are given by one party to another, negotiations have commenced and a subsequent withdrawal by an employer from multiemployer bargaining is untimely.

In *Chel La Court*, the Board refused to allow an employer to withdraw from an association once negotiations had begun by the exchange of proposals even though the negotiations had begun several months prior to the deadline set forth in the agreement for notification of reopening, and even though the general membership of the multiemployer association as a whole had not been informed of the onset of negotiations.

### Practical Tip:

**Calendar Dates for Assignment or Withdrawal of MEBU Bargaining Rights**

Both employers and associations must pay close attention to the timing of assignment and withdrawal of bargaining rights relative to contract notice periods and the beginning of negotiations, and to actions that may be interpreted as assignment of bargaining rights to multiemployer associations.

### Sample Duration and Notice of Termination Clause

This agreement shall be in full force and effect from **20__** and continuing through **20__. In the event that either party to this Agreement wishes to terminate or modify this Agreement, written notice shall be given to the other party at least sixty (60) days prior to the expiration date. In case no such notice is given by either party, the Agreement shall continue in effect from year-to-year until such written notice is given at least sixty (60) days prior to the subsequent anniversary date.

---

**Section 8(f) Relationships** – In *Luterbach*, the Board ruled that a Section 8(f) contractor is not bound automatically to a new collective bargaining agreement negotiated by a multiemployer association to which the employer had assigned bargaining rights in the past. Two members of the Board’s panel (three (3) of the Board's five (5) members are involved in deciding many cases) held that a Section 8(f) contractor is bound to a successor Section 8(f) contract negotiated by a multiemployer association only if the employer takes some affirmative steps to put the union on notice that it intends to be bound by the successor agreement. A third member of the NLRB held that a Section 8(f) contractor was obligated to a new Section 8(f) contract if the multiemployer association gave notice to the union that it had bargaining rights and was bargaining on behalf of such Section 8(f) contractor.

### 3.4.2.2 "Most Favored" Employer Clauses

Single-employer bargaining may also be affected by the inclusion of "most-favored" employer clauses in local area agreements. Such local area agreements frequently contain clauses (often referred to as "most-favored nation" clauses) providing that if the union agrees to more favorable terms (that is, better than in the local area agreement) with one employer in an area, it must extend those more favorable terms to all employers signatory to the area agreement. Such clauses are lawful if they are drafted appropriately.

A clause providing that the union will extend more favorable terms to all employers is generally *lawful*. A clause providing that the union will not *agree* to more favorable terms with any other employer (other than those covered by the local area agreement) is generally *unlawful*; such clauses may violate anti-trust laws because they essentially constitute an agreement between the union and management to fix wages for all work done by union-represented employees of any employer in the union’s
jurisdiction that is not part of the multiemployer bargaining unit. Additionally, when bargaining with a single employer in a Section 9(a) relationship, if a union relies on such a clause as the basis of a refusal to bargain over terms different from the multiemployer bargaining unit area agreement, such may also constitute an unfair labor practice (failing to bargain in good faith).

The existence of a lawful "most-favored" clause in an agreement, therefore, does not prevent employers from bargaining over more favorable terms. In fact, a single employer bargaining with a union under Section 9(a) may require the union to bargain over proposals for different terms from the local area agreement even if the local area agreement contains a lawful "most-favored" employer clause (also referred to sometimes as a "me too" clause). The union will not want to agree to different terms with a single-employer because of a fear of having to extend such terms to the employers signatory to the local area agreement; but, if the union refuses to agree to such proposals because of the "most-favored" clause, the union may be bargaining in bad faith.

Project agreements are generally not seen as triggering "most-favored" employer clauses because they are seen as dealing with a specific geographic area.

Invoking a "most favored employer" clause – Some "most favored employer" clauses are self-executing – that is, if a more favorable provision is agreed to in another agreement, the more favorable provision is automatically considered to be part of the agreement containing the "most favored employer" clause. Other "most favored employer" clauses simply call for the parties to negotiate over whether and how to implement the more favorable provision in their agreement.

An employer bargaining with a union in a Section 8(f) relationship may also advance proposals that differ from the local area agreement. However, since neither the union nor the employer has a duty to bargain in good faith under Section 8(f), the union does not commit a refusal to bargain unfair labor practice by refusing to agree on the basis of the "most-favored employer" clause.

3.4.3 Coordinated Bargaining

Another alternative to traditional multiemployer bargaining is coordinated bargaining. Coordinated bargaining occurs when employers seek to obtain individual contracts but to do so at the same time or using the same spokesperson.

Under this scenario, several employers could hire one negotiator. It could be an individual (an experienced labor negotiator, an experienced labor lawyer, etc.) or a professional association. It could even be the association that previously bargained as the multiemployer group representative. This, however, can be tricky and is a tactic that should be discussed, in detail, with experienced labor counsel. There are circumstances in which the Board might find that the use of the multiemployer association as the bargaining representative for the coordinated effort is simply a disguised form of the multiemployer bargaining format. In that case, the Board would not give effect to the intended individual nature of each bargaining unit and agreement.

The following scenarios illustrate the coordinated bargaining approach. First, Company A, Company B, and Company C are all to be represented by Spokesperson X. Spokesperson X makes it clear to the union that he or she is not negotiating a group contract but individual contracts for Companies, A, B, and C. If this is done correctly, the result is an individual contract for each contractor. Each contractor, and perhaps each project, is an individual bargaining unit. Negotiations would no longer result in one agreement covering one large multiemployer unit.

Such coordinated bargaining could also be accomplished in different formats. One could have Spokesperson X contacting the union and saying that he represents Company A one day, the next day he will be representing Company B, and the next day he will be representing Company C. This is taxing on the union's resources much the same as the group of employers all negotiating individually. It is also rather taxing, however, on Spokesperson X.

Another format for coordinated bargaining is to have Spokesperson X come into one meeting with the union and inform the union that he or she represents all three companies, A, B, and C, that are each negotiating individual contracts. Spokesperson X could further state that if the union prefers, and in
order to avoid strain on the limited resources of both sides, the discussions could take place all at one time – as long as the union understands and agrees that three separate contracts are being negotiated, and that each contract must be ratified independently by each contractor and independently by the union for each contractor. See, for example, IBEW Local 40, 302 NLRB 271 (1991).

3.4.4 STRICT ASSIGNMENTS OF BARGAINING RIGHTS

Another variant of multiemployer bargaining, at least in the way it has traditionally been carried on in many areas, is to continue to bargain on a multiemployer basis but to change significantly the nature of the assignment of bargaining rights. It is possible to write a very tight and strict assignment of bargaining rights agreement in which the employers promise not to enter into interim agreements or retroactive agreements. Using such a restrictive assignment form, all employers assigning rights may agree that if one or more employers are struck, all others will lock out, and/or that if the bargaining committee deems that an offensive lockout should be called – one not in response to a union strike, but a lockout to put pressure on the union – all employers in the group agree they will participate in the lockout. [See example, p. 30.] If an employer violates one of the above pledges, the employer may be subject to suit for damages by the other employer members of the group. Legal counsel should be consulted to discuss both the labor and the anti-trust issues that may be involved in this approach.

Practical Tip: Self-Executing MFN Clauses Better for Employers

A self-executing MFN clause generally may be preferable from the employer's point of view because it avoids opening up negotiations on any other provisions, and may even avoid bargaining on the specific change if the change is clear. However, if the required amendment is not clear on how to narrowly amend the agreement, or if the relative judgment about whether a provision is more or less "favorable" to the employer is reasonably questionable, then an automatic amendment may be challenged by the union as a refusal to bargain and as an unfair labor practice which could justify an NLRB order to go to bargaining over the change. Still, since a MEBU does not enjoy a legally sanctioned exclusive bargaining relation with the union, a MFN clause may be a fair defense against whipsaw tactics in the area.

Observation: Strict assignments make the MEBU stronger, but may scare off some employers.

Using any of these variations on the assignment of bargaining rights form could make the multiemployer bargaining group more effective than the less restrictive forms of such an assignment that have allowed the whipsaw tactics that have weakened multiemployer bargaining units in the past. They certainly leave the multiemployer bargaining units less susceptible to such divide-and-conquer and whipsaw tactics. However, these variations on the assignment of bargaining rights forms generally do not affect preexisting project agreements in which the no-strike/no-lockout pledges already exist. Also, many contractors might withhold bargaining rights rather than agree to be so tightly bound.

Permissive Subjects of Bargaining – Take care to address the scope of bargaining rights assigned. Assignments of bargaining rights could include only mandatory subjects of bargaining – and probably do include only such subjects unless they state otherwise. To include permissive subjects, an assignment of bargaining rights should include all subjects which may lawfully be bargained. This will ensure that permissive subjects of bargaining, such as industry promotion fund clauses, are included within the scope of the association's authority to bargain on behalf of the assigning employer. (See the Collective Bargaining Agent Authorization, set forth on the following page.)
### Collective Bargaining Agent Authorization

The undersigned Employer hereby assigns its bargaining rights to the Committee designated by the (Name of Association) (Association) for the purpose of negotiating and administering a collective bargaining agreement by and between said Association and (Name of Union), and agrees to abide by and be bound by all the terms and conditions thereof, by any amendments thereto, and further agrees to ratify and accept said collective bargaining agreement and the terms and conditions thereof as fully and completely as if made by the undersigned. The undersigned Employer understands and agrees that administration of the collective bargaining agreement includes interpreting its terms and resolving grievances thereunder. [The foregoing assignment of bargaining and administration rights covers all matters (mandatory and permissive subjects) which may lawfully be bargained between employers and unions under the National Labor Relations Act, as amended.]

The undersigned Employer additionally agrees to the following:

If collective bargaining negotiations between said Association and said union do not produce an agreement by the expiration date of the current agreement, or any extension thereof, between said Association and said union, the Employer will not enter into any agreements with said union which would allow the Employer to continue operations in the event that a strike is called and engaged in by said union against said Association or any member thereof or any other employer that has assigned its bargaining rights to said Association; and

In the event such a strike against any of the aforesaid employers occurs, the Employer will immediately cease any and all of its operations which would be governed by the terms of any collective bargaining agreement reached in negotiations between said Association and said union until such time as the aforesaid strike has ended; and

In the event that an impasse is reached in negotiations between said Association and said union, the Employer will, upon direction from said Association's bargaining team, immediately cease any and all of its operations described in paragraph 2, above, until said impasse is resolved or for such other lesser period of time as determined by said Association's bargaining team.

This authorization may not be modified or revoked by the undersigned except by written notice, registered mail, return receipt requested, to the Association at least four (4) months prior to the expiration of the collective bargaining agreement contemplated by this authorization.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THE UNDERSIGNED HAS SET HIS HAND OR CAUSED THESE PRESENTS TO BE SIGNED BY HIS DULY AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE, THIS ____ DAY OF ______________, 20___.

---

(Signature of Representative and Title)  

(Name of Firm)

---

(Mailing Address)

---

(City, State, Zip Code)
### Pros & Cons

#### PROS AND CONS OF SINGLE-EMPLOYER BARGAINING:

**PROS**

A single employer does not have to agree to anything just because the other employers want to. In other words, in multiemployer situations a majority of the employers usually carries the day and may collectively agree to something that an individual employer would not have agreed to on its own. This may occur in response to the threat of a strike, or in response to a strike itself, in circumstances where the individual employer would have been willing to take a strike to vindicate its position or to keep something out of its agreement, while others would not. Examples of the kind of clauses that some employers may be concerned about include significant subcontracting restrictions and work preservation clauses that may have the effect of limiting legitimate dual-shop operations. Individual employers bargaining on their own have more control over their own destiny on such issues.

A single employer may decide to hire permanent replacements for employees who strike if the employer negotiates to impasse and strike. Permanent replacements might ultimately decide to decertify the union resulting in the employer becoming open shop. However, this could also be a “con” because the employer may want to remain union since most of its work is union and it might have difficulty developing an effective personnel strategy that insures a reliable supply of qualified employees.

The strategy of contractors negotiating individually with unions could work to the advantage of all if several employers do it. If several employers all withdraw their rights and insist on separate negotiations, the union's resources may be taxed to cover all these separate sets of negotiation with its limited personnel.

**CONS**

A single employer must negotiate or its own or pay a professional to do it. A single employer bargaining on its own will find negotiations difficult because the persons assigned to negotiations will probably be less experienced than whoever does it for the union. Thus, the employer may be at a disadvantage depending on its experience and ability as a negotiator. Hiring a professional negotiator will likely cost the employer more than the dues to a professional multiemployer association or other professional fees it may have paid in the past.

A single employer can be isolated. All of the other contractors in the group, either individually or through an association, could settle on a collective bargaining agreement leaving the union to strike one employer alone. In this case, the union would have many working members elsewhere whose dues would support the strike. However, this negative feature goes hand in hand with the employer's rights at impasse, such as the right to hire replacements, etc. mentioned above as a “pro.”

#### PROS AND CONS OF COORDINATED BARGAINING:

**PROS**

Many of the efficiencies and tactical advantages of using professional negotiators are present as in the case of traditional multiemployer bargaining.

It is less likely that a contractor will find itself isolated as in the single-employer bargaining situation because the discussions will be coordinated with a common thread running through all of them.

**CONS**

It looks sufficiently like multiemployer bargaining for the Board to say it still is multiemployer bargaining.

It is less likely that an individual contractor will affirmatively attain new terms in the collective bargaining agreement than if negotiating as an individual. There still will be some tendency to be controlled by the wishes of the group or the sense of the group in this context.

**Recommendation Regarding Bargaining Configuration:** In normal circumstances, a strong multiemployer association bargaining unit is preferable to coordinated employer bargaining, also for the same reason – it promotes stability and development of a qualified work force to the benefit of owners and contractors alike.

---

### 3.5 THE UNION IN NEGOTIATIONS

Unions have the same legal capacity as employers to use the three basic configurations of single, multi-, or coordinated craft bargaining. *Just as an agreement is a prerequisite to multiemployer bargaining, employer agreement is a prerequisite to multi-craft bargaining.* The only circumstance in which employers would be required to bargain on a multi-craft basis would be one in which the unions obtained certification from the Board on a multi-craft basis following an election conducted by the Board in a single bargaining unit consisting of more than one craft. It is possible, although unlikely, that a group of craft unions could petition the Board collectively to hold a multi-craft election among all of an employer's craft employees, regardless of craft. If the unions won such an election, the employer would be legally obligated to bargain with those unions on a multicraft basis.

Unions can also engage in coordinated craft bargaining – even to the extent of one union placing a representative of another union on its bargaining team. An employer may not refuse to bargain with a union because of who the union names to its bargaining team any more than a union can refuse to bargain with an employer because of who the employer names to its bargaining team. For example, it is an unfair labor practice for a union to refuse to meet because the multiemployer bargaining unit's labor attorney is at the table.
3.6 PROJECT AGREEMENTS

Project agreements are collectively bargained agreements that cover only the work performed on a specific project. These project agreements may take the form of "one employer, one craft, one project" (for example, the UA Specialty Construction Agreement) or "all employers, all crafts, one project" (for example, the Boston Harbor PLA discussed below).

3.6.1 ONE EMPLOYER, ONE CRAFT, ONE PROJECT

This type of project agreement occurs when one contractor (either a contractor that formerly operates open shop, or a union signatory contractor working outside of its home area), enters into an agreement with one craft for a specific project. It is accomplished by signing either a national specialty agreement, or some form of a craft's local area agreement. To limit the scope of the agreement, such an agreement should be in writing and state that: (a) the agreement binds the employer to the agreement only for the one project; and (b) when the project is completed, the employer has no further obligations under the project agreement or any other agreement, including the local area agreement.

3.6.2 ALL EMPLOYERS, ALL CRAFTS, ONE PROJECT

This type of project agreement, generally referred to as a project labor agreement (PLA), is often used for large, long-term projects involving unusual circumstances, such as the Boston Harbor clean-up. It is generally the result of an owner's requirement for a union-built project, or of some circumstances that call for variance from the local area agreements. Such PLAs almost always contain a no-strike/no-lockout clause that is to remain in effect for the duration of the project, even if the local area agreements expire in the meantime. In many cases, these PLAs also contain special terms concerning manning requirements, subcontracting provisions, wage and benefit rates, overtime rules, or other matters.

Typically, all contractors on the project must agree to be bound by the PLAs as a condition of being awarded work on the project. Such PLAs also usually incorporate all of the terms of the local area agreements, with a proviso that they are controlled by any inconsistent provisions contained in the project agreement itself. This means that usually all contractors also must abide by or become or remain signatory to the local area agreements as a condition of working on the projects.

Following a decision of the Supreme Court of the United States issued in 1993, Building & Trades Council v. Associated Builders & Contractors, 507 U.S. 218, 142 LRRM 2649 (1993) (referred to as the Boston Harbor case), PLAs are now generally lawful on public as well as private projects. Under Boston Harbor, public projects may utilize PLAs without being preempted by the NLRA as long as the public entity involved is acting as an "owner" and not attempting otherwise to regulate labor relations policies of private sector employers.

PLAs on public projects are still challenged frequently under theories of preemption under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). Additionally, state procurement statutes and state bidding statutes have been invoked in various locations in attempts to invalidate PLAs on large public projects. The law around the country is not uniform. In a very few locations, such PLAs on public projects have been struck down on either ERISA preemption or state bidding/procurement statute grounds. In most other locations, the courts have found ERISA preemption inapplicable and found either that there is no state bidding/procurement statute or that the state bidding/
procurement statute does not prohibit the PLAs. Contractors need to be aware of the law in the jurisdiction in which they operate.

In *Glens Falls Building and Construction Trades Council (Indeck Energy Services, Inc.), 350 NLRB No. 42 (2007)*, the Board held that a non-construction industry owner and a union had violated Section 8(e) of the Act by entering into a PLA. The Board found that the agreement was not protected by the construction industry proviso to Section 8(e) because it was not entered into in the context of a collective-bargaining relationship. The Board found that the employer’s primary purpose was not to establish terms and conditions of employment for any of its employees, but to “remove the threat of union opposition to its efforts to secure regulatory approval of its cogeneration plants.”

In addition to legal challenges, the question of PLAs continues to percolate in the political arena. In 2001, President Bush issued an executive order prohibiting the use of PLAs on federal construction projects (both direct and federally-assisted). President Obama subsequently issued an Executive Order in 2009 mandating that federal agencies consider the use of project labor agreements on such projects involving $25 million or more of federal funds. It is likely that the use of PLAs on public projects, at both federal and state levels, will continue to be affected by political developments for years to come.

3.7 FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE (FMCS)

Before discussing the duty to bargain itself, a few words are in order about the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service (FMCS). The FMCS was created as part of the Taft-Hartley Act in 1947. Once this agency was created, it assumed the mediation and conciliation duties that the Department of Labor had previously performed. The FMCS is empowered by the Taft Hartley Act to use mediation and conciliation to prevent or minimize the disruptive effect of labor disputes on interstate commerce.

The FMCS’s obligations to provide conciliation services are intertwined with certain obligations imposed by the Taft-Hartley Act upon employers and unions. Under the Taft-Hartley Act employers and unions are required to make every effort to reach agreement on the terms of collective bargaining agreements. If they are unable to reach agreement, they are directed to participate fully and promptly in meetings called by the FMCS.

Whenever renewal or renegotiation of a collective bargaining agreement is to take place, Section 8(d) of the Labor-Management Relations Act requires the parties, as part of their duty to bargain, to notify FMCS. The statute requires that the party (union or employer) desiring to modify the agreement to serve notice on the other party at least 60 days before the contract expires and then, within 30 days after filing the 60-day notice, notify the FMCS of the existence of the contract dispute.

The giving of such notice is critical and has a significant impact upon the rights of the parties, assuming agreement on the terms of a new contract is not reached. The party that initiates the bargaining process (sends the initial notice of intent to terminate, modify or renegotiate) has the burden of notifying both the FMCS and the state mediation agency. A failure to meet the burden of notification may render illegal those economic actions (such as strikes or lockouts) which are otherwise available and lawful in the event an impasse is reached.

For example, if a union serves notice upon employers that it wishes to modify and renegotiate a contract, but fails to provide the requisite notice to FMCS and the appropriate state mediation agency, a strike by the union to support its bargaining demands

---

Practical Tip:
Expect Owners to Continue to Favor PLAs as Project Manning Tools

*Despite the continuing controversy concerning use of PLAs mandated by public agencies on direct federal or federally funded construction projects, PLAs remain a viable and frequently chosen proprietary contracting strategy by public agencies and private sector purchasers nationwide. As construction workforce demographics and skills deficits present a growing problem for successful and timely project completion, often under unique circumstances, purchasers lock in the skilled workforce availability provided under project labor agreements and the no-strike clauses these agreements almost always provide. Still, the impact of PLAs on local negotiations often is problematic.*

---

3 The Taft-Hartley Act (the Labor Management Relations Act of 1947) sought to limit the power of the labor movement by amending the NLRA. Significant amendments included the exclusion of supervisors from coverage and the application and enforcement of employee rights not to join a union and to refrain from engaging in concerted activity.
is illegal and the strikers may be fired. In fact, even if the union notifies the agencies appropriately, but calls a strike in fewer than 30 days after the notice was served, the strike is nonetheless illegal and the strikers may be fired.

The significance of being the initiating party with the burden of providing notice is underscored by the realization that the employer, in a situation where the union was the initiating party and failed to give notice, could still lock out the employees once 30 days passed after the union's notice to the employer of an intent to modify the contract, even if the notice had not been given to the agencies, or had been given to the agencies but less than 30 days had passed since notice was given.

In any case where the employer initiates the bargaining process, the employer bears the notification burden. The employer in such cases may not lock out employees until at least 30 days have passed after timely notice is given to the FMCS and the state mediation agency.

Significantly, employers and unions in Section 8(f) relationships are technically not subject to the FMCS provisions because the FMCS provisions are an adjunct to the duty to bargain in Section 9(a) relationships under Section 8(d), and parties to Section 8(f) relationships are not subject to the duty to bargain upon expiration of a Section 8(f) agreement. Notice to the mediation agencies is not mandatory for those in 8(f) relationships, but the agencies will likely assist if requested, so sending the notice is something the parties may wish to consider.

**3.8 DUTY TO BARGAIN**

Employers and unions that have Section 9(a) relationships are subject to the duty to bargain after an agreement expires to resolve terms of new or renewed collective bargaining agreements. The methods of establishing Section 9(a) relationships are discussed above.

As noted previously, many construction industry relationships today are not Section 9(a) relationships; they are instead Section 8(f) relationships, meaning they are based upon contracts entered into without the union demonstrating any majority support among the employees of the employer. Most bargaining relationships existing in the industry today were simply entered into by an employer and a union agreeing to sign the contract without even knowing who the employees would be when work on any project was started. However, the generally understood rules of the game followed by all collective bargaining negotiators are based on the existence of a duty to bargain. Accordingly, the discussion that follows is premised on the assumption that a duty to bargain, enforceable under Section 9(a), is in effect.

**3.8.1 NATURE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING**

As mentioned above, Section 8(d) of the National Labor Relations Act defines the duty to bargain. In relevant part, it states:

...to bargain collectively is the performance of the mutual obligation of the employer and the representative of the employees to meet at reasonable times and confer in good faith with respect to wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment, for the negotiation of an agreement.... but such obligation does not compel either party to agree to a proposal or require the making of a concession....

It is a relatively simple statement, although there are literally hundreds of volumes of cases interpreting and applying it.

---

4 This is a much different proposition than permanently or temporarily replacing employees, and could have serious implications for the union and the employer, such as the possibility of decertification if the employer's new employees do not want union representation.
contractor can walk away from the deal. If the contractor does not think the other party's offer makes any business sense, it can walk away and find another party to deal with.

But, if the contractor is an employer in a Section 9(a) relationship with a union, it must bargain with that union. The employer cannot stop bargaining with a union just because the union's offer is unreasonable. The employer cannot seek out another union that may be more reasonable to bargain with. If the employer tried to do so, it would commit an unfair labor practice and could be ordered by the Board to resume bargaining. The order could be enforced by a United States court of appeals.

This leads to consideration of a second distinction—an employer bound by a Section 9(a) relationship cannot exercise leverage as completely as it can in other commercial contexts. It cannot walk into a first meeting with the union, put a final offer on the table, and say "take it or leave it."

The Section 9(a) employer must listen to the union's proposals and evaluate them in good faith to try to reach an agreement with that union. If the employer does not try to reach an agreement, and if it assumes a "take it or leave it" position at the outset and never modifies that position, the employer will be found to have bargained in bad faith and will be ordered to begin bargaining in good faith. A Section 9(a) employer may engage in "hard bargaining" and insist on its position, but if it does so without explaining the basis of its position, or the basis of its refusal to agree to union proposals, it may be found not to have bargained in good faith.

Another distinction between bargaining with a union and bargaining with other business entities is that the union is, at core, not a business entity. A union is composed of its members; with few exceptions, its members must ratify any agreement reached. In some instances, even when the business manager or other representative of the union with whom the employer is dealing understands the business aspects of a situation and would be willing to come to a reasonable agreement, that person may be unable do so because the members of the union would not ratify it. At times, the rank and file union membership operates on an emotional level without regard to good common business sense and pragmatism. When this occurs, a business manager, who depends upon being elected by that rank and file membership in order to keep his job, may take positions at the bargaining table that appeal to the sentiment of the membership.

Caution:
Paying over Scale Can be a Form of Prohibited Direct Dealing

An employer's duty to bargain is a duty to bargain with the union that represents its employees, not the employees themselves. The practice of bargaining with individual employees is called "direct dealing," and has been held, under longstanding precedent of the Board and courts, to violate Sections 8(a)(1) and 8(a)(5) of the Act. The rationale for finding direct dealing to be violative of the Act is that such is in derogation of the employer's duty to bargain with the union—in other words, it undermines the collective bargaining process.

The prohibition against direct dealing covers, among other things, an employer's attempt to raise wages or offer incentive compensation to an individual or group of employees when such additional compensation has not been provided for in the collective bargaining agreement. There are certain exceptions to this general rule, for example when the union has explicitly or implicitly waived its right to bargain over the additional compensation.

Recently, Senator Marco Rubio (R-Florida) offered an amendment to the NLRA—entitled the Rewarding Achievement and Incentivizing Successful Employees (RAISE) Act—which would have amended the Act to allow unionized employers to give raises and bonuses above and beyond what was required by their collective bargaining agreement. The Senate rejected the amendment on June 21, 2012, by a vote of 54-45, but the bill is still active in the House of Representatives.

3.8.2 Duty to Supply Information

The duty to bargain includes the duty to supply information upon request. Information that must be supplied by an employer to a union upon request is not just information sufficient to back up the employer's bargaining position. Any information requested by the union which is relevant and reasonably necessary for the union to fulfill its role as bargaining representative must also be supplied.

Even though, as noted above, Section 8(f) contractors are not generally subject to the duty to bargain upon expiration of a Section 8(f) agreement,
they are subject to it during the term of a Section 8(f) agreement to which they are signatory. Accordingly, the duty to supply information described above does exist for Section 8(f) employers during the term of their Section 8(f) agreements.

### Practical Tip: Information Flow is a Two-way Street

The union also has a duty to supply information to the employer, but employers rarely ask the unions to do so, primarily because in many circumstances unions do not have any information that the employers do not already have for themselves. However, when it comes to certain aspects of the operation of Taft-Hartley funds, union officials may have more information than employers. Employers should take advantage of the union's statutory duty and request the union to supply the employers with necessary information.

### Important Caution: Pleading Poverty Means Sharing Financial Data

"Pleading poverty" can trigger an obligation to provide the union with economic data. If an employer asserts an inability to pay, the good faith bargaining obligation requires an employer to provide support of this claim. An employer must be certain that it does not go to the bargaining table and plead poverty or an inability to pay unless it is prepared to open its books and records to an accountant selected by the union to prove its claim. By pleading poverty, the employer's financial records become relevant and reasonably necessary to the bargaining process. Claims about market competitiveness, on the other hand, do not trigger individual company financial disclosure requirements, but may require disclosure of the industry or area information underlying the claim.

### 3.8.3 Remedy for Not Bargaining in Good Faith

Generally, the remedy for not bargaining in good faith is a cease and desist order – in other words "stop bargaining in bad faith and start bargaining in good faith." This does not sound like much of a penalty, and in reality it is not. There are, however, circumstances in which the consequences are much more severe.

If an employer bargains in good faith to impasse, it can inform the union that it will implement its final offer. In contrast, consider the following scenario and its consequences. Assume that an employer association proposes a wage reduction because of competitive pressures and the union does not agree. The employer association believes it has reached an impasse and places the wage reduction into effect immediately. The union then calls a strike in response to its actions. An employer individually, or all the members of the association, hires replacement employees and continues working at the new reduced wage levels the employer proposed. Next, assume that the union comes to the employer and says "Okay, you win!" and offers to have all of its members return to work and the employer says "No, we have replaced all the employees."

Under these facts, if the Board decided that the employer had not bargained in good faith, or had not reached what the Board believed to be an impasse, the strike would have been, from the outset, an unfair labor practice strike (a strike that is caused or prolonged by an employer's unfair labor practice), and the employers could not legally hire permanent replacements for the strikers. When the strikers offered to return, they were entitled to immediate reinstatement even if it meant terminating the replacements. The employers, therefore, would owe those strikers back pay and benefits from the date they offered to return to work until the employer actually let them return – and the pay would probably not be at the lower wages the employer may have paid the replacements, but instead at the rate of pay in the expired collective bargaining agreement.

In this example, the remedy is far from innocuous, because the employer would pay twice for the work done by the replacements – once to the replacements, and once to the strikers. Understand that it generally takes many months, and sometimes years, for an unfair labor practice to be heard and resolved by the Board. If the employer had
maintained its same position on not rehiring strikers throughout that entire period of time, the liability for back pay and benefits could be staggering.

Generally, the remedy for failure or refusal to bargain in good faith is to restore the parties to where they were before the unfair labor practice was committed (status quo ante) and to compensate the employees for any losses they incurred as a result of the unfair labor practice. Before any employer or group of employers takes an impasse position and "goes unilateral" or hires replacements, labor counsel should be consulted to obtain an opinion as to whether the Board is likely to find bad faith bargaining or a failure to reach impasse. As you can see from the above example, the consequences of being wrong can be very significant.

3.9 MANDATORY/PERMISSIVE/ILLEGAL SUBJECTS OF BARGAINING

3.9.1 Section 9(a) Contractors

As a participant in the bargaining process, it is essential to know the difference between mandatory and permissive subjects of bargaining. Anything that affects "wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment" – the language used in Section 8(d) of the Act – is a mandatory subject of bargaining. Mandatory subjects of bargaining are those about which:

1. you must bargain at the request of the other party, although you need not agree;
2. you must explain your position; you may lawfully go to impasse over them.

Permissive subjects of bargaining are any subjects that are not mandatory, but are not illegal.

An example of an illegal subject would be a "hot cargo" clause outlawed by Section 8(e) of the NLRA, such as a union-signatory subcontracting clause not limited in application to jobsite work. Another example of an illegal subject would be a provision calling for the hiring of only male employees.

Essentially, the parties may bargain about anything that is not illegal. The distinction between mandatory and permissive subjects of bargaining relates only to whether a party is required to bargain about a proposal and whether the subject is one over which the parties can lawfully go to impasse.

For example, a multiemployer association could not insist on inclusion of an industry promotion or industry advancement fund clause in a contract to the point of refusing to enter into a collective bargaining agreement with a union over the issue if that were the only issue unresolved. Going to impasse over a permissive subject of bargaining is not allowed, and the association's actions would be an unfair labor practice in a 9(a) context.

If an employer in negotiations reaches a point of impasse and is likely to take a "final" position in which it will insist on an agreement on the terms of that final position to the point of taking a strike, the employer should consult with counsel to determine whether the outstanding issues are mandatory or permissive. If they are permissive, the employer may not insist upon them as a condition of entering into the agreement.

Caution: Caveat on security/background checks

One issue that has arisen in recent years concerns owner/client imposed background or security checks and credentials. Little or no authority exists on this subject, but it is likely to be treated similar to drug testing – a mandatory subject of bargaining for the existing workforce and a permissive subject for new hires. This issue may be of greater significance with the increasing incidence of such credentialing for federal project sites including those for the Department of Homeland Security, National Institute of Standards and Technology and the General Services Administration. In addition to worrying about whether a background check needs to be done for a certain project, employers must also exercise caution in how such checks are performed. A federal statute called the Fair Credit Reporting Act makes many types of background investigations "consumer reports" and "investigative consumer reports," both of which require a notification and release before the investigation is done and certain notifications in the event such a report is a factor in a decision for an adverse employment action. Certain state laws also may affect the information available and its use. Employers should consult with legal counsel about the legality and method of background checks in their geographic area.

Also beware that it may be a violation of nondiscrimination laws to exclude applicants based on criminal history information. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has included guidance on its website concerning the potential adverse impact on minorities of the use of arrest and conviction records.
Mandatory Subjects of Bargaining
The following is a partial list of mandatory bargaining subjects:

- Arbitration/grievance procedure
- Bonuses and profit-sharing plans
- Changes in working hours, schedules
- Coffee breaks and clean-up time
- Discharge procedures
- Duration of the negotiated agreement
- Fringe benefits
- Holidays
- Job classifications
- Hiring halls
- Incentive pay
- Layoffs
- Management rights clause
- No strike clause
- Pensions, retirement
- Physical examinations for employees
- Re-employment, recall, reinstatement of strikers
- Retroactivity of the collective bargaining agreement
- Safety
- Seniority
- Site access for union representatives
- Subcontracting unit work
- Union security clause
- Check-off of union dues/fees
- Vacation
- Work performed by supervisors
- Work rules
- Drug testing (current employees – not applicants)
- Smoking policies
- Gifts (turkeys, hams at holidays)
- Holiday parties for employees/staff
- Attendance/tardiness policies
- Uniform policies
- Payment for safety shoes, glasses
- Leaves of absence

Observation:
Permissive Subjects do not “Convert” to Mandatory Subjects
In some instances, questions are raised about how or whether a permissive term in an agreement can be stricken in successor agreements; or, if the term is already in an agreement up for re-negotiation, whether the parties must bargain about it because of its inclusion. Also, there are related questions of whether discussions of a permissive subject in negotiations constitute some sort of waiver of the right not to talk about it and convert the subject into a mandatory subject. The answer to both questions is “no.” A permissive subject of bargaining remains so whether it is already in an agreement up for renegotiation or if it has been talked about previously and then dropped.

Permissive Subjects of Bargaining
Some examples of permissive subjects of bargaining:

- Whether to engage in multiemployer bargaining;
- Whether benefits for employees who are retired will be changed;
- Industry promotion or industry advancement funds;
- Labor-management committees (Taft-Hartley 301(c)(9) committees – see insert) Payroll deduction contributions to political action committees;
- Any terms that apply to supervisors such as how they will be appointed and how much they will be paid.

Illegal Subjects of Bargaining
Examples of illegal subjects of bargaining:

- Contributions to a union’s organizing fund (see also the Labor-Management Cooperation Committee discussion below);
- Mandatory political action check-off clauses;
- A subcontracting clause that requires refusal of delivery of supplies to jobsites if the supplies are delivered by non-union represented drivers.
- Preferences based on age.
Savings and Separability Clauses  – As a safeguard against changes in the law during the term of the agreement, the agreement should include a "savings and separability" clause providing that if any particular provision of the agreement is found to be, or becomes, illegal, unlawful or unenforceable, it will not affect the enforceability of the remaining provisions of the agreement. Other key elements that may be included in such a clause to address changes in the law are:

1. a provision for midterm negotiation for a replacement clause;
2. a statement of whether the no-strike clause will remain in effect during any such midterm negotiation;
3. a reference to all possible sources of applicable laws – court or agency decisions, statutes, regulations, executive orders, ordinances, etc.;
4. a provision for suspension of unlawful provisions automatically upon the change in the law that render them unlawful without any requirement that the parties litigate over the issue separately among themselves; and
5. a provision for restoration of the agreement to its prior status if the reason for the unlawfulness of the clause is removed.

### Supervisors – Who are They?

As noted, bargaining about supervisor wages, benefits and working conditions is a permissive, not mandatory, subject of bargaining. Contractors are not obligated to bargain about them, but many contractors and MEBUs do. Contractors and MEBUs should be aware of who their supervisors are.

In 2006, the Board decided several cases that clarified the definition of "supervisor" under Section 2(11) of the NLRA. Notably, under Section 2(3) of the Act, supervisors are excepted from the definition of "employee."

Section 2(11) of the Act defines a supervisor as:

:"Any individual having authority, in the interest of the employer, to hire, transfer, suspend, lay off, recall, promote, discharge, assign, reward, or discipline other employees, or responsibly to direct them, or to adjust their grievances, or effectively to recommend such action, if in connection with the foregoing the exercise of such authority is not of a merely routine or clerical nature, but requires the use of independent judgment."

In Oakwood Healthcare, 348 NLRB 686 (2006), the Board, among other things, defined a supervisor's authority to "assign" work as being able to designate an employee's place of work, appointing the employee to a work time (i.e., shift or overtime), and/or giving significant overall duties to an employee. In contrast, choosing the order in which an employee completed discrete tasks, or instructing an employee – on an ad-hoc basis – to perform discrete tasks did not constitute the" assignment" of work consistent with the Act's definition of supervisory status.

In Golden Crest Healthcare Center, 348 NLRB 727 (2006), the Board explained further that the power to assign was not merely the power to "request" than an employee take an assignment – instead, it required the power to demand compliance with such a request.

More generally, the Board in Oakwood noted that supervisory status requires that the employer delegated to the supervisor the authority to direct work and the authority to take corrective act – and that the supervisor would suffer consequences if he or she failed to do so. Moreover, in order to qualify as a statutory supervisor, the employee must be fundamentally aligned with management, not his or her fellow workers.
6. 3.9.2 SECTION 8(F) CONTRACTORS

Technically, in an 8(f) relationship there is no such thing as a mandatory subject of bargaining once an agreement has expired. In such a case, there are no mandatory subjects of bargaining because there is no duty to bargain – at all – over the terms of a new agreement once an agreement between parties to a Section 8(f) relationship expires. Every topic is permissive at that point.

It is also important to remember that a union in a Section 8(f) relationship need not follow any rules of bargaining either, and can insist to impasse, and possibly strike, over permissive subjects. It may be possible to have any such strikes and picketing characterized as recognition in nature, and thus limited in time.

Comment:
“Complete Agreement” Clauses
Often the parties include a “complete agreement” clause, sometimes also called an “integration” or “zipper” clause in an attempt to foreclose any obligation to bargain over any subject until the collective bargaining agreement expires. These clauses usually state something to the effect that during bargaining leading to the agreement, each party had the right to bring up any subject for bargaining that it wished to bring up, and that the collective bargaining agreement is the complete agreement on all issues such that each party waives the right to bargain over anything during the contract term. Beware that if the parties are in a 9(a) relationship, and one of the parties requests to bargain over a mandatory subject that the parties did not actually bargain over in negotiations leading to the agreement, the other party may refuse to bargain based on the zipper clause, but neither party may act unilaterally to change the status quo regarding that subject until the expiration of the agreement when the parties are again obligated to bargain regarding all mandatory subjects of bargaining. Jacobs Manufacturing, 94 NLRB 1214 (1951).

Caution:
Some aspects of the duty to bargain in an 8(f) relationship are not clearly settled in NLRB or court decisions
As a practical matter, if the employer intends to enter into future agreements with a union, it is advisable to follow the general rules about the duty to bargain in good faith, and the distinctions between mandatory and permissive subjects of bargaining. The lack of any duty to bargain is, however, something that should be kept in reserve. As a last resort, the employer can assert a "no duty to bargain" or "take it or leave it" position on mandatory or permissive subjects.

If a Section 8(f) employer does so, charges filed against it for bargaining conduct would likely be dismissed. However, Section 8(f) employers that engage in preliminary negotiations with unions for new collective bargaining agreements, particularly when those negotiations continue after the expiration of the old Section 8(f) agreement, may face unfair labor practice charges from unions alleging that having begun the negotiating process, the employers are bound to continue with some sort of enforceable duty to bargain.

While such a result seems to be inconsistent with Section 8(f) status as discussed above, the Board has yet to rule specifically on this question. Employers should watch Board case developments carefully on this subject.
Deklewa

In 1987, the Board issued the John R. Deklewa & Sons, 282 NLRB 1375 (1987). The Deklewa decision represented a major policy shift in the Board's approach to Section 8(f) of the National Labor Relations Act. In Deklewa, the Board announced these principles to be applied in all Section 8(f) cases:

1. Section 8(f) agreements would remain enforceable throughout their term, and could not be repudiated unilaterally until their expiration date. Attempted repudiations could be addressed through the "bargaining in good faith" unfair labor practice sections (Sections 8(a)(5) and 8(b)(3)).
2. Agreements under Section 8(f) would not bar a petition seeking an election to be certified as a Section 9(a) representative.
3. In processing an election petition for certification, the appropriate unit would "normally" be a single-employer unit, instead of the larger multiemployer unit in which bargaining may have taken place previously.
4. Upon expiration of an Section 8(f) agreement, the union would enjoy "no presumption of majority status and either party [might] repudiate the 8(f) bargaining relationship."

The Board in Deklewa, also announced that it was "abandoning" the "conversion doctrine" under which many 8(f) relationships converted automatically to Section 9(a) relationships once a majority of the employees working for the employer were members of the union. The status of the "conversion doctrine" has continued, however, to be the subject of frequent litigation before the Board. Note the discussion of the "conversion doctrine" and the extension of Section 9(a) recognition set forth in footnote 8 concerning the Central Illinois Construction and Nova Plumbing cases.

3.10 SPECIFIC LEGAL ISSUES TO ANTICIPATE DURING BARGAINING

3.10.1 SUBCONTRACT CLAUSES

3.10.1.1 UNION SIGNATORY CLAUSES

The Supreme Court of the United States has held that, under Section 8(e) of the NLRA, in the construction industry it is legal for a construction industry union to bargain for a clause that prohibits the subcontracting of work to be done at the construction site to any firms except those who have existing agreements with the appropriate craft union. Not only is it legal, it is arguably a mandatory subject of bargaining, and a union may be able to strike to obtain it. This was a hotly contested issue for many years until the Supreme Court's decision in Woelke & Romero (465 US 645 (1982)), and, while not so hotly contested now, it is still hotly debated.

At least one case, known as Sun-Land Nurseries, (793 F.2d 1110 (1986)), has held that it is legally permissible to go so far as listing by name the appropriate unions with which a company must have an agreement for jobsite work in order to be eligible to receive subcontracts. In that case, the subcontracting clause stated that jobsite work could be subcontracted only to employers that had contracts with particular unions, specifically, the members of the AFL-CIO Building and Trades Council. The employer in question, Sun-Land Nurseries, had recently decertified the Teamsters after a dispute. Sun-Land then entered into a collective bargaining agreement with an independent (non-AFL-CIO) union and attempted to get work on the project. Sun-Land was told it was not eligible for any work from signatory contractors on the basis that it did not have a contract with one of the "approved" unions. Sun-Land sued, alleging that the subcontract clause was broader than permitted under the National Labor Relations Act, the Woelke & Romero decision, and applicable antitrust laws. Sun-Land Nurseries lost that case.

Other cases have been decided upholding the legality of two-way subcontracting clauses. These are clauses that prohibit a contractor from subcontracting to a company that does not have an agreement with the appropriate union and also from accepting subcontracts from a general contractor who does not have a contract with the appropriate union. Very few contracts with these clauses are in existence and specialty subcontractors, such as mechanical and electrical contractors, are unlikely to agree to include them in their agreements since they are the ones who most frequently are able to receive contracts from open shop general contractors.
3.10.1.2 WORK PRESERVATION CLAUSES

Clauses that prohibit all subcontracting of bargaining unit work (work preservation clauses) are lawful for any employing industry, construction or not. Such clauses are mandatory subjects of bargaining. The object of such a clause is to keep all bargaining unit work with the employer so that the employer's employees, whom the union represents, will perform that work. Such a clause does not attempt to dictate or control the labor relations policies of another employer. It is an attempt to preserve bargaining unit work. This is why it does not violate Section 8(e). An example of such a clause is set forth in the text box below.

The limits of work preservation have been the subject of much litigation over the years. Two important decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States describe generally the parameters. They are: National Woodwork Manufacturers Association v. NLRB, 386 U.S. 612 (1967); and NLRB v. Plumbers & Pipe Fitters Local 638 (Enterprise Association), 429 U.S. 507 (1977).

In National Woodwork, the Court decided that any clause that protected work traditionally done by the bargaining unit, or "fairly claimable" by the bargaining unit, does not violate Section 8(e). The case involved a clause in a collective bargaining agreement that permitted employees to refuse to install pre-cut doors because the work of cutting doors to fit had always been traditional work of the bargaining unit employees. The Court found that the clause was intended to preserve bargaining unit work; that it was not intended either to acquire work the employees had never performed, or to require the employees of the pre-cut door manufacturer to become union. Therefore, the clause was lawful.

In Enterprise Association, the court found that a lawfully written work preservation clause could be applied lawfully only to employers who had a "right to control" the work at issue. In that case, a subcontractor bid on and obtained a job from a general contractor that required the subcontractor to install pipes that had been threaded and cut by the manufacturer of the pipes. The Court held that since the subcontractor did not have control of whether pre-threaded and pre-cut pipes were to be installed, it would be unlawful to enforce the otherwise lawfully written work preservation clause by permitting employees to refuse to install them.

Work Preservation Clause

An example of a possibly lawful work preservation clause was reviewed by the court in Sheetmetal Division of Capital District's Sheetmetal, Roofing & Air Conditioning Contractors Association, Inc. v. Local 38 of the Sheetmetal Workers International Association, 208 F. 3d 18 (2000). The clause at issue in that case stated as follows:

To protect and preserve for the Building Trades employees covered by this Agreement all work they have performed and all work covered by the Agreement, and to prevent any device or subterfuge to avoid the protection and preservation of such work; it is agreed that all of the work requiring sketching and fabrication shall be performed by employees hereunder, either in the shop or on the jobsite within the geographical jurisdiction of [Local 38].

Even though this clause applied away from jobsites (specifically "in the shop") the court held that it could be a lawful work preservation clause if the union could establish that its members had historically performed the sketching and fabrication work performed within the local's geographical jurisdiction.

This case is one of many that points out the need for very close scrutiny of the language of subcontracting provisions, as well as the facts concerning the historical performance of work, in deciding whether a subcontracting restriction in a collective bargaining agreement is valid.
### 3.10.1.3 Area Standards Clauses

Clauses that prohibit subcontracting of bargaining unit work except to subcontractors that pay their employees at least an equivalent amount of wages and benefits as contained in the employer's collective bargaining agreement with the union (known as area standards clauses) are also lawful for any employing industry, construction or not. Such clauses are mandatory subjects of bargaining. The object of such a clause is to remove any economic incentive for subcontracting bargaining unit work to any other employer. Such a clause does not attempt to dictate whether any potential subcontractor is "union" or not. It is another type of "work preservation" clause that does not violate Section 8(e).

### 3.10.2 Anti-Dual Shop Clauses

Anti-dual shop clauses are provisions attempting to require that any other construction businesses in which the signatory contractor has any control or ownership are also covered by the terms of the collective bargaining agreement in which the anti-dual shop clause is contained.

The Board has decided cases holding that some clauses with these objectives are illegal under Section 8(e) of the NLRA. One such case is Sheet Metal Workers Local 91 and The Schebler Company, 294 NLRB No. 61 (1989).

There were several issues before the Board in the Schebler case, but the one we are concerned about here is whether the so-called integrity clause violated Section 8(e) of the NLRA. In this regard, the Board held that since the clause affected affiliated companies merely on the basis of common ownership and not because they were so closely affiliated that they met the single-employer test, the clause was illegally broad. In other words, even a dual shop operation properly established (one that is not a single employer under the tests of common ownership, common management, centralized control of labor relations and interrelationship of operations) would be covered by the clause. If such a clause is proposed at the bargaining table, the Board should find it illegal as was the case in Schebler.

However, it is risky to simply refuse to discuss a clause that an employer thinks is an illegal anti-dual shop clause. A clause that is written so that it defines other shops only in terms of the single-employer tests would be lawful. Counsel should review any such clauses in light of the analysis in Schebler and similar cases before declaring at the bargaining table that it is illegal. Additionally, refusing to agree to a proposal simply because it is illegal and without giving any other reason can be dangerous because the law can change. If presented with a clause believed to be illegal, an employer may say the clause is illegal, but should also point out why it would not agree to it even if it were legal. There are several possibly good reasons, as discussed below. A Board decision that is instructive on anti-dual shop clauses is Painters District Council 51 (Manganaro Corp., Maryland), 321 NLRB 158 (1996). In that case, the Board concluded that the anti-dual shop clause proposed was a mandatory subject of bargaining. Manganaro is a very complicated decision, but it illustrates that employers that want to oppose such clauses should do so on principle as well as on the basis of illegality. [See the text box below for details.]
Despite the Board's finding that the integrity clause in *Schebler* was unlawful, the Board found a very similar clause to be a lawful mandatory subject of bargaining in *Painters District Council 51 (Manganaro Corp) Maryland*, 321 NLRB 158 (1996). The *Manganaro* clause stated as follows, in pertinent part:

To protect and preserve, for the employees covered by this Agreement, all work they have performed and all work covered by this Agreement, and to prevent any device or subterfuge to avoid the protection and preservation of such work, it is agreed as follows: if the Contractor performs on-site construction work of the type covered by this Agreement, under its own name or the name of another, as a corporation, company, partnership, or other business entity, including a joint venture, wherein the Contractor through its officers, directors, partners, owners or stockholders exercises directly or indirectly (including but not limited to management, control, or majority ownership through family members), management, control or majority ownership, the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be applicable to all such work. (emphasis added)

The finding of lawfulness of the foregoing clause apparently turns on the use of the word "exercises." Under this clause, the mere potential for control of a separate firm would not be sufficient to bring that second firm within the coverage of the Agreement. In other words, the Board found that if an employer actually exercises management, control or majority ownership over a second firm, the two firms would, of necessity, fail the separate employer test, i.e., the two firms would constitute a single employer.

**Caution:** The complexity of the language of anti-dual shop clauses such as those in *Schebler* and *Manganaro* underscore the need for the parties to scrutinize proposed anti-dual shop clauses extremely carefully, probably seeking the advice of experienced labor counsel to determine whether the clause is likely to be found lawful or unlawful by the Board.

---

**Single Versus Separate Employer**

If an anti-dual shop clause is broad enough that it would require application of the agreement to a firm that is truly a separate employer, then it has a secondary objective and is illegal under Section 8(e) and unenforceable (see the anti-dual shop clause discussion above).

In a related context, the Board is frequently called upon to decide whether two or more nominally separate businesses should in fact be considered one single employer for purposes of applying the National Labor Relations Act to that employer's relationships with its employees. The Board applies a test first announced by the Supreme Court of the United States in *Radio Union Local 1264 v. Broadcast Service of Mobile, Inc.*, 380 U.S. 255 (1965). The Court said that the four controlling factors for determining whether two or more nominally separate enterprises constitute a single employer are:

1. The interrelation of operations of the enterprises;
2. Common management of the enterprises;
3. Centralized control of labor relations; and
4. Common ownership of the enterprises.

The Court said that no one factor is controlling but that the "totality of circumstances" must be reviewed in each case to determine whether the enterprises are in fact a single employer.

The question frequently arises in the construction industry when a union signatory contractor is accused of creating another business enterprise to operate on an open shop basis. This is commonly referred to as a "double breasted" operation. If the two firms are found to be separate employers, the non-union firm is not bound by the union firm's collective bargaining agreement, nor must it recognize or bargain with the union. If the two firms are found to be a single employer, however, the non-union firm will still have no obligation to apply the existing contract to its employees if the combined employees of both firms do not constitute a single appropriate unit for bargaining. *Peter Kiewit Sons Company*, 231 NLRB No. 13 (1977). Conversely, if the two firms are deemed to be a single employer, and if the combined employees of both firms are deemed to be an appropriate unit for bargaining, the non-union firm will have an obligation to apply the existing contract to its employees. *Naccarato Construction Company*, 233 NLRB No. 196 (1977).
Schebler: the Anti-Dual Shop “Integrity Clause”

SECTION ONE: A ‘bad faith employer’ for purposes of this Agreement is an Employer that itself, or through a person or persons subject to an owner's control, has ownership interests (other than a non-controlling interest in a corporation whose stock is publicly traded) in any business entity that engages in work within the scope of SFUA Article I herein above using employees whose wage package, hours, and working conditions are inferior to those prescribed in this Agreement or, if such business entity is located or operating in another area, inferior to those prescribed in the agreement of the sister local union affiliated with Sheet Metal Workers' International Association, AFL-CIO in that area.

An Employer is also a “bad faith employer” when it is owned by another business entity as its direct subsidiary or as a subsidiary of any other subsidiary within the corporate structure thereof through a parent-subsidy and/or holding company relationship, and any other business entity within such corporate structure is engaging in work within the scope of SFUA Article I herein above using employees whose wage package, hours, and working conditions are inferior to those prescribed in this Agreement or, if such other business entity is located or operating in another area, inferior to those prescribed in the agreement of the sister local union affiliated with Sheet Metal Workers' International Association, AFL-CIO in that area.

SECTION TWO: Any Employer that signs this agreement or is covered thereby by virtue of being a member of a multiemployer bargaining unit expressly represents to the union that it is not a “bad-faith employer” as such term is defined in Section 1 herein above and, further, agrees to advise the union promptly if at any time during the life of this Agreement said Employer changes its mode of operation and becomes a “bad-faith employer.” Failure to give timely notice of being or becoming a “bad-faith employer” shall be viewed as fraudulent conduct on the part of such Employer.

In the event any Employer signatory to or bound by this Agreement shall be guilty of fraudulent conduct as defined above, such Employer shall be liable to the union for liquidated damages at the rate of $500 per calendar day from the date of failure to notify the union until the date on which the Employer gives notice to the union. The claim for liquidated damages shall be processed as a grievance in accordance with, and within the time limits prescribed by, the provisions of SFUA Article X.

SECTION THREE: Whenever the union becomes aware that an Employer has been or is a “bad faith employer,” it shall be entitled, notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, to demand that the Agreement between it and such “bad-faith employer” be rescinded. A claim for rescission shall be processed by the union as a contract grievance in accordance with, and within the time limits prescribed under, the provisions of SFUA Article X of this Agreement.

While anti-dual shop cases may be advocated as appropriate choices in some areas of the country, other contractors facing significant market challenges in other areas may want to resist them. Additionally, anti-dual shop clauses sometimes have unintended consequences. If an employer’s collective bargaining agreement contained a broad type of anti-dual shop clause, the employer might not even be able to invest, as a passive investor, in other companies such as a small construction company that was doing residential construction, without, according to the terms of the clause, applying its collective bargaining agreement to that company.

3.10.3 The Union Political Action Committee Check-Off

Political action committee check-off clauses are permissive subjects of bargaining. That is, they are permissive so long as the "checkoff" is optional with the employees. It is an unfair labor practice for a union to insist to the point of impasse and strike on inclusion of a voluntary check-off in an agreement.

Additionally, such a check-off that does not give an employee the option to refrain from participating is an illegal subject of bargaining. Thus, agreeing to a clause which required political action check-offs would be illegal for both the employer and the union.

3.10.4 Industry Fund Contributions

Industry fund contributions are also permissive subjects of bargaining. In essence, an industry fund clause is one in which the union agrees with the employer to use the collective bargaining agreement as the collection mechanism for the money to finance multiemployer bargaining unit operations. Neither employers nor unions can insist to impasse that such clauses be included in the collective bargaining agreements.
Additionally, if an employer assigns bargaining rights to an association, and does not specifically state that the assignment includes all subjects which may be bargained lawfully under the NLRA, or that it applies to both mandatory and permissive subjects of bargaining, the association that receives the bargaining rights may be found not to have the right to bind the assigning employers to an industry promotion fund clause. The Board generally believes that an assignment of bargaining rights, without a specific reference to permissive subjects of bargaining, is an assignment only of the right to bargain on mandatory subjects of bargaining.

One recent case addressing industry fund contributions is worthy of note. In U.A. Local 342 Apprenticeship & Training Trust v. Babcock & Wilcox Construction Co., Inc., 396 F.3d 1056 (9th Cir. 2005), the employer was signatory to the union's national maintenance agreement, which incorporated the terms of local agreements on the issue of benefit fund contributions. The local agreement in question provided for a mandatory contribution to an apprenticeship training fund and a voluntary contribution to an industry promotion fund. However, the local agreement provided that the employer was obligated to make an additional payment to the apprenticeship fund if it opted to not make the industry fund contribution. The employer in this case refused to make the extra contributions and the apprenticeship fund sued to collect. In refusing to pay, the employer relied on the national agreement's policy committee's decision that the local agreement's extra payment was "contrary to the spirit and intent" of the national agreement. Even so, the court sided with the fund, and held that the language of the agreement was clear and the employer was required to make the extra contribution to the apprenticeship fund. The court further held that the position of the policy committee was not entitled to deference in this case and that the fund, as a non-party to the master agreement, had not agreed to be bound by its grievance process where deference would have been given to the policy committee's position.

Two additional items are also worthy of note. First, the case did not address any antitrust issues (i.e., such as those raised in the NCA/NECA/IBEW case, discussed above) in reaching this decision, but had it done so it might have noted the lack of competitive impact on a third-party, as was the determining factor in the NCA/NECA/IBEW case. Second, the case also did not address, but nonetheless raises, an interesting issue of whether the contribution in question was a permissive subject of bargaining (as it would be if it were characterized as an industry fund contribution) or a mandatory subject of bargaining (as it would be if it were characterized as an apprenticeship fund contribution). Employers should keep all of these potential issues in mind, and consult legal counsel, if they intend to negotiate a similar provision.

Practical Tip/Caution: Trade Association Payroll Deduction/PAC check-off

In August 2005, the Federal Election Commission, for the first time, authorized trade association member companies to provide payroll deduction check-offs for their executive and administrative personnel who decide to make contributions to their company's trade association political action committee. (The standard rules requiring the company representative to the association to first authorize PAC solicitation in a prior authorization form still apply). However, there are expansive requirements in these rules that require caution. In the PAC check off rules for trade association member company exempt employees, organized labor insisted on a wide-ranging requirement that may inhibit the use of the association check off. That is, if the trade association member company allows its exempt employees to have a payroll deduction service for sending contributions to that company's trade association PAC, then that company and any of its corporate affiliates (no matter if the related company or affiliate is a member of that association) must also allow any of its union-represented workers to also request payroll deduction for contributions to their union PAC and the employer may not charge those union employees anything more than reasonable cost for providing the payroll deduction service.

An MEBU may want to consider agreeing to a union proposal to add or increase the voluntary PAC checkoff for union members in return for the local union waiving whatever right it has under the FEC rules to insist on PAC checkoff by other employees of the employers or their affiliates.
3.10.5 SUBSTANCE ABUSE TESTING CLAUSES

Clauses permitting employers to require their employees, as a condition of employment, to undergo tests to determine the presence of drugs or alcohol in their systems are more and more frequently becoming a subject of collective bargaining. Such clauses are often proposed by employers or employer associations on their own initiative. Such clauses are also frequently mandated by owners as a condition of employment, to undergo their systems are more and more frequently becoming sensitive positions) in their proposed model.

3.10.5.2 "FOR-CAUSE" TESTING

Testing for "cause" involves selection of employees for testing on the basis of some belief by the employer that the employee possesses, has used, is under the influence of, or has ingested drugs or alcohol on the job. Observations by supervisors or other management personnel may satisfy the "cause" requirement. In some cases, reports that the employer believes to be reliable may satisfy the "cause" requirement. "Close calls" (near misses or near accidents) may also satisfy the "cause" standard. Obviously, close calls are closely related to the "post-accident or injury" type of testing referred to below.

3.10.5.3 POST-INCIDENT/ACCIDENT OR INJURY TESTING

As the name implies, this testing consists of sending employees who have been involved in an on-the-job incident/accident or injury for testing to determine whether drugs or alcohol played a part in the incident.

3.10.5.4 PRE-EMPLOYMENT TESTING

Pre-employment testing involves testing individuals prior to beginning employment with the employer (or before working in the area, in the case of a multiemployer local area agreement). Pre-employment testing of individuals for illegal drug use is permissible and does not violate the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Pre-employment testing of individuals for alcohol use may be done only consistently with the ADA guidelines for medical examinations. This means such testing may not be done before a tentative decision to hire an individual has been made. Additionally, under the ADA, positive tests for alcohol may not disqualify an individual for employment sector employees (truck drivers, for example). Random testing is prohibited specifically in some jurisdictions by statute, ordinance, regulation or case law. A review of the law concerning random drug testing should be made before seeking to require it in any state or locality. The UA and the MCAA have included a type of random testing (unannounced, unscheduled testing of employees in safety-sensitive positions) in their proposed model.

3.10.5.1 UNSCHEDULED ("RANDOM") TESTING

 Unscheduled testing involves the selection of one or more employees on an unscheduled basis for testing. It involves no suspicious behavior or other cause on the part of the employee selected. Random testing has been upheld in some circumstances in the public sector (for some government employees) and has been mandated for some categories of private

The Beck Decision and Political Contributions

In Communication Workers of America v Beck, 128 LRRM 2729 (1988), the Supreme Court of the United States issued a decision in a case which had been brought by an employee who was not a member of a union and who objected to paying the equivalent of full union dues under a union security clause. The employee, Beck, argued, among other things, that such compulsory payments would require him to support political causes for which the union spent some of its dues receipts. The Court held that a lawful union security clause cannot compel a non-union member bargaining-unit employee, over the employee's objection, to pay any more to the union that represents the employee than the amount necessary to support union activities "germane to collective bargaining, contract administration, and grievance adjustment." The Court reaffirmed an earlier decision in which it had held that a provision of the Railway Labor Act did not permit a union, over the objection of non-members, to expend compelled agency fees on political causes, and held that the same was true under the National Labor Relations Act.

Unions are now under an obligation to advise employees of their rights prior to the time the employees become subject to a union security clause, and then to issue at least annual reminders thereafter. In California Saw & Knifeworks, 320 NLRB No. 11 (1995), the Board imposed an affirmative obligation on the part of unions to notify members of their obligation on the part of unions to notify members of their union activities "germane to collective bargaining, contract administration, and grievance adjustment." The Court reaffirmed an earlier decision in which it had held that a provision of the Railway Labor Act did not permit a union, over the objection of non-members, to expend compelled agency fees on political causes, and held that the same was true under the National Labor Relations Act. The Beck Decision
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automatically. The difference in testing procedures for alcohol and drugs are due to the fact that under the ADA, tests for alcohol are considered medical examinations, while tests for illegal drug use are not.

All of the types of testing discussed above are mandatory subjects of bargaining except pre-employment testing. Since random, "for cause," or post-accident or injury testing is a condition of employment, an employer must bargain with unions that represent its employees about whether such testing will be conducted and the consequences of a positive test. Employers must bargain about whether discipline, including termination, can be imposed on employees who test positive. Employees may be entitled to have union representation at the site of collection of specimens for testing, although the union representative should not be entitled to observe the actual voiding of the specimen any more than the collection site personnel themselves, who generally do not make such observations. Employers must bargain about whether employees will receive counseling and/or treatment for drug or alcohol abuse.

Since applicants are not employees, the Board has held that employers need not bargain about pre-employment testing. Accordingly, pre-employment testing is a permissive subject of bargaining.

Be mindful that some owners (both public agencies and private companies) and certain general contractors may mandate that certain specific types of substance abuse testing be in place with respect to a contractor's workforce as a condition of being awarded a contract from such owner or general contractor. Any drug testing clause negotiated by an employer into an agreement with a union should provide for the substitution of owner-mandated drug testing requirements to the extent such requirements are at odds with the employer's negotiated procedure. Failure to do so may cause the employer to be ineligible for work from owners who have such requirements.

3.10.6 Hiring Hall Clauses

For employers engaged primarily in the construction industry, hiring and referral hall clauses are permitted specifically by Section 8(f) of the NLRA. As noted above, Section 8(f) agreements may provide for the employer to give the union advance notice of any vacancies that exist and even provide for exclusive union referral of applicants. In addition, such agreements may establish minimum training, experience, or longevity periods with the employer or in the industry or geographical area that must be met by all applicants for employment. Unions operating hiring or referral halls may not discriminate on the basis of union membership or non-membership, and owe a duty of fair representation to all registrants, even registrants who are not members of the union.

Many older cases have held that hiring hall clauses are mandatory subjects of bargaining. However, those cases were decided before the NLRB ruled that parties were under no duty to bargain over the terms of new Section 8(f) agreements. Since current Board authority holds that there is no duty to bargain under Section 8(f), and since hiring clauses are authorized specifically under that section, there is now some question whether such clauses continue to be mandatory subjects of bargaining in the Section 8(f) context.

Exclusive hiring hall clauses are those in which the employer agrees that it will not hire any employees without bargaining unit jobs except those referred to the employer by the union. However, even in these cases, the employer must retain the right to accept or reject referrals. Generally, these clauses provide that if the union cannot refer a sufficient number of qualified individuals within a specified number of hours (most often 24 or 48), the employer may then hire from any source it chooses. Even exclusive hiring hall provisions should include specific exceptions when such are necessary for the contractor to meet affirmative action goals under applicable executive orders, such as Executive Order 11246 (see Appendix II) regarding the employment of women and minorities on certain federal and federally-assisted projects or other public/private affirmative active employment requirements.

In making referrals and understanding the referral process, it is important to know that, as noted earlier, there are certain union referral practices for which an employer could be held liable. For example, a union is not permitted to discriminate in its referral practices based on whether an individual is or is not a union member. In addition, a union is not permitted to
discriminate in its referral practices based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability or other characteristics that may be protected by applicable laws or regulations. However, if a union does so discriminate, an employer that has made the union its exclusive source for obtaining new employees may be held liable, along with the union, for discriminatory hiring practices. Contractors should therefore push for inclusion of indemnification clauses that would obligate the union to indemnify the contractor for any and all losses, including attorney's fees, arising out of the union's operation of its hiring hall. Such clauses could include indemnification only, could include the union's duty to defend the employer, or could include other provisions to otherwise protect the employer from liability.

An additional issue that arises with regard to referrals through union hiring halls is a "key man" or "by name" referral. These are referrals whereby employers want to be able to call the union and request individuals with certain key skills or request individuals by name when the employer knows of the particular work ability of such individuals. As long as such "key man" or "by name" requests are not subterfuges for unlawful discrimination, such clauses are lawful. Examples of the types of subterfuges for unlawful discrimination that could be involved are when requests for individuals by name are used by an employer to deliberately screen out all minorities or women, or in situations where requests for certain skills are made when the specific skills are not needed but the contractor knows that requesting such skills will again screen out minorities or women.

A collective bargaining agreement may also include a non-exclusive hiring hall clause. Nonexclusive hiring hall clauses are those which provide that the employer may request the union to send the employer employees, but that the employer is not required to do so and thus remains free at all times to hire from any source it chooses. Employees hired by the employers, whether through the hiring hall or not, will be covered by the union security clause of the agreement which can require payment of fees to the union after the 7th day of employment.

In order to be lawful, a hiring clause of any type must provide that the employer has the right to reject any applicant referred by the union. However, the right to reject may not be used by the employer to discriminate against an applicant on the basis of protected characteristics such as those mentioned above.

Finally, of course, hiring hall clauses are not required. Agreements that are silent on the subject do not require an employer to call the union for referrals, but allow the employer to hire employees from any source it chooses. Some agreements provide for such "open hiring" expressly.

3.10.7 Jurisdiction Claimed in Union Constitution vs. Jurisdiction in Collective Bargaining Agreement

In the constitution of most building trades unions is a statement of the work jurisdiction claimed by the union. In recent years, for various reasons including technological developments and a changing marketplace, unions have amended their constitutions to add to their constitutionally claimed jurisdiction. Theoretically, unions could delete items from...
their claimed jurisdiction, but we are not aware of any unions having done so.

When a national union expands it constitutional definition of its jurisdiction, contractors can expect that they will soon receive proposals from the local unions to expand the scope of jurisdiction in the collective bargaining agreements to incorporate the newly added tasks. Contractors and MEBU negotiators need to be alert for this. There is nothing in the National Labor Relations Act that makes unions' claims of jurisdiction over something – even if it is in the unions' constitutions – binding on the employers who enter into collective bargaining agreements with those unions. In other words, just because a union includes some tasks within its own constitutional definition of its work jurisdiction does not mean contractors need to agree to include those tasks as work covered in their collective bargaining agreements.

Union negotiators will likely tell contractors that the contractors must add such work to the definition of covered work. They may further claim that their own good standing status with the parent union depends on their defense of the constitutionally claimed work jurisdiction. However, regardless of the local union negotiators' union membership obligations, a union's constitutional claims of jurisdiction are not binding on contractors. To the contrary, a proposal to expand the scope of work covered by a collective bargaining agreement, even if it is based on expansion of a union's constitutional jurisdiction, is a permissive subject of bargaining. Accordingly, for contractors in 9(a) relationships, it is not something the union may lawfully insist on including in the agreement over contractors' objections.

Caution: Adopting UA Constitution Jurisdictional Points by reference could import later expansion

If a local collective bargaining agreement states that it incorporates by reference the complete scope of work jurisdiction as contained in the UA Constitution, the MEBU should insist that the reference specifies which edition of the UA Constitution is being referenced. A general incorporation statement that does not identify the edition of the UA Constitution may find that its collective bargaining agreement automatically incorporates future expansions of jurisdiction without even knowing it.

Observation: Proposed Expansion of Bargaining Unit to Include Off-Site BIM/CAD Employees

The UA recently amended its constitution to expand its jurisdiction to extend to include Building Information Modeling (BIM) and Computer-Assisted Design (CAD) tasks associated with mechanical components of projects. The new descriptions can be found in the UA Constitution (Revised and Amended at Las Vegas, Nevada, August 8-12, 2011) under "Jurisdiction of the Work of the United Association" found at pages 173 and following.

Local employer bargaining groups may expect UA local unions to propose to add BIM/CAD work to the scope of work provisions of the collective bargaining agreements, and to propose to include personnel performing BIM/CAD functions in the bargaining units. The contractors/MEBUs are not obligated to agree to such proposals.

Contractors in 9(a) relationships may advise the UA that the expansion of the scope of the bargaining unit is a permissive subject of bargaining and that the contractors do not agree to them.

Contractors in 8(f) relationships may do the same. Contractors in 8(f) relationships may also take the position that persons who perform all such work off-site (in a home office, for example) may not be covered by 8(f) agreements in any event. The Board has held that persons whose work is not performed at the site of construction as part of combining materials into a structure are not eligible for coverage in 8(f) agreements. See, Const., Bldg., Materials & Misc. Drivers, Local 83 (Various Employers), 243 NLRB 328 (1979); and Forest City/Dillon-Tecon Pacific, 209 NLRB No. 141 (1974).
3.10.8 CRAFT JURISDICTION/JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTE ISSUES

Another issue to be alert to at the bargaining table is that of craft jurisdiction. Most collective bargaining agreements contain very detailed provisions setting forth the jurisdiction of work claimed by the union and covered by the collective bargaining agreement. Unfortunately, it is all too common for craft jurisdiction clauses of different agreements to overlap and provide that some work is claimed by more than one craft and covered by more than one collective bargaining agreement. More often than might be expected, contractors find themselves having signed more than one agreement that either expressly or implicitly cover some of the same work. In an attempt to avoid such problems, contractors are urged to keep abreast of the craft jurisdiction clauses contained in any and all of the craft agreements they sign.

However, whether an individual contactor has signed two or more agreements covering the same work, it is still possible that other contractors on a jobsite may have signed agreements with other crafts that cover some of the same work covered in a given contractor’s agreement. In such circumstances, it is possible for jurisdictional disputes to arise.

A jurisdictional dispute occurs when a union claims that work being performed on a construction site by employees who are not its members should be performed by its members. A jurisdictional dispute can occur when non-union employees are performing the work (in this context it is probably also recognitional or organizational in nature). A jurisdictional dispute can occur, as noted, when employees of another contractor that has a contract with another craft union are performing the work. Finally, one can occur when the union is one of several unions that has a contract with the contractor whose employees are performing the work, and claims that the contractor assigned the work to the wrong craft union.

Whenever any jurisdictional dispute exists, virtually any action taken by a union, other than pursuing a contractual grievance and arbitration remedy, to force or coerce a contractor to assign work to the union’s members is an unfair labor practice.

1. A threat to shut down the job if the work is not reassigned is an unfair labor practice.

2. A threat to shut down another job if the work is not reassigned is an unfair labor practice.

3. A threat against another contractor to get that contractor to pressure the "offending" contractor to reassign the work is an unfair labor practice.

4. Picketing with the object of carrying out any of the above threats is also an unfair labor practice.

In a jurisdictional dispute case there are two issues to be resolved:

1. The question of who should be doing the work – the resolution of this does not involve a question of illegality – it is lawful for the dispute to exist.

2. The possible unfair labor practice – the means chosen to enforce such a claim – is the action taken to enforce the claim unlawful?

If the action taken to enforce the claim is picketing, the National Labor Relations Board (Board) is empowered to proceed to federal court to enjoin the picketing while the jurisdictional question is being resolved. The Board can also seek to enjoin threats, but seldom does since little purpose is served. Only the Board can file for an injunction to prohibit jurisdictional dispute picketing or threats. The Board can do this after investigation following the filing of an unfair labor practice charge.

Once a jurisdictional dispute is brought before the Board by the filing of an unfair labor practice charge, the award (assignment) of the work will be resolved in one of two ways.

1. A voluntary method of resolution agreed on by the competing unions and the employer who will employ the people who will do the work.

2. A Board determination – if the parties cannot agree on a voluntary method of resolution within ten days after the filing of the charge, the Board will decide the award of the work in a proceeding held under Section 10(k) of the Act.
The Board Section 10(k) hearing is mandatory, not voluntary, once the Board processes have been invoked. At the Section 10(k) hearing, the Board will consider collective bargaining agreements, area practices and other factors, and will actually award the work to one of the competing groups of employees. Once a jurisdictional dispute has been resolved and an award of the work made, it is not an unfair labor practice for the unit to whom the work was awarded to picket or threaten to picket to enforce the award. Therefore, employers must accept the award issued by the Board, or face the prospect of having their jobs shut down by lawful picketing.

The most common alternative to the Board Section 10(k) proceeding is agreement to be bound by a document known as the Plan for the Settlement of Jurisdictional Disputes in the Construction Industry. The Plan has been approved and is administered by the Building and Construction Trades Department of the AFL/CIO and the craft-signatory employer associations – MCAA, NECA, NACA, SMACNA, and TAUC. The differing factors, or at least the different priority given to the various factors, used to determine assignments of work by the Board and under the Plan are contrasted in the text box on below.

Under either the Board Section 10(k) proceeding or the Plan, all that is decided is the award of the work to one of the competing groups. Neither the Board nor the Plan will direct the payment of back pay or any other damages in the event that the work has been assigned previously to a group other than the one to which the work is awarded through the proceeding.

3.10.9 Paid Holidays

In some areas of the country, unions and employers have agreed to agree to paid holidays in construction industry collective bargaining agreements. In the past, most construction industry collective bargaining agreements

---

**Factors Considered in 10(k) Proceedings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTORS CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employer Preference as to who will do the work. (This is not hard to determine since the contractor presumably awarded the work to the group it preferred.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economy and Efficiency—which group can more economically and efficiently perform the work. This, of course, requires a comparison of wage and fringe levels of the unions involved and also whether the disputed work is a part of a larger job, the rest of which one union may be qualified to do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective Bargaining Agreements—do any or all of the unions have collective bargaining agreements with the contractor that specifically cover the work in dispute?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Certification—the Board will consider it relevant if one or more of the unions won a Board-conducted election which covered the specific work in dispute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills of the Competing Groups—the Board will examine any facts presented that show that one group possesses greater or more appropriate skills for performing the work in dispute.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarity to Other Work—if none of the competing groups has a history of performing the work in dispute, the Board will examine facts presented which would show the work in dispute is similar to work traditionally and customarily performed by any of the competing groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevailing Practice—is there a history of one group performing this work for other contractors in the same geographic area or specific segment of the industry?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards in Prior Cases—the Board will look to see if the same parties have ever before submitted the same dispute to an arbitrator or to a neutral agency such as the Plan for the Settlement of Jurisdictional Disputes in the Construction Industry (Plan). Note: a prior award is only relevant if the parties to the current dispute (contractor and all competing groups of employees) were parties to the prior award.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FACTORS CONSIDERED BY THE PLAN**

Whether a previous decision or agreement of record between the parties to the dispute governs.

Whether there is an applicable agreement between the crafts governing the case.

The established trade practice and prevailing practice in the locality.

Because efficiency, cost or continuity and good management are essential to the well-being of the industry, the Arbiter shall not ignore the interests of the consumer or the past practices of the employer. (Article V, Section 8 of the Plan)
**Practical Tip:**
Federal holidays are not automatically recognized holidays under local collective bargaining agreements

Questions frequently arise as to how the bargaining agreement handles those predictable circumstances when a President declares a national holiday for the federal workforce. For example, if the President declares the day after Thanksgiving to be a federal holiday, or the day before the 4th of July, often bargaining parties question whether that declaration applies to the private sector workforce, and then whether workers under the agreement are entitled to the time off or premium pay for working on that day. The short answer is that the federal workforce holiday schedule is not incorporated in any way in the time-off provisions of the typical local area collective bargaining agreement. The federal personnel administration law (5 USC § 6103 and EO 11582) sets out the standard federal holidays, and states that if they fall on Saturday, they are to be observed on Friday; if on Sunday, then on Monday. Unless the local agreement incorporates the federal alternate scheduling, then the holiday schedule in the local agreement operates independently of the federal law. Similarly, ad hoc federal holidays are not automatically observed under private agreements.

Simply recognized certain holidays throughout the course of the calendar year as days on which no work would be performed except in cases of emergency. Occasionally the agreements would provide that if work did need to be performed on those holidays, it would be paid for at premium rates. However, employees were generally not paid for holidays that were not worked.

In some areas, contractors and unions that find themselves competing with the open shop for qualified employees have begun to agree to include paid holidays in agreements to match the pay and benefits practices of some of the open shop competition. Such clauses typically provide that eligible employees will receive a normal day's pay (usually 8 hours at straight time) for each of the paid holidays negotiated into the agreement even though the employees do not work on such holidays. Obviously, the cost of providing such paid holidays should be taken into account when computing the cost of the overall wage and benefit package.

Additional considerations regarding holidays are necessary when the holidays are "paid" as opposed to "unpaid" time off. Most collective bargaining agreements that provide paid holidays also provide certain minimum eligibility requirements. Often these include a minimum number of hours worked for the employer during the course of a calendar year, working the last scheduled day before and the first scheduled day after the paid holiday, and similar provisions to prevent paid time off abuses.

**3.10.10 Apprentice Day School – Paid Time**

In some areas of the country, unions and employers are proposing that some of the apprentices' classroom time take place during regular work hours, or during specific hours at the direction of the employer or apprentice program. Unions have proposed in some cases that apprentices be paid for the hours spent in such classroom instruction.

Whether to agree to pay the apprentices for such hours is a matter for the employer to negotiate with the craft union. Under the Department of Labor's regulations implemented pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Department has published 29 C.F.R. § 785.32 entitled "Apprenticeship Training.” It provides as follows:

As an enforcement policy, time spent in an organized program of related, supplemental...
instruction by employees working under bona
fide apprenticeship programs may be excluded
from working time if the following criteria are
met:

- a. the apprentice is employed under a
  written apprenticeship agreement or
  program which substantially meets the
  fundamental standards of the Bureau of
  Apprenticeship and Training of the U.S.
  Department of Labor; and
- b. such time does not involve
  productive work or performance of the
  apprentice’s regular duties. If the above
criteria are met, the time spent in such
related supplemental training shall not
be counted as hours worked unless the
written agreement specifically provides
that it is hours worked. The mere
payment or agreement to pay for time
spent in related instruction does not
constitute an agreement that such time
is hours worked.

Accordingly, it appears that time spent in
classroom instruction need not be considered
hours worked for purposes of the Fair Labor
Standards Act minimum wage and overtime
requirements. Employers may agree to pay for
such time, but are not obligated to count it as
time worked in the absence of specific
agreement to the contrary.

3.10.11 Contributions to Union Organizing
Efforts

In some cases, proposals may raise
questions relating to unions seeking additional
funds to use in their quest to organize the
employees of non-union or open shop
contractors. While generally, the union
signatory contractors may view union efforts in
this regard as a positive development for market
competitiveness, any request that employers
help subsidize union organizing efforts should
be turned down categorically, as there are
potential major legal problems in that context.

Direct contributions to a union’s treasury, or
a union-administered fund, for the purpose of
underwriting union organizing efforts is likely a
violation both of the criminal provisions of
Section 302 of the Taft-Hartley Act and of
Section 8(a)2 of the National Labor Relations
Act. The Taft-Hartley provisions contain a
broad prohibition on providing money to unions
directly. Section 302(a) states as follows:

It shall be unlawful for any
employer or association of employers .
. . to pay, lend, or deliver, or agree to
pay, lend, or deliver, any money or
other thing of value -
1. to any representative of any of his
employees ... ; or
2. to any labor organization or any
officer or employee thereof, which
represents, seeks to represent, or
would admit to membership, any of
the employees of such employer ...

Section 302(b) is a corresponding section
that makes it unlawful for a labor organization to
request or accept the payments the employers
are prohibited from making under Section
302(a).

The statute goes on to enumerate several
exceptions to the broad prohibition, but none of
the exceptions (Section 302(c)(1)-(9)) cover
contributions to unions, or even to joint union-
management funds that have organizing
employees of other employers as one of their
purposes. The criminal penalties for violating
the above-described prohibitions are set forth in
Section 302(d)(2). That provision states as
follows:

...any person who willfully
violates this Section shall, upon
conviction thereof, be guilty of a
felony and be subject to a fine of not
more than $15,000 or imprisoned for
not more than five (5) years, or both;
but if the value of the amount of
money or thing of value involved in
any violation of the provisions of this
Section does not exceed $1,000, such
person shall be guilty of a
misdemeanor and be subject to a fine
of not more than $10,000, or
imprisoned for not more than one (1)
year, or both.

Additionally, in the National Labor
Relations Act Section 8(a)(2) provides that it is
an unfair labor practice for an employer:
Labor-Management Cooperation Committees

Labor-Management Cooperation Committees are authorized by the Taft-Hartley Act. Some contractor groups and unions have asked if these can be used to support union organizing efforts.

Taft-Hartley Act Section 302(c)(9) permits employers to provide:

…money or other things of value…to a plant, area or industry-wide labor management committee established for one or more of the purposes set forth in Section 5(b) of the Labor Management Cooperation Act of 1978.

Payments under Section 302(c)(9) are lawful only if made to a "labor-management committee" and only if that committee is established for one or more of the purposes set forth in Section 5(b) of the Labor Management Cooperation Act. Commentators seem to agree that the Section 5(b) reference is in fact to Section 6(b) of Public Law 95-524. That section states as follows with respect to purposes:

It is the purpose of this section

1. To improve communication between representatives of labor and management;
2. To provide workers and employers with opportunities to study and explore new and innovative joint approaches to achieving organizational effectiveness;
3. To assist workers and employers in solving problems of mutual concern not susceptible to resolution within the collective bargaining process;
4. To study and explore ways of eliminating potential problems which reduce the competitiveness and inhibit the economic development of the plant, area or industry;
5. To enhance the involvement of workers in making decision that effect their working lives;
6. To expand and improve working relationships between workers and managers; and
7. To encourage free collective bargaining by establishing continuing mechanisms for communication between employers and their employees through Federal Assistance to the Formation and Operation of Labor-Management Committees.

Caution: It is worth emphasizing that organizing per se is not a permissible activity for a labor-management cooperation committee.

The FMCS is authorized to provide assistance to such committees, but the statute authorizing such assistance (29 U.S.C. § 175A(b)(3)) states:

No grant may be made under the provisions of this section to any labor management committee which the Service finds to have as one of its purposes the discouragement of the exercise of rights contained in [Section 7 of the National Labor Relations Act], or the interference with collective bargaining in any plant, or industry. (emphasis added). In addition to the prohibition on FMCS contributions, it would be questionable for a committee itself to use its funds for influencing employee choice, as employer contributions are allowed under the statute if, and only if, the committee is fulfilling one of its established purposes. Additional issues could be raised by Section 8(a)(2)'s prohibition against an employer's financial support of a labor organization and the LM-10/LM-30 reporting requirements for persuader payments.

…to dominate or interfere with the formation or administration of any labor organization or contribute financial or other support to it... (emphasis added)

Accordingly, employers should be wary of the consequences of entering into any agreements to contribute money, on any basis, to unions for any purpose, and specifically for the purpose of helping organize employees of other employers.

3.10.12 THE INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS COUNSEL – THE "COUNCIL" CLAUSE

Many collective bargaining agreements between affiliates of the MCAA and the United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry of the United States and Canada (UA) contain what is known as an “IRC clause.” The clause obligates parties to submit issues that are unresolved after negotiations to Industrial Relations Council for the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry (IRC) for resolution. (The IRC also can be used for grievance settlements.)

The IRC is the creation of the Mechanical Contractors Association of America, the Union Affiliated Contractors of the National Association of Plumbing, Heating, Cooling Contractors, and the UA. It has been in existence since approximately 1950.

The IRC's charter agreement and its policies provide that the service it performs for employers and unions that submit unresolved collective bargaining agreements to the IRC is a type of "continued negotiation." The "continued negotiation" theory of the IRC policy is that the employer and union have not submitted issues to the IRC for adjudication, but instead have
appointed the IRC's management-appointed representatives and union-appointed representatives as the management and union representatives, respectively, of the employer(s) and union that are parties to the submission to the IRC.

The National Labor Relations Board has ruled that the "council clause" is a non-mandatory subject of bargaining, the same as interest arbitration clauses. Accordingly, under present law, no party to a bargaining relationship is obligated to bargain about the inclusion of an "IRC clause" in a collective bargaining agreements, and it is likely that if an unresolved agreement is submitted to the IRC for "negotiation" of a new agreement, the "IRC clause" may not lawfully be inserted in the new agreement by the IRC over the objection of one of the submitting parties. (See UA L.U. 387 v. MCA of Iowa, 33-CB-1678, NLRB, Feb. 11, 1983.)

Caution: Be aware that adoption of the IRC clause, or any interest arbitration clause, effectively converts an existing 8(f) contract, into a limited type 9(a) agreement

The IRC clause, or any interest arbitration clause, is an agreement-to-agree to a successor agreement. It therefore converts an otherwise terminable 8(f) agreement into another agreement, similar to what is required under 9(a), at least for one more term. This continues until the IRC or arbitration language is removed.

3.11 OTHER STATUTES THAT LIMIT BARGAINING CHOICES

Maintaining a bargaining relationship with a union and reaching a collective bargaining agreement with it does not immunize an employer from the impact of other laws regulating the work place and the employment relationship.

3.11.1 ANTI-DISCRIMINATION STATUTES

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, prohibits discrimination in employment on the basis of race, sex, national origin, religion, color. The Age Discrimination in Employment Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of age. The Americans with Disabilities Act prohibits discrimination against individuals with physical or mental disabilities. The Family and Medical Leave Act requires employers to provide unpaid leave to eligible persons whether they have agreed to such provisions in their collective bargaining agreements or not. The Genetic Information Non-Discrimination Act (GINA) prohibits employment discrimination on the basis of genetic information.

An employer and a union may not agree directly to provisions that are inconsistent with these statutes. For example, an employer and a union cannot agree to exclude women, blacks, or Catholics from equal opportunity for employment. This would be an example of an illegal subject of bargaining, discussed above.

An employer and a union may not do so indirectly either. If the agreement an employer reaches with a union contains a hiring hall clause in which the employer agrees to hire exclusively from the referrals sent to the employer by that union, the agreement will not insulate the employer from liability for unlawful discrimination practiced by the union. If the employer agrees to use a union's hiring hall, it should make certain that the collective bargaining agreement states that the hiring hall must be operated on a nondiscriminatory basis, and then it should monitor the union's actions in operating the hiring hall. If the union refers only young employees, only men, only whites, and discriminates in referrals against older, female or black employees, the employer could be liable for discrimination under Title VII or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act.

In a landmark 2009 decision, 14 Penn. Plaza v. Pyett, 556 U.S. 247 (2009), the Supreme Court of the United States held that under certain circumstances, federal employment discrimination claims can be subject to binding resolution under the arbitration clause of a collective bargaining agreement. In order for the decision of a labor arbitrator to have a preclusive effect on later litigation of the same claim, the arbitration clause must explicitly
mandate the arbitration of the type of discrimination claim at issue.

**Practical Tip:**
*Indemnification from the Union*

An indemnity clause from the union can be helpful in prompting legal compliance and lessening damages on the employer if there is a lawsuit or violation.

### 3.11.2 ERISA

As general background, the employer also needs to be aware of some general provisions of ERISA, the federal law regulating pension and welfare benefit plans. The construction industry's multiemployer pension, health and welfare, apprentice and other plans are all ERISA plans. The multiemployer plans are also Taft-Hartley plans. The Taft-Hartley Act requires that they be governed by written trust agreements and administered by trustees. Half of the trustees must be appointed by the union and half by management.

As employers, however, contractors may be part of the group that has the authority to appoint the trustees who will be subject to ERISA's fiduciary rules in administering the plans. Employer trustees on the boards of those plans are not employer representatives, or at least are not permitted under ERISA to act in the best interests of the employers. ERISA trustees are required to act solely in the interests of the "participants" and "beneficiaries" of the plans which means the employees and plan beneficiaries, not the participating employers or unions.

Thus, it is important to find out how much control over trust funds can be obtained at the bargaining table and write that control into the collective bargaining agreement. Employers should consult with counsel who understand ERISA’s provisions to find out how much can be achieved at the bargaining table to gain control over already existing multiemployer trust funds. This requires obtaining and reading the trust agreements. In some cases, little control can be acquired. Resistance to increasing the union's or the trustees' level of control may be all that is achievable.

If an employer is starting a new trust fund through the collective bargaining process, the employer has more opportunity to place controls on the discretion and authority of the trustees. Again, legal counsel should be consulted to determine the options and the best course to pursue.

Additionally, under ERISA, employers that withdraw completely or partially from underfunded multiemployer pension plans will generally be liable for a portion of the plan's unfunded vested benefits. The resulting liability is known as "withdrawal liability" and the computation of this liability is determined by statute. A complete withdrawal generally occurs when an employer (i) permanently ceases to have an obligation to contribute under the plan or (ii) permanently ceases all covered operations under the plan.

**Observation:**
*Recent Developments—Indemnification of Employers for Withdrawal Liability*

At least two United States Courts of Appeal (Pittsburgh Mack Sales & Serv. Inc. v. Operating Engineers Local 66 (3rd Circuit, 2009) and Shelter Distribution v. Teamsters Local 89 (6th Circuit, 2102) have upheld clauses in collective bargaining agreements by which unions agree to indemnify the employer if the employer is assessed withdrawal liability as a result of withdrawing from a multi-employer pension plan. In the 2012 case, the indemnification language in the collective bargaining agreement article requiring contributions to the multi-employer pension plan was simple and straightforward:

“The Union shall indemnify the Company for any contingent liability which may be imposed under the Multiemployer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980.”

In each of the cases, the unions argued to the courts that such indemnification clauses were void as against the public policy embodied in ERISA that requires employers be held liable for withdrawal liability. The courts rejected that argument. The courts fund that the employers remained liable to pay the withdrawal liability, and found no basis in law for prohibiting the unions from agreeing to reimburse the employers after they did so—in such circumstances, the fund still received the payment, and the policies underlying the system of withdrawal liability were given effect.
There is a special rule for withdrawing employers under clause (ii) above if the plan is for work performed in the building and construction industry. In the case of such a plan, an employer has liability for a complete withdrawal only if (i) the employer ceases to have an obligation to contribute under the plan, but then (ii) (A) continues to perform work in the jurisdiction of the collective bargaining agreement of the type for which contributions were previously required, or (B) resumes such work within five years after the date on which the obligation to contribute under the plan ceases, and does not renew the obligation at the time of the resumption.

The law regarding ERISA withdrawal liability is extremely complex, and requires consultation with employee benefits counsel experienced in these issues. Because withdrawal liability can be substantial depending on the health of the particular plan, legal advice should be sought before entering into or withdrawing from a multiemployer pension fund.

### Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

In 2011, Congress passed the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), which provides for sweeping changes to the American healthcare system. As of the time of this publication, some of these changes have already taken effect, while others will not take effect for several years.

On June 28, 2012, the Supreme Court of the United States issued an opinion upholding the constitutionality of the key provisions of the ACA. In the immediate future, this ruling means that changes instituted by the ACA will continue to take effect according to timetables set forth by the statute and its implementing regulations. Although it is possible that Congress could ultimately repeal or modify the ACA, Congress is presently so divided that no legislative action is likely unless and until elections result in a change in make-up.

While the case worked its way through the federal courts, many employers sought to include language in their collective bargaining agreements noting the possibility of future modifications to requirements imposed by the ACA, and setting forth how the parties would address such modifications. Now that the law has been declared constitutional, employers should consider addressing more specifically how the parties will deal with the requirements as they are rolled out and become effective.

### ACA, Health Care Exchanges, and Collective Bargaining Agreements

The ACA calls for states to establish health insurance exchanges that will provide a marketplace for individuals and small employers to purchase health insurance from "qualified health plans" that are approved by the exchanges. Once the exchanges are established, any individual will be eligible to purchase coverage through them. However, some individuals (those whose household income is between 100% and 400% of federal poverty level and who are not eligible for affordable employer-based coverage) will be eligible for federal tax credits to assist them in paying for the premiums for health insurance purchased through an exchange.

Some multiemployer plans such as those maintained pursuant to UA collective bargaining agreements have expressed concern that when insurance exchanges become operational, employers may stop contributing to Taft-Hartley trusts and eliminate health coverage, choosing instead to allow their low-income employees to purchase individual coverage on exchanges where they will receive tax credits. There is also concern that such employees might experience gaps in coverage in those months that they are not able to pay for exchange coverage when they are between jobs. As a result, some multiemployer plans have expressed a desire to become qualified health plans eligible to offer coverage under the exchanges in order to enable their low-income members to qualify for the federal tax credits to help pay for health coverage and to provide the continuity of coverage that multiemployer plans now offer. However, the ACA in its present form appears to limit the operation of a qualified health plan to state-licensed insurance issuers. Because Taft-Hartley plans are multiemployer ERISA plans governed by federal law, they do not appear to qualify as entities that may offer "qualified health plans" on an exchange. Furthermore, the ACA presently requires qualified health plans to sell insurance to any individual who wants to enroll in the plan, while enrollment in multiemployer plans is limited to individuals covered by collective bargaining agreements. While national multiemployer plan groups, including the MCAA, continue to lobby the Department of Health and Human Services to allow such plans to be deemed qualified health plans on an exchange, the Department’s exchange regulations presently state that the Department does not believe that the ACA supports the inclusion of multiemployer plans in the definition of qualified health plans. The Department has, however, stated its intent to provide future guidance related to multiemployer plans.
Caution: Can non-bargaining unit employees participate in Taft-Hartley plans?

Non-bargaining unit employees may sometimes participate in Taft-Hartley plans, but care must be taken to ensure that the trusts and plans are administered according to the rules written in the documents. Occasionally, bargaining parties, and even trustees themselves, may not know how the plan documents define participants and beneficiaries. This can lead to unintended consequences including contributions on behalf of persons who are not eligible for benefits from the plans. This can occur when contributions are made on behalf non-bargaining unit employees of a contributing employer and the plan either does not permit coverage of such persons, or the employer has not signed a proper participation agreement establishing the written agreement required to permit contributions and accrual of benefits. It can also occur when contributions are made on behalf of “alumni” (formerly active union members) who have retired or moved to positions with contributing employers who are not actually eligible for coverage under the terms of the plan.

A case illustrating this is Guthart v. White, et al. as Trustees of Electrical Workers Health and Welfare Trust Fund, 263 F3d 1099 (2001). In that case, a member of the IBEW (Guthart) transferred from a bargaining unit position with one union signatory contractor to a supervisory/estimator position with another union signatory contractor, but maintained his membership in the union. Both employers were signatory to a collective bargaining agreement with the IBEW. Both employers contributed on Guthart’s behalf to the Electrical Workers Health & Welfare Trust Fund for the work he performed. When his wife later sought benefits from the fund for cancer treatments, her claim for benefits was denied, and the denial was upheld by the court.

The trust agreement’s definition of an employee eligible for coverage was a person “covered by a collective bargaining agreement requiring contributions to the fund.” The collective bargaining agreement did not apply to the work Guthart was performing for the 2nd employer. Since Guthart did not meet the definition of an employee on whose behalf contributions were permitted, the court determined that Guthart was not covered, and the claim was denied.

The Guthart case serves as a reminder of several important points with respect to Taft-Hartley retirement and welfare funds. First, simply because an individual is a current member of a union in good standing, and works for a union signatory employer, he or she is not necessarily eligible for coverage under a Taft-Hartley fund. While it is legally permissible for non-bargaining unit employees, such as supervisors and estimators, to be participants in such funds, such is permitted only if all of the requirements of the Taft-Hartley Act are met. A collective bargaining agreement and/or trust agreement that does not provide specifically for contributions on behalf of employees not performing bargaining unit work will not satisfy the Taft-Hartley Act’s requirements with respect to non-bargaining unit employees. This is the case even for “alumni”—non-bargaining unit employees who were once bargaining unit employees clearly covered by the plans.

In order for non-bargaining unit employees to be covered, the collective bargaining agreement, or another separate written agreement, must specify that the employee is eligible for coverage, and specify the “detailed basis” on which contributions are to be made to the funds on the employee’s behalf. Other agreements that could satisfy this obligation would be participation agreements specifically providing for such coverage and including a schedule of contributions, or any other written instrument clearly setting forth such an agreement. In addition, the parties must be certain that the trust agreement itself allows such contributions to be made. An employer cannot make an effective written agreement with a union that certain non-bargaining unit employees will be covered unless the trust agreement itself authorizes the trustees to accept contributions on behalf of such non-bargaining unit employees.

Do not assume anything. Even a longstanding practice, one which has gone on without challenge for many years, is not sufficient to overcome the lack of a written agreement satisfying the Taft-Hartley Act’s requirements.

Another issue is that the trustees of Taft-Hartley funds are obligated to deny coverage to individuals who do not satisfy the definition of an employee, and/or with respect to whom any of the Taft-Hartley Act’s requirements (such as the written agreement requirement) are not satisfied. Trustees who pay benefits to ineligible individuals may find themselves personally liable to the fund for repayment of any amounts so paid.

Also, an employee can become a non-bargaining unit employee without transferring to a supervisory position. Instead, a person can become a non-bargaining unit employee for purposes of a particular collective bargaining agreement by performing what is normally bargaining unit work, but doing so outside the geographic territory covered by the agreement. For example, if an agreement is in effect between a union and an employer covering the State of Indiana only, it does not cover work, even bargaining unit-type work, performed in Illinois. Such an agreement that specifies that “$X” per hour will be contributed for all “work performed under this agreement” does not permit contributions to be made legally under the Taft-Hartley Act for work performed in Illinois.

Review carefully any contributions to Taft-Hartley funds to be certain:

• that any employee on whose behalf contributions are made is eligible for coverage; and,

• that the contributions are made pursuant to a written agreement with the union or the trust fund that sets forth the detailed basis on which the contributions are to be made on behalf of such employees.

Failure to do so means that significant contributions may be made for nothing, and that employees who believe they are building up credits toward retirement, or are eligible to have health care expenses covered, may be eligible for neither.
3.11.3 Occupational Safety & Health Act

Another primary federal law is the Occupational Safety & Health Act (OSHA). The provisions of OSHA must be complied with regardless of any terms contained in any collective bargaining agreements. Unions generally are concerned with safety, and want to work on safe work sites, although unions, as do many employers, object to some of OSHA’s regulatory provisions as being ineffective and overly burdensome. No matter how employers and unions may feel about such regulations, they may not lawfully enter into agreements in conflict with OSHA’s provisions, and may not lawfully interfere with the rights of individual employees to make complaints and bring potential safety issues to the attention of the appropriate regulatory officials.

Practical Tip
Unions do not Share OSHA Responsibility
Employers – not unions – bear OSHA compliance responsibility. Therefore, an OSHA compliance clause in an agreement should give employers wide management rights discretion to ensure safe working conditions.

3.11.4 Fair Labor Standards Act

The federal Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC § 201 et seq.) provides for a minimum wage for all hours worked and for the payment of overtime for hours worked in excess of forty in the employer's established workweek. Issues regarding various interpretations of the definition of "hours worked" result in frequent questions from employers as to whether particular activities must be paid and counted as working time for purposes of computing overtime. The questions most often arise with waiting time, on-call time, rest and meal periods, travel time and various other activities. The following is a general description of the current state of the law on some of these topics. It should be noted that courts engage in an extremely fact-intensive analysis of whether certain time spent by employees counts as compensable hours worked, so employers should consult legal counsel for advice regarding specific scenarios. This analysis becomes particularly thorny when the use of computers and handheld wireless devices away from the workplace blurs the lines between working and non-working time.

Waiting time – Waiting time is generally compensable if an employee is "engaged to wait" (such as a fireman at the firehouse waiting for an emergency) as opposed to "waiting to be engaged" (such as a person who shows up early for work to drink coffee before the start of the shift).

Travel Time – Travel time from/to home and the worksite is not considered hours worked. Travel during the day as a part of the work, such as travel from site to site, is considered hours worked. Travel for a special one day assignment in another city is work time, minus the normal commuting time. Travel away from home for more than a day can be considered hours worked if it occurs during the normal work day. The rules regarding travel away from home for more than a day and for time spent in training can be complicated, and legal counsel should be consulted if there is any question.

On-Call Time – Generally, time spent on-call is considered hours worked if the employee is required to remain on the work premises or if the employee is otherwise so restricted that the time cannot be used for his/her own purposes. Time spent on-call is not generally considered hours worked if the employee must simply be available at some place other than a work site (i.e., by pager or phone) if contacted.

Meal and Break Periods – Employers are required to compensate employees for short meal and rest/break periods unless the break is for the benefit of the employee such that the employee is completely relieved from duty and free to use the time for his/her own purposes. For purposes of determining whether the break time is compensable, the Department of Labor has taken the position that employers should pay employees for breaks of twenty (20) minutes or less. The Department has stated that bona fide meal periods of thirty (30) minutes or more generally do not need to be compensated if the employee is completely relieved from duty during that time.
Additional information on these and other wage-related topics can be found at the Department of Labor’s website at http://www.dol.gov.

3.11.5 STATE LAWS

In addition to the federal statutes discussed above, state laws that are not preempted by federal laws may also impose some limitations.

The point to take away from this is that employers and unions may not lawfully agree to provisions that are inconsistent with any of these statutes.

3.12 IMPASSE, STRIKES, LOCKOUTS AND YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS

The law provides that when the parties are at impasse in bargaining – vaguely defined as a situation in which no further progress or change of position by either party appears imminent or likely to occur in the foreseeable future – the parties have certain rights, so long as the impasse is over mandatory subjects of bargaining. The Board's practical definition of an impasse is based on the "I'll know it when I see it" approach, and is not any more certain than the definition given above.

At impasse, employers may implement their proposals so that, if employees do not strike, the employees will be subject to any changes in mandatory subjects of bargaining the employers are proposing. The implementation of proposals at impasse does not mean the employers have no further duty to bargain. It does not create a new agreement, rather it only allows the employers to implement the changes they have proposed while bargaining continues. Parties in 9(a) relationships are not relieved of the duty to bargain after impasse occurs, but must continue to try to reach an agreement.

Employers may lock out their employees, in effect, rendering them unemployed, to attempt to force the employees and unions to agree to a contract on the employers' terms. If employers lock out employees, the employers may hire temporary replacement employees to do the work of the locked out employees. They may not under any circumstances hire permanent replacements for locked out employees.

If at impasse an employer does not lock out employees, the union is permitted to call a strike. If the employees strike over the terms of a new collective bargaining agreement, and their strike is neither caused nor prolonged by any employer unfair labor practice, the employer is free to hire permanent replacements for those employees.

Caution:
Define "Permanent" for Permanent Replacements

Employers must take care to be sure that the replacement employees are told that they are "at will" employees. The replacement employees should also be told that their employment may be terminated as a result of an agreement between the employer and the union, or as a result of an order of the Board that the employer re-employ the striking employees.

The permanent replacements are entitled to work so long as they and the employer are satisfied with the employment relationship. This means that even if the union announces the strike is over and the strikers are ready to return to work, the employer does not need to terminate (fire) the replacement employees to make room for returning strikers. However, the employer may reach an agreement with the union to do so. That is why the employer should inform the replacements that their employment may be terminated as a result of such an agreement. Failure to inform the replacements that such a possibility could subject the employer to a wrongful discharge or breach of contract claim by the replacements if they are fired as result of such an agreement. If and when a replacement leaves, however, a striker on whose behalf an unconditional offer to return to work has been made must be offered the opportunity to return.

Employers are not permitted to obtain injunctions prohibiting strikes over terms of a new collective bargaining agreement, although employers may be permitted to obtain injunctions to prohibit mass picketing and violence which physically interferes with the access to work locations and prevents the
employer from being able to work or receive deliveries. [*See Appendix on picketing.*]

The Board has stated that Section 8(f) employers were to be free at all times from strikes or picketing to force them to enter into new Section 8(f) agreements, but, as a practical matter, striking or picketing for recognition under Section 9(a) may have the same effect as a strike over terms of a new agreement. The difference is that any such picketing in support of such an objective could continue only for a reasonable period of time not to exceed 30 days. Employers may be permitted to obtain injunctions to prohibit mass picketing and violence which physically interferes with the access to work locations and prevents the employer from being able to work or receive deliveries.
CONSTRUCTION SITE STRIKES, PICKETING AND BOYCOTTS

Legal and illegal construction site strikes, picketing and boycotts do occur. At the outset, it is important to distinguish between strikes and picketing. A strike is a negative action – a withholding of services. Picketing is an affirmative action – the patrolling of a jobsite, usually by individuals carrying picket signs, advertising a dispute with an employer. An individual employee may go on strike, and not picket. An individual may picket an employer and not be on strike, because the individual is not employed by the employer.

Occasionally, work on construction jobsites is interrupted by picketing in support of strikes or boycotts. Such picketing occurs in a variety of circumstances for a variety of reasons. Some picketing at construction jobsites is legal and must be permitted although it may be limited in scope. Other such picketing is illegal and steps can be taken to stop it.

The first source to examine to see what can be done to prevent or stop interference with the progress of the project is the contract of the contractor that is the object of the picketing. Many times a clause in such a contract will give a general contractor or construction manager the leverage to order the contractor being picketed to solve the problem immediately or face cancellation of its contract for the work.

If this is not possible or practical, the only remaining solution to the problem of picketing is to become familiar with the limits of a union’s legal rights to picket and with an employer’s legal rights to respond to picketing. The following is a very brief discussion of the different forms such picketing may take and of the limitations that the Board and the courts have placed on the union’s rights to picket at construction sites.

A. Types of Picketing

Regardless of its object, picketing at a construction site usually looks the same to an observer. However, the object, or purpose, of any given picketing activity determines the type of picketing involved and calls into play the appropriate set of legal standards used to regulate such picketing activity. The types of picketing usually encountered are: 1) Recognition Picketing, 2) Informational Picketing, 3) Area Standards Picketing, 4) Secondary Boycotts and 5) Jurisdictional Picketing. Many of these will be defined and discussed in the following sections and possible contractor responses to each type will be suggested.

B. Construction Site Picketing: Picketing for Recognition

Recognition Picketing is picketing by a union to require or force an employer to recognize the union as the collective bargaining agent for the employer’s employees on the jobsite.

Such picketing is illegal if:

1. The employers are already represented by another union (Section 8(b)(7)(A)), or
2. There has been a Board conducted election within the previous twelve months (Section 8(b)(7)(B)).

However, absent a recent election or an agreement with a union, recognition picketing is legal so long as it does not continue beyond “a reasonable period of time not to exceed 30 days without the filing of a representation petition” (Section 8(b)(7)(C)). Once a petition has been filed, such picketing may continue until a valid election has been held.

Because in most situations the picketing union really does not want an election, such picketing is often disguised as picketing for another purpose.

1. Informational Picketing.
2. Area Standards Picketing.

3. Picketing to protest an alleged unfair practice.

**Area Standards Picketing** is not addressed specifically in the National Labor Relations Act. However, the Board and the courts have determined that maintaining area wage standards is a legitimate union objective and have held that picketing in support of that objective is lawful activity. Accordingly, picketing to inform employees and the public that a given employer does not pay its employees an amount equivalent to area wage and fringe standards is permitted to continue indefinitely; it is considered to be in support of a dispute between the union and that employer.

Whenever this occurs, attempts should be made to determine if the picketing union is really protesting the employer’s wage standards. If the union has made no attempt to find out what the employer pays its employees, recognition may be the actual object of the picketing. Similarly, if the employer does pay its employees the area standard, and if the union knows it, recognition may be the real object of the picketing. If recognition is **only one** of many objects, the picketing may be limited to no more than thirty (30) days without the filing of a petition.

The types of questions to be answered to try and detect a recognitional object of the picketing are:

1. Did the union contact the contractor, or anyone else, to find out what the contractor’s wage and benefit levels were? If not, the picketing may not be area standards.
2. What do the picket signs say? They may imply a recognitional object.
3. Before the picketing began, did the union ask the contractor to recognize it or to sign an agreement? If so, the picketing may not be area standards.

All the clues must be examined to see if **at least one** object of the picketing is recognition as bargaining representative.

**Informational Picketing** is sometimes difficult to distinguish from area standards picketing. Informational picketing is, however, defined in the National Labor Relations Act. The same section of the Act which prohibits picketing for recognition or organization for more than thirty (30) days without the filing of a petition (Section 8(b)(7)(C)) also states:

> Nothing in this paragraph (C) shall be construed to prohibit any picketing or other publicity for the purpose of **truthfully advising the public** (including consumers) that an employer does not employ members of, or have a contract with, a labor organization, unless an effect of such picketing is to induce any individual employed by any other person in the course of his employment not to pick up, deliver or transport any goods or not to perform any services. (emphasis added)

Therefore, picketing which is directed to the public informing the public that the employer does not have a contract with the picketing union is lawful and may continue indefinitely as long as it does not cause employees of any other employer to cease work or fail to pick up or deliver goods to the picketing premises.

The activity of the picketing union must be examined to see if the real object of the picketing is to reach the public.

If it is not, and if it is directed at employees for a recognitional or organizational purpose, then the picketing may be limited to no more than thirty (30) days without the filing of a petition. Additionally, picketing for the purpose of advising the public is not Informational Picketing if it has the effect of causing employees to stop work or to fail to pick up or make deliveries.

Determining whether picketing at a construction site is Area Standards Picketing or Informational Picketing is sometimes difficult. It is advisable to contact labor relations counsel when any picketing at a construction site begins so that the factors to be considered in determining whether the picketing is really disguised recognitional or organizational picketing are all taken into account.
If sufficient evidence can be gathered to show that one of the real objects of such disguised picketing is to require recognition, then such picketing can be stopped (by Board processes) after 30 days if a petition for an election has not been filed.

Normally, this point is not reached, however. The union, by picketing the common situs (jobsite of two or more contractors with construction employees on the job), is actually attempting to coerce recognition or the removal of the non-union employer from the job by enmeshing the employees of neutral employers in its dispute with the non-union employer. Such conduct is called “secondary” and is illegal under the National Labor Relations Act and will be discussed in the next section.

C. Secondary Boycott

In the construction industry, picketing for organization and recognition frequently involves the law concerning secondary boycotts as well. Secondary boycott is the term usually used to describe a situation in which a union pickets, strikes or threatens or induces employees or individuals to withhold service when an object is to force or require one employer to cease doing business with another employer or person. The question involved in these cases is whether the boycott, picketing, threat or whatever is an attempt to involve a neutral (secondary) employer in a labor dispute between the union and a primary employer (the one with whom the union has its dispute).

There are many ways these situations can develop. In the construction industry it is usually where several contractors or subcontractors are working on one construction site. This gives rise to what is commonly known as the common situs picketing problem. Typical cases have union and non-union subcontractors working side by side on one construction site. A union picks a non-union subcontractor and pickets the entire construction site claiming:

1. The non-union subcontractor does not pay union sales; or
2. The non-union subcontractor will not recognize the union – remember, this is legal, at least for a reasonable period of time; or
3. The non-union subcontractor committed unfair labor practices.

The union subcontractor’s employees respond by walking off the job and work on the project stops. Since the picketing may be legal because of a bona fide dispute between the union and the primary employer, the problem for the rest of the contractors is how to get their employees back to work. Usually the employees will not return if they need to cross a picket line. What steps can be taken to get the other employees back to work when the picketing may be legal and cannot be stopped immediately?

The Board has set up a test, a set of rules, to determine the conditions and limitations under which picketing of one of several employers at one location is permissible. The basis for the Board’s test is that it is illegal under Section 8(b)(4) of the NLRA for the union to appeal to the employees of the neutral (secondary) employers to stop work. This is because the object of such an appeal would be to cause the secondary employers to stop doing business with the primary employer.

How can you prove that this is one of the union’s objectives if the union will not say so but just continues picketing? The Board’s test can be used to seek out this object even where the union on the surface does not direct its activity at the secondary employers or their employees.

The Board’s test is called the Moore Dry Dock test. (Moore Dry Dock, 92 NLRB 547 (1950)). It provides that picketing a common situs (site) is legal if it satisfies the following criteria:

1. The picketing must be strictly limited to the times when the situs of the dispute is located on the secondary employer’s premises (or the common situs).
2. At the time of the picketing the primary employer must be engaged in its normal business at the site.
3. The picketing must be limited to places reasonably close to the site of the dispute – the primary employer.

4. The picketing must disclose clearly that the dispute is with the primary employer.

This rule suggests several questions which must be answered to determine if the picketing violates the secondary boycott provisions.

1. **Picket signs** – do they clearly identify the primary employer, i.e., employer with whom union has a dispute?

2. Is there direct evidence of the union's attempt to involve neutral employers or employees in the dispute?

   Such as:
   
   (a) Statements to other employees.
   (b) Statements to other employers.
   (c) Fines of supervisors or other union personnel working behind picket lines.
   (d) Statements of pickets or business agent – ask them: “What will it take to resolve the dispute?”

   Additionally, there are established techniques to use if the above questions do not provide the answer. The two most common ones are the “Reserved Gate” and the “Separate Work Schedule” for the primary employer. Each will be described below.

**1. The Reserved Gate**

To make use of this technique the primary employer with whom the picketing union has a dispute must be determined. Then a gate or entrance should be established for the exclusive use of the employees, suppliers and visitors of that employer. This reserved gate or entrance should be as far from all other entrances to the construction site as possible without making it hidden from public view or practically inaccessible since the union has the right to public recognition. Notice of the establishment of the separate gate should be sent to the picketing union and all unions and contractors on the jobsite.

The reserved gate should be marked with a large sign easily readable from the roadway by which employees or suppliers of the employers on the project approach the site. The sign should state:

```
“THIS GATE IS RESERVED FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF
(name of primary Co.), ITS EMPLOYEES, SUPPLIERS AND
OTHER VISITORS OF (name of primary Co.).

SUCH PERSONS MAY NOT USE ANY OTHER ENTRANCE TO
THIS PROJECT.

NO OTHER PERSONS ARE PERMITTED TO USE THIS GATE.”
```
A corresponding sign should be placed at the gate for the neutral employers (those who do not have a dispute with the union):

“THIS GATE IS RESERVED FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF (names of neutrals), THEIR EMPLOYEES, SUPPLIERS AND OTHER VISITORS OF (names of neutrals).

NO OTHER PERSONS ARE PERMITTED TO USE THIS GATE.”

Once these gates have been established and are used as marked, the union must restrict its picketing to the gate reserved for the primary employer. If it does not, this is evidence of an illegal object under Section 8 (b) (4) and the NLRB, upon filing of a charge, can seek to enjoin the illegal picketing.

_Caveat in Reserved Gate Cases:_

GATES MUST BE KEPT “UNCONTAMINATED” OR “SANITARY” – FAILURE TO DO SO CAN JEOPARDIZE AN UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE CHARGE AND ALLOW THE UNION TO LAWFULLY PICKET THESE CONTAMINATED ENTRANCES.

Remember, it is the _union_, or _neutral_, gate which must be kept uncontaminated. As a practical matter, the only way to ensure noncontamination is to station someone at the union gate to ask everyone who seeks to come through it:

1. “which contractor do you work for?” or
2. “which contractor are you delivering to?” or
3. “which contractor are you coming to visit?”

Ideally, you should require those entering to sign and state their purpose.

What do you do when the picketing union confines its picketing to the reserved gate but the employees of the other contractors stay off the job anyway?

This can happen when the unions that represent these other employees say their members will not “work behind” any picket line. If they say this, and their members refuse to come to work when the Reserved Gate system has been established properly, they are likely engaging in a secondary boycott prohibited by Section 8(b)(4)(B). An unfair labor practice charge could be filed against each union involved. Such may be filed by any person.

However, this can happen without any overt reference to the union’s response to the picket line. For example, all unions report that their members are “sick”; or the employees do not show up and no reason at all is offered. If such action is in support of the picketing union’s dispute, it may still be a secondary boycott prohibited by Section 8(b)(4)(B). An unfair labor practice charge could be filed but there are obvious problems of proving what is behind the failure of the employees to show up for work.

There are options in addition to the filing of an unfair labor practice charge. One option is a lawsuit for damages. Any contractor who is damaged by the union’s actions in keeping its members off the job can file a lawsuit against the union in federal court. This lawsuit is to recover damages suffered as a result of the union’s action. Additionally, the “discovery”, procedures of the court system may produce the proof needed to establish the unfair labor practice.

Another option is to hire replacement employees. Any contractor whose employees do not report for work may hire other employees to take their places. If the union refuses to supply new employees when the contractor calls for them, this is some evidence that the union is behind the failure of the employees to report and may assist in proving the unfair labor practice. Also, if the union refuses to supply people, the contractor can hire anyone it pleases. When the contractor begins hiring new employees, it can inform the old employees that they are being replaced. It can also tell the union and the nonworking employees that
if it turns out that they are engaging in a secondary boycott, they will be fired when the contractor learns of it. Obviously, these are extreme measures and before they are undertaken, labor relations counsel should be consulted.

2. Separate Work Schedule of Primary

Remember, if a primary employer is not present or engaged in “normal operations” when picketing occurs the union’s picketing is probably for a secondary objective. Separate work schedules are useful when reserved gates are not practical or feasible or where unions take the position of refusing to work behind any picket line if it is on any gate to the project. Picketing can be confined to the different work schedule of the primary disputants. It is critical that the union be notified of the work schedule change. The work schedule change must be adhered to in a consistent, regular fashion.

D. Construction Site Problem: Secondary Consumer Handbilling and Bannering

A union tactic used more frequently in recent years to get around some of the secondary boycott provisions discussed above is consumer handbilling of secondary employers in circumstances in which picketing would be an unlawful secondary boycott.

A special proviso to Section 8(b)(4) states, in pertinent part:

[N]othing contained in [Section 8(b)(4)] shall be construed to prohibit publicity, other than picketing, for the purpose of truthfully advising the public, including consumers and members of a labor organization, that a product or products are produced by an employer with whom the labor organization has a primary dispute and are distributed by another employer, as long as such publicity does not have an effect of inducing any individual employed by any person other than the primary employer in the course of his employment to refuse to pick up, deliver, or transport any goods, or not to perform any services, at the establishment of the employer engaged in such distribution. (emphasis added)

In the late 1980’s, the Supreme Court of the United States decided a case holding that handbilling by a union, unaccompanied by patrolling or picketing, at entrances to a shopping mall, with handbills urging consumers to boycott all stores in the mall because one of the mall tenants was using an open shop contractor for construction remodeling, was not unlawful because of the foregoing proviso to Section 8(b)(4). Thus, even though the employers targeted by the handbilling were secondary, and even though an object of the handbilling was clearly to cause secondary employers to pressure the mall tenant to cease doing business with the open shop contractor, the activity was allowed to continue and could not be enjoined under Section 8(b)(4)'s secondary boycott provisions.

In a new trend beginning with multiple decisions issued by the Board in 2010 and 2011, the Board has declared it is also lawful for unions to hold banners or balloons at the entrances of employers that have business relationships with the primary employer with whom the union has a labor dispute. In this regard, the Board considers the display of banners or balloons to be closer to handbilling than picketing, assertedly because of the non-confrontational, non-coercive nature of stationary banners. Therefore, the Board has held even though the employers targeted by the bannering were secondary, and again even though the objective of the bannering was clearly to influence the employers to cease doing business with the union's primary employer, unions have been allowed to conduct such activity.

Employers who have been targeted by bannering or other forms of stationary signals should diligently record the circumstances and effects of such union activity. The Board has stated that bannering is lawful because it does not have the same confrontational or coercive effect as a traditional picket line. If the union uses banners as the equivalent of picket signs – patrolling or creating barriers to enter or exit the employer – such bannering may become picketing, in which case it would be subject to the general discussion about types of picketing dealt with in this booklet and may no longer be lawful. The Board has also noted that bannering may be unlawful if it disrupts the employer's operations directly. Employers should take note of how many union agents hold the banners, how close the banners are to business...
entrances and exits, whether the union agents constantly move the banners, or if union agents verbally or physically engage employees or patrons.

Contractors working on new or remodeling construction of retail establishments, such as shopping malls, restaurants, hospitals, hotels, etc., may find that handbilling or bannering is directed at the owners or others associated with the project. Most of the solutions to secondary boycotts discussed above are not applicable to consumer handbilling or bannering activity and, in such cases, experienced labor counsel should be contacted to determine the appropriate course of action.
APPENDIX II

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11246:
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION
FOR GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS

Although not directly a collective bargaining matter, many construction companies are also government contractors subject to Executive Order 11246 and regulations issued pursuant thereto. This Appendix contains a very brief discussion of the executive order and the regulatory requirements. The requirements of Executive Order 11246 are enforced by the United States Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP).

Executive Order 11246 conditions the award of contracts for construction on the contractor complying with certain requirements pertaining to both federally-assisted contracts and subcontracts and direct federal contracts and subcontracts. A federally-assisted contract is one made with a government agency, company or other organization that receives federal grants, loans, insurance or guarantees related to the project. A direct federal contract is one to perform construction for the federal government, a federal agency, or another federal contractor where payment is made to the prime contractor directly from the governmental entity. The conditions/requirements imposed by Executive order 11246 involve the hiring and employment of minorities and women, and in the case of direct federal contracts, individuals with disabilities and disabled or Vietnam Era veterans.

The regulations require that standard equal employment opportunity clauses be included in all federally-assisted and direct federal contracts. The regulations also include the undertaking of certain specific actions as conditions of being awarded such contracts. Among the undertakings required is the establishment of a policy ensuring equal employment opportunity and compliance with the Standard Federal EEO Construction Contract Specifications that set forth sixteen specific steps a construction contractor must take in its efforts to increase the employment opportunities for women and minorities. Details are beyond the scope of this publication, but can be found on the website of the OFCCP. On the following pages, this Appendix contains a sample policy related to females and minorities as well as a listing of the "Sixteen Steps" from the Standard Federal EEO Construction Contract Specifications with descriptions of possible actions to take to document efforts to accomplish those steps. If you are a direct federal contractor, you will need additional affirmative action programs and will be required to engage in additional compliance activities related to veterans and individuals with disabilities.

Author’s Note and Caution: Although the Sample Policy and the Sixteen Steps reflect the affirmative action requirements at the time this book was updated, readers should be aware that the OFCCP’s regulatory agenda includes updating the applicable regulations. The OFCCP has re-focused its efforts in recent years on creating regulations and enforcement procedures that create measurable results. If this trend continues, we anticipate that any amended regulations will place more stringent obligations on contractors working on federal or federally-assisted construction projects. Among the changes the OFCCP has been considering are: actual numerical goals and timetables for employment by federal contractors of individuals with disabilities and veterans; modifications of the minority and female goal structure for the construction industry (including potentially requiring each contractor to establish its own goals through a statistical analysis); and less reliance on the records of efforts to accomplish the "sixteen steps" and, instead, more requirements to show measurable progress in hiring of women and minorities. The outcome of the 2012 elections is likely to influence whether the OFCCP vigorously pursues its current agenda in the future.
SAMPLE POLICY UNDER EXECUTIVE ORDER 11246
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

A. **Policy Statement.** The Company agrees to do the following in order to provide equal employment opportunities to all qualified persons without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability: (1) recruit, hire, train, and promote persons in all job titles, without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability, (2) base decisions on employment with the goal of furthering equal employment opportunities, (3) ensure that promotion decisions are in accord with principles of equal employment opportunity by imposing only valid requirements for promotional opportunities, (4) ensure that all personnel actions, including, without limitation, compensation, benefits, transfers, lay-offs, return from layoffs, company sponsored training, education, tuition assistance, social and recreational programs, will be administered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability.

B. **Program Responsibilities.** [insert title/name] will personally oversee the program and will appoint [insert title/name] as the Equal Employment Opportunity Officer (hereinafter referred to as the EEO Officer) to carry out the program. The EEO Officer is hereby given full authority to carry out the program and is given the responsibility and authority to:

1. Develop policies and internal and external communication procedures.
2. Design, implement and monitor internal audit and reporting systems to measure program effectiveness and to determine (i) where progress has been made, and (ii) where further action is needed and, if necessary, to assure that such action is taken.
3. Assist line management in collecting and analyzing employment data, identifying problem areas, setting goals and timetables and developing programs to achieve goals. Such programs shall include specific remedies to eliminate any discriminatory practices discovered in the employment system as a result of these efforts or otherwise.
4. Report, at least quarterly, to [insert title/name] on the progress of each unit in relation to company goals.
5. Serve as liaison between the company, government regulatory agencies, minority and women's organizations and other community groups, as applicable.
6. Ensure that current legal information affecting affirmative action is disseminated to responsible officials.

C. **Policy Distribution.**

1. **Internal Distribution and Publication of Policy.** The company will take the following steps in order to disseminate the EEO Policy to all members of the company staff who are authorized to hire, supervise, promote and discharge employees, or who recommend such action:
   a) Include the policy in the company's policy manual or handbook;
   b) Publicize the policy in internal company publications, such as newspapers, magazines, annual reports, and other available media devices;
   c) Conduct special meetings with executive, management, and supervisory personnel to explain the intent of the policy and individual responsibility for effective implementation, making clear the chief executive officer's attitude with respect to affirmative action obligations;
d) Inform employees of the existence of the company's affirmative action policy and enable employees to avail themselves of its benefits.

e) Schedule special meetings with all other employees to discuss the policy and to explain individual employee responsibilities;

f) Discuss the policy thoroughly in both employee orientation and management training programs;

g) Include non-discrimination clauses in any union agreements, and review all contractual provisions to ensure they are non-discriminatory;

h) Meet with union officials (as appropriate) to inform them of the policy, and to request their cooperation;

i) Publish articles in company publications covering equal employment opportunity programs, progress reports, promotions, etc., for minority and female employees.

j) Post policy on company bulletin boards;

k) Ensure that employees featured in product or consumer advertising, employee handbooks or similar publications include both minority and non-minority men and women;

l) Maintain a working environment free of harassment, intimidation, and coercion at all sites and in all facilities at which [insert company name] employees are assigned to work. [insert company name] shall specifically ensure that all foremen, superintendents, and other on-site supervisory personnel are aware of and carry out the [insert company's name] obligation to maintain such a working environment, with specific attention to minorities or women working at such sites or in such facilities.

2. **External Distribution and Publication of Policy.** The company will take the following action in order to make the company's Equal Employment Opportunity Policy known to all employees, prospective employees, and potential sources of employees, such as schools, employment agencies, labor unions, and college placement offices:

   a) Inform all recruitment sources verbally and in writing of the company policy, stipulating that these sources actively recruit and refer minorities and women for all positions listed;

   b) Incorporate the equal opportunity clause in all purchase orders, leases, and contracts covered by Executive Order 11246, as amended, and its implementing regulations;

   c) Notify minority and women's organizations, community agencies, community leaders, secondary schools, and colleges of the company policy, preferably in writing;

   d) Inform prospective employees of the existence of the company's affirmative action policy and enable such prospective employees to avail themselves of its benefits;

   e) Ensure that employees pictured in consumer or help wanted advertising include both minority and non-minority men and women;

   f) Send written notification of the company policies to all subcontractors, vendors, and suppliers with requests for appropriate action on their part.

D. **Minority and Female Utilization.** The company will make a good faith effort to meet the designated goals set forth by the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs for utilizing minorities and females in the various crafts on all construction jobs during the time this contractor has a federally-assisted or direct federal construction contract.

E. **Recruiting.**

1. When advertising for applicants for employment, the company will include in all such advertisements the notation: "An Equal Opportunity Employer." The company will insert
advertisements in newspapers or other publications having a large circulation among minority and female groups in the area from which the company's workforce would normally be derived.

2. The company will conduct systematic and direct recruitment through public and private employee referral sources likely to yield qualified minority group applicants, including, but not limited to, state employment agencies, schools, colleges and minority group organizations. To meet these requirements, the company will, through the EEO Officer, identify sources of potential minority and female group employees and establish procedures whereby applicants may be referred to the company for employment consideration.

3. The company will encourage its present employees to refer minority and female group applicants for employment by posting appropriate notices or bulletins in areas accessible to all such employees.

F. **Training and Promotion.**

1. The company will assist in locating, qualifying and increasing the skills of minority and female employees and applicants for employment.

2. Consistent with its requirements and as permissible under federal and state regulations, the company will make full use of training programs, such as pre-apprenticeship, apprenticeship, or on-the-job training programs, for the geographical area of contract performance.

3. To the extent possible, the company will advise employees and applicants for employment of available training programs and entrance requirements for such programs.

4. The company will periodically review the training and promotion potential of minority and female employees and will encourage eligible employees to apply for such training and promotion.

G. **Terms and Conditions of Employment and Personnel Actions.** Wages, working conditions, and employee benefits shall be established and administered, and personnel action of every type, including hiring, upgrading, promotion, transfer, demotion, layoff, and termination, will be taken without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin or disability. The following procedures will be followed:

1. Periodic inspections of project sites will be conducted to ensure that working conditions and employee facilities do not allow discriminatory treatment of project site personnel.

2. The spread of wages paid within each classification will be periodically evaluated to determine any evidence of discriminatory wage practices.

3. The company will periodically review selected personnel actions in depth to determine whether there is evidence of discrimination. Where evidence is found, the company will promptly take corrective action. If the review indicates that the discrimination may extend beyond the actions reviewed, such corrective action shall include all affected persons.

4. The company will investigate all complaints of alleged discrimination in connection with its obligations under its contracts, will attempt to resolve such complaints, and will take appropriate corrective action. If the investigation indicates that the discrimination may affect persons other than the complainant, such corrective action shall include such other persons. Upon completion of each investigation, the company will inform the complainant of all avenues of appeal.
H. Records and Reports.

1. The company will keep such records as are necessary to determine compliance with its equal employment opportunity obligations. The records kept by the company will be designed to indicate:
   a) The number of minority, non-minority and female group member employees in each work classification.
   b) The progress and efforts being made (in cooperation with unions, if appropriate) to increase protected group employment opportunities.
   c) The progress and efforts being made in locating, hiring, training, qualifying and upgrading protected group employees.
   d) The progress and efforts being made in securing the services of minority group subcontractors.
2. All such records will be retained for a period of three years following completion of the contract work and shall be available at reasonable times and places for inspection by authorized representatives of the state and federal governments. The company reserves the right to require these representatives to show proper credentials.
3. The company will submit all reports required by Executive Order 11246 and appropriate state and federal agencies, and will permit access to its books, records, and accounts by the appropriate governmental agencies and the Secretary of Labor for purposes of investigation to ascertain compliance with the rules, regulations and orders of the Secretary of Labor promulgated pursuant to Executive Order 11246.

I. Cooperation with Unions. The company will use its best efforts to obtain the cooperation of any unions with which it has collective bargaining relationships to increase minority and female opportunities within the unions, and to effect referrals of minority and female employees by such unions.

1. The company will cooperate with unions, as appropriate, to develop joint training programs aimed at qualifying more minority and female employees for membership in the unions and increasing the skills of minority and female employees so that they may have the opportunity to qualify for higher paying employment.
2. The company will encourage the incorporation of an equal employment opportunity clause into any union agreements stating that such unions will be bound contractually to refer applicants without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability.
3. In the event a union is unable to refer minority and female applicants as requested by the company within the time limit set forth in a union agreement, the company will, through its own recruitment procedures, fill the employment vacancies without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability, making every effort to obtain qualified female employees.
4. The company will provide immediate written notification to the Director when a union with which it has a collective bargaining agreement fails to refer a minority or woman, or when the company has other information that the union referral process is impeding its efforts to meet its obligations.
J. **Subcontracting**

1. When required by federal contract the company will use its best effort to utilize minority group subcontractors, suppliers and vendors. The company, however, reserves the right to determine if the firm is a bona fide Minority Business Enterprise.

2. The company will use its best effort to assure subcontractor compliance with equal employment opportunity obligations.

K. **Application to Other Work.** The company agrees that it will be bound by the Equal Opportunity Clause required by Executive Order 11246 with respect to its own employment practices when it participates in nonfederal construction work during which time it also has a federally-assisted or direct federal contract.

L. **Compliance Assistance.** The company agrees it will assist and cooperate actively with the appropriate governmental agencies and the Secretary of Labor in obtaining the compliance of contractors and subcontractors with the Equal Opportunity Clause and the rules, regulations, and relevant orders of the Secretary of Labor. The company will furnish such information as may be required for the supervision of such compliance.

M. **Non-Segregated Facilities.** All employee facilities provided by the company shall be non-segregated. These include, but are not limited to, rest areas, parking lots, drinking fountains, and all other such common facilities. Toilets and necessary changing facilities will offer privacy to both males and females.

N. **Post-Award Compliance.** It is understood that the OFCCP may review our employment practices as well as those of any subcontractors the company has employed during the performance of a federally-assisted or direct federal contract. If the goals for the employment of minorities and females set by the OFCCP are not being met, the company shall still be given an opportunity to demonstrate that it has made every good faith effort to meet its commitment.

O. **Miscellaneous.** For the purpose of this policy statement, the term "minority" means Black, Hispanic, Asian and Pacific Islander, American Indian and Alaskan Native.

For the purpose of this policy statement, a bona fide Minority Business Enterprise is a business, firm, or corporation which is at least fifty percent (50%) owned and operated by a minority person or persons.

The purpose of the company's commitment to specific goals for the utilization of minority and/or female employees is to meet the affirmative action obligations under the Equal Opportunity Clause of a federal or federally-assisted contract. This commitment is not intended and shall not be used to discriminate against any qualified applicant or employee.

P. **Refusal to Deal with Debarred or Ineligible Contractors or Subcontractors.** The company agrees that it will refrain from entering into any contract or contract modifications subject to Executive Order 11246 with a contractor or subcontractor debarred from or who has not demonstrated eligibility for direct federal or federally-assisted construction contracts pursuant to Executive Order 11246.

(Insert Name of President)

(Insert Company Name)

(Date)
THE SIXTEEN STEPS

Step One – Personnel Operations

Ensure and maintain a working environment free of harassment, intimidation, and coercion at all sites and facilities where the contractor’s employees are assigned to work. The contractor, where possible, will assign two or more women to each construction project. The contractor shall specifically ensure that all foremen, superintendents and other on-site supervisory personnel are aware of and carry out the contractor’s obligation to maintain such a working environment, with specific attention to minority or female individuals working at such sites or in such facilities.

Possible Documentation:

Contractors should document all related activities and include the documentation (memoranda, minutes or notes of either staff meetings or the EEO Officer’s meetings with supervisors) in a master EEO file. Copies of the contractor’s policy should be given to the supervisory staff to inform them of the contractor’s obligation to maintain a working environment free of harassment, intimidation and coercion and, where possible, to assign two or more women to each construction project. Document all efforts to disseminate the contractor’s policy on the maintenance of a harmonious and productive working environment, such as posting a notice of the policy and posting the name of a person to contact if an employee feels the policy has been violated.

Step Two – Recruitment Practices

Establish and maintain a current list of minority and female recruitment sources. Provide written notification to minority and female recruitment sources and to community organizations when the contractor or its unions have employment opportunities available and maintain a record of the organization’s responses.

Possible Documentation:

Contractors must have a current listing of recruitment sources (updated at least each year) for minority and women craft workers. Keep copies of recent letters to community resource groups or agencies specifying the contractor’s EEO policy, the nature of any employment opportunities and the procedure an applicant should follow when seeking employment. Note the responses received and the results on the bottom or reverse side of the letters or establish a follow-up file for each organization notified. Maintain a log, diary, or written summary of contact by telephone or personal contact. Unless OFCCP has accepted a different local practice, notify the sources for each new project and/or when the contractor has employment opportunities available. Formal briefing sessions should be held, preferably on company premises, with representatives from the various recruitment services.

Step Three – Recruitment Practices

Maintain a current file of the names, addresses and telephone numbers of all minority and female off-the-street applicants and minority or female referrals from a union, a recruitment source or community organization and of what action was taken with respect to each such individual. If such individual was sent to the union hiring hall for referral and was not referred back to the contractor or, if referred, not
employed by the contractor, this shall be documented in the file with the reason therefor, along with whatever additional actions the contractor may have taken.

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have a log of the names, addresses, telephone numbers, and crafts of all minority and female applicants. The log should include (a) the date of contact and (b) whether the person was hired. If the person was sent to a union for referral, note that fact and what happened. Record follow-up contacts.

**Step Four – Recruitment Practices**

Provide immediate written notification to the Director of OFCCP when the union or unions with which the contractor has a collective bargaining agreement has not referred a minority person or woman sent to the union by the contractor, or when the contractor has other information that the union referral process has impeded the contractor’s efforts to meet its obligations.

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have copies of letters sent to comply with this requirement. Care should be taken in drafting such letters so that the contractor meets its obligation but does not alienate union officials. Also, document any other efforts to facilitate the hiring of a particular individual, such as additional written contact with the union specifically requesting referral of that individual.

**Step Five – Training**

Develop on-the-job training opportunities and/or participate in training programs for the area which expressly include minorities and women, including upgrading programs and apprenticeship and trainee programs relevant to the contractor’s employment needs, especially those programs funded or approved by the Department of Labor. The contractor shall provide notice of these programs to the sources compiled under step two above.

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have records of contributions in cash, equipment, or contractor personnel (as instructors) for training programs and must have records of the hiring and training of minorities and women from such programs. Keep copies of letters informing minority and women’s recruitment sources or schools of these programs. Document participation in career days or job fair activities.

**Step Six – EEO Policy Implementation**

Disseminate the contractor’s EEO policy by providing notice of the policy to unions and training programs and requesting their cooperation with the contractor in meeting its EEO obligations; by including it in any company policy manual and collective bargaining agreement; by publicizing it in the company newspaper, annual report, etc.; by specific review of the policy with all management personnel and with all minority and women employees at least once a year; and by posting the company EEO policy on bulletin boards accessible to all employees at each location where construction work is performed.
POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:

Contractors must have a written EEO policy that includes the name of and contact information on the contractor’s EEO Officer. The contractor should: (a) include the policy in any company policy manuals; (b) post a copy of the policy on all company bulletin boards in the office and on all jobsites; (c) record in reports or diaries that all minority and women employees are aware of the policy and that it has been discussed with them; (d) record that the policy has been discussed regularly at staff meetings; (e) make copies of newsletters and annual reports that include the policy; and, (f) make copies of letters to unions and training programs requesting their cooperation in helping the contractor meet its EEO obligations.

Step Seven – EEO Policy Implementation

Review, at least annually, the company’s EEO policy and affirmative action obligations under these specifications with all employees having any responsibility for hiring, assignment, layoff, termination, or other employment decisions. The contractor should include specific review of these items with onsite supervisory personnel, such as Superintendents and General Foremen, before the initiation of construction work at any jobsite. A written record shall be made and maintained identifying the time and place of these meetings, persons attending, subject matter discussed, and disposition of the subject matter.

POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:

Contractors must have written records (memoranda, diaries, minutes, notes, etc.) identifying the time and place of the annual review meeting, and the separate meetings required prior to the start of work at any jobsite, including the persons attending, subject matter discussed and disposition of subject matter.

Step Eight – EEO Policy Implementation

Disseminate the contractor’s EEO policy externally by including it in any advertising in the news media, specifically including minority and female news media, and providing written notification to and discussing the contractor’s EEO policy with other contractors and subcontractors with whom the contractor does or anticipates doing business.

POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:

Contractors must have copies of all advertising in the news media, including media announcements directed at minorities and women, with the tagline “Equal Opportunity Employer.” Contractors should also keep in the EEO file copies of letters sent to all subcontractors and suppliers requiring compliance with the contractor’s EEO policy. Telephone logs, diaries, notes or memoranda should document follow-up conversations with subcontractors and suppliers.

Step Nine – Recruitment Practices

Direct its recruitment efforts, both oral and written, to minority, female and community organizations, to schools with minority and female students and to minority and female recruitment and training organizations serving the contractor’s recruitment area and employment needs. Not later than one month
prior to the date for the acceptance of applications for apprenticeship or other training by any recruitment source, the contractor shall send written notification to organizations such as the above, describing the openings, screening procedures and tests to be used in the selection process.

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have written records (letters, telephone logs, notes or memoranda) of contacts with minority and women’s organizations and recruitment sources, and schools and training organizations, specifying the date, individuals contacted, results of the contact, and any follow-up. Contractors should send letters to these organizations at least one month prior to the acceptance of applications for apprenticeship or other training, describing the openings, screening procedures, and tests to be used in the selection process. Contractors should also send letters to area vocational schools, high schools, YWCA, local employment security division and the area JTPA (Job Training Partnership Act) office.

**Step Ten – Recruitment Practices**

*Encourage present minority and female employees to recruit other minority persons and women and, where reasonable, provide after school, summer and vacation employment to minority and female youth both on the site and in other areas of a contractor’s work force.*

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have copies of telephone logs, diaries, notes or memoranda indicating contacts (written or oral) with minority and female employees requesting their assistance in recruiting other minorities and women. If contractors normally provide after school, summer, and/or vacation employment, they must have copies of letters sent to various organizations under step nine describing those opportunities. They must also have responses received and results noted on the letters or in a follow-up file.

**Step Eleven – Personnel Operations**

*Validate all tests and other selection requirements where there is an obligation to do so under 41 C.F.R. § 60-3. [EEOC Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures] [29CRF 1607]*

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have evidence (correspondence or certificates) that validates all tests, interviews and selection procedures that have a disproportionately adverse impact on minorities or women, whether used by the contractor, a union or joint apprenticeship committee with which the contractor has a relationship.

**Step Twelve – EEO Policy Implementation**

*Conduct, at least annually, an inventory and evaluation of all minority and female personnel for promotional opportunities and encourage these employees to seek or to prepare for such opportunities through appropriate training.*
**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

A contractor must have written records, such as notes, letters, memoranda or personnel files, showing that the company makes annual reviews of minority and female personnel for any promotional opportunities and notifies these employees of any related training opportunities (formal or on-the-job) and encourages them to take advantage of those opportunities. Document activities by the company EEO Officer to encourage all minority and female employees to prepare for and seek promotion.

**Step Thirteen – Personnel Operations**

Ensure that seniority practices, job classifications, work assignments and other personnel practices do not have a discriminatory effect by continually monitoring all personnel and employment related activities to ensure that the EEO policy and the contractor’s obligations under these specifications are being carried out.

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have evidence, such as letters, memoranda, and personnel files or reports (a) that step twelve, above, has been carried out; (b) that all collective bargaining agreements have an EEO clause and that their provisions do not operate to exclude minorities and women; (c) that the EEO Officer reviews all hirings, seniority practices, job classifications, work assignments, lay-offs, terminations, wage rates, overtime hours, training provided on-the-job and monthly work force reports; (d) that the EEO Officer’s job description identifies his or her responsibility for monitoring all employment activities for discriminatory effects; and (e) that the contractor has initiated corrective action whenever the EEO Officer has identified a possible discriminatory effect. Note that compliance with this Step requires the retention of logs and other documents related to the contractor’s employment practices so that an appropriate analysis can be conducted.

**Step Fourteen – Personnel Operations**

Ensure that all facilities and company activities are nonsegregated, except for a separate or single-use toilet and a necessary changing facility provided to assure privacy between the sexes. Toilets and changing facilities may be used by both sexes if the facilities can be locked from within and thus afford privacy to the user.

**POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:**

Contractors must have records that announcements of all parties, picnics, etc., were posted and were available to all employees; have records that all employment benefits have been offered to all employees; have written copies of contacts (written or oral) with supervisory staff regarding the provision of adequate toilet and changing facilities to afford privacy between the sexes.
Step Fifteen – Contracting Activity

Document and maintain a record of all solicitations of offers for subcontracts from minority and female construction contractors and suppliers, including circulation of solicitations to minority and female contractor associations and other business associations.

POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:

Contractors must have copies of letters or other direct solicitations of bids (for subcontracts or joint ventures) from minority or women contractors with a record of the specific responses and any follow-up the contractor has done to obtain a price quotation or to assist a minority or female contractor in preparing or reducing a price quotation; have a list of all minority or female subcontracts awarded, or joint ventures participated in, with dollar amounts; have copies of solicitations sent to minority and women’s contractor associations or other business associations.

Step Sixteen – EEO Policy Implementation

Conduct a review, at least annually, of all supervisors’ adherence to and performance under the contractor’s EEO policies and affirmative action obligations.

POSSIBLE DOCUMENTATION:

Contractors must have copies of memoranda, notes, letters, reports, and minutes of meetings with supervisors regarding their employment practices as they relate to the contractor’s EEO policy and affirmative action obligations. Contractors must also have written evidence that supervisors were notified when their employment practices affected the contractor’s EEO and affirmative action posture either adversely or positively.
OFCCP LIST OF GOALS FOR MINORITY AND FEMALE EMPLOYMENT

The following information is reprinted from the OFCCP’s *Technical Assistance Guide for Federal Construction Contractors*, Appendix E: Participation Goals for Minorities and Females.

For federal and federally assisted construction contractors, goals for minorities and females are established as a percentage participation rate. The percentage goal established for minority participation must be at least equal to the percentage established for that “economic area” as outlined in the list below.6

Contractors may establish higher goals if they desire. Although a contractor is required to make good faith efforts to meet their goals, the goals are not quotas and no sanctions are imposed solely for failure to meet them. The following factors explain the difference between permissible goals, on the one hand, and unlawful preferences, on the other:

- Participation rate goals are not designed to be, nor may they properly or lawfully be interpreted as, permitting unlawful preferential treatment and quotas with respect to persons of any race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.
- Goals are neither quotas, set-asides, nor a device to achieve proportional representation or equal results. Rather, the goal-setting process is used to target and measure the effectiveness of affirmative action efforts to eradicate and prevent barriers to equal employment opportunity.
- Goals under Executive Order 11246, as amended, do not require that any specific position be filled by a person of a particular gender, race, or ethnicity. Instead, the requirement is that contractors engage in outreach and other efforts to broaden the pool of qualified candidates to include minorities and women.
- The use of goals is consistent with principles of merit, because goals do not require an employer to hire a person who does not have the qualifications needed to perform the job successfully, hire an unqualified person in preference to another applicant who is qualified, or hire a less qualified person in preference to a more qualified person.
- Goals may not be treated as a ceiling or a floor for the employment of members of particular groups.
- A contractor's compliance is measured by whether it has made good faith efforts to meet its goals, and failure to meet goals, by itself, is not a violation of the Executive Order.

These goals are applicable to all of a contractor's construction work sites (whether or not these sites are also the result of a federal contract or are federally assisted). The goals are applicable to each nonexempt contractor's total onsite construction workforce, regardless of whether or not part of that workforce is performing work on a federal, federally assisted or non-federally related project contract or subcontract.

Contractors should apply to each work site the goal for the geographical area that each particular work site is located in.

The contractor's compliance with the Executive Order and the regulations in 41 CFR Part 60-4 will be assessed based on its implementation of the Equal Opportunity Clause, specific affirmative action

---

6 For more information about the development of the goals, see Federal Register, Vol. 45, No. 194, at 65976-65991 (October 3, 1980) (minorities) and Federal Register, Vol. 45, No. 251 at 85750-85751 (December 30, 1980) (females). The text of these Federal Register notices can be found:

- Federal Register Notice : Vol. 45, No. 194, at 65976-65991 (October 3, 1980) [ HTML ] [ PDF ]
- Federal Register Notice : Vol. 45, No. 251, at 85750-85751 (December 30, 1980) [ HTML ] [ PDF ]
obligations required by the specifications set forth in 41 CFR 60-4.3(a), and its efforts to meet the goals. The hours of minority and female employment and training must be substantially uniform throughout the length of the contract, and in each trade, and the contractor must make a good faith effort to employ minorities and women evenly on each of its projects. The transfer of minority or female employees or trainees from contractor to contractor or from project to project for the sole purpose of meeting the contractor's goals is a violation of the contract, the Executive Order, and the regulations in 41 CFR Part 60-4. Compliance with the goals will be measured against the total work hours performed.

Until further notice, the following goals for female and minority utilization in each construction craft and trade must be included in all Federal or federally assisted construction contracts and subcontracts in excess of $10,000. Construction contractors that are participating in an approved Hometown Plan (see 41 CFR 60 4.5) are required to comply with the goals of the Hometown Plan with regard to construction work they perform in the area covered by the Hometown Plan. With regard to all their other covered construction work, such contractors are required to comply with the applicable SMSA or EA goal contained in the list below.

GOALS FOR FEMALES

| NATIONWIDE GOAL | 6.9% |

7 The percentage goal established for female participation is 6.9% nationwide.
## GOALS FOR MINORITIES
### ECONOMIC AREAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>GOAL (PERCENT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maine:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 001 Bangor, ME: | Non-SMSA Counties: 0.8  
   ME Aroostook; ME Hancock; ME Penobscot; ME Piscataquis; ME Waldo; ME Washington. |
| 002 Portland-Lewiston, ME: | SMSA Counties: 0.5  
   4243 Lewiston-Auburn, ME: ME Androscoggin.  
   6403 Portland, ME: ME Cumberland; ME Sagadahoc.  
   Non-SMSA Counties: 0.5  
   ME Franklin; ME. Kennebec; ME Knox. ME; Lincoln; ME Oxford; ME Somerset; ME York. |
| Vermont: |                |
| 003 Burlington, VT: | Non-SMSA Counties: 0.8  
   NH Coos; NH Grafton; NH Sullivan; VT Addison; VT Caledonia; VT Chittenden; VT Essex; VT Franklin; VT Grand Isle; VT Lamoille; VT Orange; VT Orleans; VT Ruthland; VT Washington; VT Windsor. |
| Massachusetts: |                |
| 004 Boston, MA: | SMSA Counties: 4.0  
   4763 Manchester-Nashua, NH: NH Hillsborough.  
   5403 Fall River-New Bedford, MA: MA Bristol  
   Non-SMSA Counties: 3.6  
   MA Barnstable; MA Dukes; MA Nantucket, NH Belknap; NH Carroll; NH Merrimack; NH Strafford. |
| Rhode Island: |                |
| 005 Providence - Warwick - Pawtucket, RI: | SMSA Counties: 3.0  
   6483 Providence - Warwick - Pawtucket RI: RI Bristol; RI Kent; RI Providence; RI Washington  
   Non-SMSA Counties: 3.1  
   RI Newport |
| Connecticut (Massachusetts): |                |
| 006 Hartford - New Haven - Springfield, CT-MA: | SMSA Counties: 6.9  
   3283 Hartford - New Britain – Bristol, CT: CT Hartford; CT Middlesex; CT Tolland  
   5483 New Haven - Waterbury – Meriden, CT: CT New Haven |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Albany - Schenectady - Troy, NY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0160 Albany - Schenectady – Troy, NY</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Albany; NY Montgomy, NY Rensselaer, NY Saratoga; NY Schenectady.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Clinton; NY Columbia; NY Essex; NY Fulton; NY Greene; NY Hamilton, NY Schoharie, NY Warren; NY Washington; VT Bennington.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Cayuga; NY Cortland; NY Franklin; NY Jefferson; NY Lewis; NY St. Lawrence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Livingston; NY Monroe; NY Ontario; NY Orleans; NY Wayne.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Genesee; NY Seneca; NY Yates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Allegany; NY Cattaraugus; NY Chautauqua; NY Wyoming. PA McKean; PA Potter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Chenango; NY Delaware; NY Otsego; NY Schuyler; NY Steuben; ; NY Tompkins; PA Bradford; PA Tioga.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Erie; NY Niagara.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Allegany; NY Cattaraugus; NY Chautauqua; NY Wyoming. PA McKean; PA Potter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1280 Buffalo, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Allegany; NY Cattaraugus; NY Chautauqua; NY Wyoming. PA McKean; PA Potter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011 Binghamton - Elmira, NY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0960 Binghamton, NY – PA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Broome; NY Tioga; PA Susquehanna.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2335 Elmira, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Chemung</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Chenango; NY Delaware; NY Otsego; NY Schuyler; NY Steuben; ; NY Tompkins; PA Bradford; PA Tioga.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Allegany; NY Cattaraugus; NY Chautauqua; NY Wyoming. PA McKean; PA Potter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012 New York, NY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties:</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1163 Bridgeport - Stamford - Norwalk - Danbury, CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Fairfield.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3640 Jersey City, NJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Hudson.</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4410 Long Branch - Asbury Park, NJ</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ Monmouth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5380 Nassau – Suffolk, NY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY Nassau; NY Suffolk.</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following goal ranges are applicable to the indicated trades in the counties of Bronx, Kings, New York, Queens, and Richmond.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade</th>
<th>Goal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricians</td>
<td>9.0 to 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenters</td>
<td>27.6 to 32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam fitters</td>
<td>12.2 to 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal lathers</td>
<td>24.6 to 25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painters</td>
<td>28.6 to 26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Engineers</td>
<td>25.6 to 26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbers</td>
<td>12.0 to 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron workers (struct)</td>
<td>25.9 to 32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator constructors</td>
<td>5.5 to 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bricklayers</td>
<td>13.4 to 15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos workers</td>
<td>22.8 to 28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofers</td>
<td>6.3 to 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron workers (ornamental)</td>
<td>22.4 to 23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement masons</td>
<td>23.0 to 27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaziers</td>
<td>16.0 to 20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasterers</td>
<td>15.8 to 18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamsters</td>
<td>22.0 to 22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boilermakers</td>
<td>13.0 to 15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All others</td>
<td>16.4 to 17.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Goal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey counties</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York counties</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex County</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passaic County</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutchess County</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pennsylvania:**

**SMSA Counties:**

- Scranton - Wilkes-Barre, PA:
- Northeast Pennsylvania:
  - Lackawanna; Luzerne; Monroe.
- Non-SMSA Counties:
  - Columbia; Wayne; Wyoming.

**Non-SMSA Counties:**

- Williamsport, PA:
- Lycoming.
- Cameron; Centre; Clearfield; Clinton; Elk; Jefferson; Montour;
  - Northumberland; Snyder; Sullivan; Union.

- Erie, PA:
- Non-SMSA Counties:
  - Clarion; Crawford; Forest; Venango; Warren.
016 Pittsburgh, PA:
SMSA Counties
0280 Altoona, PA 1.0
  PA Blair.
3680 Johnson, PA 1.3
  PA Cambria; PA Somerset.
6280 Pittsburgh, PA 6.3
  PA Allegheny; PA Beaver; PA Washington; PA Westmoreland.
Non-SMSA Counties 4.8
  MD Allegany; MD Garrett; PA Armstrong; PA Bedford; PA Butler; PA Fayette; PA
  Greene; PA Indiana; WV Mineral.
017 Harrisburg - York - Lancaster, PA:
SMSA Counties
3240 Harrisburg PA 6.2
  PA Cumberland; PA Dauphin; PA Perry.
4000 Lancaster, PA 2.0
  PA Lancaster.
9280 York, PA 2.2
  PA Adams; PA York.
Non-SMSA-Counties 3.1
  PA Franklin; PA Fulton; PA Huntingdon; PA Juniata; PA Lebanon; PA Mifflin.
018 Philadelphia, PA:
SMSA Counties
0240 Allentown - Bethlehem - Easton, PA-NJ 1.6
  NJ Warren; PA Carbon; PA Lehigh; PA Northampton.
0560 Atlantic City, NJ 18.2
  NJ Atlantic
6160 Philadelphia, PA-NJ 17.3
  NJ Burlington; NJ Camden; NJ Glouchester; PA Bucks; PA Chester; PA Delaware; PA
  Montgomery; PA Philadelphia.
8680 Reading, PA 2.5
  PA Berks.
8480 Trenton, NJ 16.4
  NJ Mercer.
8760 Vineland - Millville - Bridgeton, NJ 16.0
  NJ Cumberland.
9160 Wilmington, DE-NJ-MD 12.3
  DE Now Castle; MD Cecil; NJ Salem.
Non-SMSA Counties 14.5
  DE Kent; DE Sussex; NJ Cape May; PA Schuylkill.

Maryland:
019 Baltimore, MD
SMSA Counties:
0720 Baltimore MD 23.0
  MD Anne Arundel; MD Baltimore; MD Carroll; MD Harford; MD Howard; MD Baltimore City.
Non-SMSA Counties 23.6
  MD Caroline; MD Dorchester; MD Kent; MD Queen Annes; MD Somerset; MD Talbot;
  MD Wicomico; MD Worcester; VA Accomack; VA Northampton

Washington DC:
020 Washington DC:
SMSA Counties
8840 Washington, DC-MD-VA 28.0
  DC District of Columbia; MD Charles; Montgomery; MD Prince Georges; VA Arlington;
  VA Fairfax; VA Loudoun; VA Prince William; VA Alexandria; VA Fairfax City; VA Falls
Church.

Non-SMSA Counties
MD Calvert; MD Frederick; MD St. Marys; MD Washington; VA Clarke; VA Culpepper; VA Fauquier; VA Frederick; VA King George; VA Page; VA Rappahannock; VA Shenandoah; VA Spotsylvania; VA Stafford; VA Warren; VA Westmoreland; VA Fredericksburg; VA Winchester; WV Berkeley; WV Grant; WV Hampshire; WV Hardy; WV Jefferson; WV Morgan.

Virginia:
021 Roanoke-Lynchburg VA:
SMSA Counties:
4640 Lynchburg, VA_________________________________________________________19.3
   VA Amherst; VA Appomattox; VA Campbell; VA Lynchburg.
6800 Roanoke, VA_________________________________________________________10.2
   VA Botetourt; VA Craig; VA Roanoke VA; VA Roanoke City; VA Salem.
Non-SMSA Counties_________________________________________________________12.0
   VA Alleghany, VA Augusta; VA Bath; VA Bedford; VA Bland; VA Carroll; VA Floyd; VA Franklin; VA Giles; VA Grayson; VA Henry; VA Highland; VA Montgomery; VA Nelson; VA Patrick; VA Pittsylvania; VA Pulaski; VA Rockbridge; VA Rockingham; VA Wythe; VA Bedford City; VA Buena Vista; VA Clifton Forge; VA Covington; VA Danville; VA Galex; VA Harrisonburg; VA Lexington; VA Martinsville; VA Radford; VA Staunton; VA Waynesboro; WV Pendleton.

022 Richmond, VA:
SMSA Counties:
6140 Petersburg - Colonial Heights – Hopewell, VA________________________________30.6
   VA Dinwiddie; VA Prince George; VA Colonial Heights; VA Hopewell; VA Petersburg.
6760 Richmond, VA________________________________________________________24.9
   VA Charles City; VA Chesterfield; VA Goochland; VA Hanover; VA Henrico; VA New Kent; VA Powhatan; VA Richmond.
Non-SMSA Counties________________________________________________________27.9
   VA Albemarle; VA Amelia; VA Brunswick; VA Buckingham; VA Caroline; VA Charlotte; VA Cumberland; VA Essex; VA Fluvanna; VA Greene; VA Greensville; VA Halifax; VA King And Queen; VA King William; VA Lancaster; VA Louisa; VA Lunenburg; VA Madison; VA Mecklenburg; VA Northumberland; VA Nottoway; VA Orange; VA Prince Edward; VA Richmond; VA Sussex; VA Charlottesville; VA Emporia; VA South Boston.

023 Norfolk - Virginia Beach - Newport News, VA:
SMSA Counties:
5680 Newport News-Hampton, VA____________________________________________27.1
   VA Gloucester, VA James City; VA York; VA Hampton; VA Newport News; VA Williamsburg.
5720 Norfolk - Virginia Beach – Portsmouth VA – NC____________________________26.6
   NC Currituck; VA Chesapeake; VA Norfolk; VA Portsmouth; VA Suffolk; VA Virginia Beach.
Non-SMSA Counties________________________________________________________29.7
   NC Bertie; NC Camden; NC Chowan; NC Gates; NC Hertford; NC Pasquotank; NC Perquimans; VA Isle of Wight; VA Matthews; VA Middlesex; VA Southampton; VA Surry; VA Franklin.

North Carolina:
024 Rocky Mount - Wilson - Greenville NC:
Non-SMSA Counties________________________________________________________31.7
   NC Beaufort; NC Carteret; NC Craven; NC Dare; NC Edgecombe; NC Greene; NC Halifax; NC Hyde; NC Jones; NC Lenoir; NC Martin; NC Nash; NC Northampton; NC Pamlico; NC Pitt; NC Tyrrell; NC Washington; NC Wayne; NC Wilson

025 Wilmington, NC:
SMSA Counties:
9200 Wilmington, NC________________________________________________________20.7
NC Brunswick; NC New Hanover.
Non-SMSA Counties: 23.5
NC Columbus; NC Duplin; NC Onslow; NC Pender.

026 Fayetteville, NC:
SMSA Counties:
2560 Fayetteville, NC 26.2
NC Cumberland.
Non-SMSA Counties: 33.5
NC Bladen; NC Hoke; NC Richmond; NC Robeson; NC Sampson; NC Scotland.

027 Raleigh - Durham, NC:
SMSA Counties:
6640 Raleigh – Durham 22.8
NC Durham; NC Orange; NC Wake.
Non-SMSA Counties: 24.7
NC Chatham; NC Franklin; NC Granville; NC Harnett; NC Johnston; NC Lee; NC Person;
NC Vance; NC Warren.

028 Greensboro - Winston Salem - High Point, NC:
SMSA Counties:
1300 Burlington, NC 16.2
NC Alamance.
3120 Greensboro - Winston Salem – High Point NC 16.4
NC Davidson; NC Forsyth; NC Guilford; NC Randolf; NC Stokes; NC Yadkin.
Non-SMSA Counties: 15.5
NC Alleghany; NC Ashe; NC Caswell; NC Davie; NC Montgomery; NC Moore; NC
Rockingham; NC Surry; NC Watauga; NC Wilkes.

029 Charlotte, NC:
SMSA Counties:
1520 Charlotte – Gastonia, NC 18.5
NC Gaston; NC Mecklenburg; NC Union.
Non-SMSA Counties: 15.7
NC Alexander; NC Anson; NC Burke; NC Cabarrus; NC Caldwell; NC Catawba;
NC Cleveland; NC Iredell; NC Lincoln; NC Rowan; NC Rutherford; NC Stanley;
SC Chester; SC Lancaster SC York.

030 Asheville, NC
Non-SMSA Counties:
0480 Asheville, NC 8.5
NC Buncombe; NC Madison.
Non-SMSA Counties: 6.3
NC Avery; NC Cherokee; NC Clay; NC Graham; NC Heywood; NC Henderson;
NC Jackson; NC McDowell; NC Macon; NC Mitchell; NC Swain; NC Transylvania;
NC Yancey.

South Carolina:
031 Greenville – Spartanburg, SC:
SMSA Counties:
3160 Greenville – Spartanburg, SC 16.0
SC Greenville; SC Pickens; SC Spartanburg.
Non-SMSA Counties: 17.8
SC Polk; SC Abbeville; SC Anderson; SC Cherokee; SC Greenwood; SC Laurens;
SC Ocone; SC Union.

032 Columbia, SC
SMSA Counties:
1760 Columbia, SC 23.4
SC Lexington; SC Richland.
Non-SMSA Counties: 32.0
SC Calhoun SC Clarendon; SC Fairfield; SC Kershaw; SC Lee; SC Newberry;
SC Orangeburg; SC Saluda; SC Sumter
033 Florence, SC
Non-SMSA Counties
SC Chesterfield; SC Darlington; SC Dillon; SC Florence; SC Georgetown; SC Horry;
SC Marion; SC Marlboro; SC Williamsburg.

034 Charleston - North Charleston, SC
SMSA Counties
1440 Charleston - North Charleston, SC
SC Berkeley; SC Charleston; SC Dorchester.
Non-SMSA Counties
SC Collection

Georgia:
035 Augusta, GA:
SMSA Counties:
0600 Augusta, GA – SC
GA Columbia; GA Richmond; SC Aiken
Non-SMSA Counties
GA Burke; GA Emanuel; GA Glascock; GA Jefferson; GA Jenkins; GA Lincoln; GA McDuffie; GA Tatlaferro; GA Warren; GA Wilkes; SC Allendale, SC Bamberg;
SC Barnwell; SC Edgefield; SC McCormick

036 Atlanta, GA
SMSA Counties
0520 Atlanta
GA Butts; GA Cherokee; GA Clayton; GA Cobb; GA Dekalb; GA Douglas; GA Fayette; GA Forsyth; GA Fulton; GA Gwinnett; GA Henry, GA Newton; GA Paulding; GA Rockdale; GA Walton
Non-SMSA Counties
GA Banks; GA Barrow; GA Bartow; GA Carroll; GA Clarke; GA Coweta; GA Dawson; GA Elbert; GA Fannin; GA Floyd; GA Franklin; GA Gilmer; GA Gordon; GA Greene; GA Habersham; GA Hall; GA Haralson; GA Hart; GA Heard; GA Jackson; GA Jasper; GA Lamar, GA Lumpkin; GA Madison, GA Morgan; GA Oconee, GA Oglethorpe; GA Pickens; GA Pike; GA Polk; GA Rabun, GA Spalding; GA Stephens; GA Towns;
GA Union; GA Upson; GA White.

037 Columbus, GA:
SMSA Counties
1800 Columbus
AL Russell; GA Chattahoochee; GA Columbus.
Non-SMSA Counties
AL Chambers; AL Lee; GA Harris; GA Marion; GA Meriwether; GA Quitman;
GA Schley; GA Stewart; GA Sumter; GA Talbot; GA Troup; GA Webster.

038 Macon, GA:
SMSA Counties
4660 Macon, GA
GA Bibb; GA Houston; GA Jones; GA Twiggs.
Non-SMSA Counties
GA Baldwin; GA Bleckley; GA Crawford; GA Crisp; GA Dodge; GA Dooly; GA Hancock;
GA Johnson; GA Laurens; GA Macon; GA Monroe; GA Peach; GA Pulaski; GA Putnam. GA Taylor; GA Telfair; GA Treutlen; GA Washington; GA Wheeler; GA Wilcox;
GA Wilkinson.

039 Savannah, GA:
SMSA Counties:
7520 Savannah, GA
GA Bryan; GA Chatham; GA Effingham
Non-SMSA Counties
GA Appling; GA Atkinson; GA Bacon; GA Bullock; GA Candler; GA Coffee;
GA Evans; GA Jeff Davis; GA Liberty; GA Long; GA McIntosh; GA Montgomery;
GA Screven; GA Tattinall; GA Toombs; GA Wayne; SC Beaufort; SC Hampton; SC Jasper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>040 Albany, GA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0120 Albany, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA Dougherty; GA Lee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA Baker; GA Ben Hill; GA Berrien; GA Brooks; GA Calhoun; GA Clay; GA Clinch; GA Colquitt; GA Cook; GA Decatur; GA Early; GA Echols; GA Grady; GA Irwin; GA Lanier; GA Lowndes; GA Miller; GA Mitchell; GA Randolph; GA Seminole, GA Terrell; GA Thomas; GA Tift; GA Turner; GA Worth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Florida:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>041 Jacksonville, FL:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900 Gainesville, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Alachua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600 Jacksonville, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Baker; FL Clay; FL Duval; FL Nassau; FL St. Johns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Bradford; FL Columbia; FL Dade; FL Gilchrist; FL Hamilton; FL LaFayette; FL Levy; FL Marion; FL Putnam; FL Suwannee; FL Union; GA Brantley; GA Camden; GA Charlton; GA Glynn; GA Pierce; GA Ware.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>042 Orlando - Melbourne - Daytona Beach, FL.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMSA Counties:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Daytona Beach, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Volusia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4900 Melbourne – Tutusville – Cocoa, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Brevard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5960 Orlando, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Orange; FL Osceola; FL Seminole.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Flagler; FL Lake; FL Sumter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>043 Miami - Fort Lauderdale, FL:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMSA Counties:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2680 Fort Lauderdale – Hollywood, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Broward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 Miami, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Dade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8960 West Palm Beach - Boca Raton, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Palm Beach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Glades; FL Hendry; FL Indian River; FL Martin; FL Monroe; FL Okeechobee; FL St. Lucie.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>044 Tampa - St Petersburgh, FL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SMSA Counties:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1140 Bradenton, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Manatee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2700 Fort Myers, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Lee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3980 Lakeland - Winter Haven, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Polk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7510 Sarasota, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Sarasota.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8280 Tampa - St. Petersburgh, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL Hillsborough, FL Pasco; FL Pinellas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SMSA Counties</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FL Charlotte; FL Citrus; FL Collier, FL Desoto; FL Hardee; FL Hernando; FL Highlands.

045 Tallahassee, FL:
SMSA Counties:
8240 Tallahassee, FL________________________24.3
FL Leon; FL Wakulla.
Non-SMSA Counties:
FL Calhoun; FL Franklin; FL Gadsden; FL Jackson; FL Jefferson; FL Liberty;
FL Madison; FL Taylor.

046 Pensacola - Panama City, FL
SMSA Counties:
8615 Panama City, FL________________________14.1
FL Bay.
6080 Pensacola, FL___________________________18.3
FL Escambia; FL Santa Rosa.
Non-SMSA Counties___________________________15.4
FL Gulf; FL Holmes; FL Okaloosa; FL Walton; FL Washington.

Alabama:
047 Mobile, AL
SMSA Counties:
5160 Mobile, AL____________________________25.9
AL Baldwin; AL Mobile.
6026 Pascagoula - Moss, Point MS________________________16.9
MS Jackson.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________26.4
AL Choctaw; AL Clarke; AL Conecuh; AL Escambia; AL Monroe; AL Washington; AL Wilcox; MS George; MS Greene.

048 Montgomery, AL:
SMSA Counties
5240 Montgomery, AL___________________________29.9
AL Autauga; AL Elmore; AL Montgomery.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________29.9
AL Barbour; AL Bullock; AL Butler; AL Coffee; AL Coosa; AL Covington;
AL Crenshaw; AL Dale; AL Dallas; AL Geneva; AL Henry; AL Houston;
AL Lowndes; AL Macon; AL Perry; AL Pike; AL Tallapoosa.

049 Birmingham, AL:
SMSA Counties:
0450 Anniston, AL___________________________14.3
AL Calhoun.
1000 Birmingham, AL________________________24.9
AL Jefferson; AL St. Clair; AL Shelby; AL Walker; AL Etowah
8600 Tuscaloosa, AL__________________________20.6
AL Tuscaloosa.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________20.7
AL Bibb; AL Blount; AL Cherokee; AL Chilton; AL Clay; AL Cleburne; AL Cullman;
AL Fayette; AL Greene; AL Hale; AL Lamar; AL Marion; AL Pickens; AL Randolph;
AL Sumter; AL Talladega; AL Winston.

050 Huntsville – Florence, AL:
SMSA Counties:
2650 Florence, AL____________________________11.9
AL Colbert; AL Lauderdale.
3440 Huntsville, AL__________________________12.0
AL Limestone; AL Madison; AL Marshall.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________11.2
AL Franklin; AL Lawrence AL Morgan; TN Lincoln.
Tennessee:

051 Chattanooga, TN:
SMSA Counties:
1560 Chattanooga, TN – GA
GA Catoosa; GA Dade; GA Walker; TN Hamilton; TN Marion; TN Sequatchie.
Non-SMSA Counties
AL De Kalb; AL Jackson; GA Chattooga; GA Murray; GA Whitfield;
TN Bledsoe; TN Bradley; TN Grundy; TN McMinn; TN Meigs; TN Monroe;
TN Polk; TN Rhea.

052 Johnson City - Kingsport - Bristol, TN-VA:
SMSA Counties:
3660 Johnson City - Kingsport - Bristol. TN – VA
TN Carter; TN Hawkins; TN Sullivan; TN Unicoi; TN Washington; VA Scott;
VA Washington; VA Bristol.
Non-SMSA Counties
TN Greene; TN Hancock; TN Johnson; VA Buchanan; VA Dickenson; VA Lee;
VA Russell; VA Smyth; VA Tazewell; VA Wise; VA Norton; WV McDowell, WV Mercer.

053 Knoxville, TN:
SMSA Counties:
3840 Knoxville, TN
TN Anderson; TN Blount; TN Knox; TN Union.
Non-SMSA Counties
KY Bell; KY Harlan; KY Knox; KY Laurel; KY McCreary; KY Wayne; KY Whitley; TN
Campbell; TN Claiborne; TN Cocke; TN Cumberland; TN Fentress; TN Grainger,
TN Hamblen; TN Jefferson; TN Loudon; TN Morgan; TN Roane; TN Scott;
TN Sevier.

054 Nashville, TN:
SMSA Counties:
1660 Clarksville - Hopkinsville, TN – KY
KY Christian; TN Montgomery.
5360 Nashville - Davidson, TN
TN Cheatham, TN Davidson; TN Dickson; TN Robertson; TN Rutherford; TN Sumner;
TN Williamson; TN Wilson.
Non-SMSA Counties
KY Allen; KY Barren; KY Butler; KY Clinton; KY Cumberland; KY Edmonson;
KY Logan; KY Metcalfe; KY Monroe; KY Simpson; KY Todd; KY Trigg; KY Warren;
TN Bedford; TN Cannon; TN Clay; TN Coffee; TN DeKalb; TN Franklin; TN Giles;
TN Hickman; TN Houston; TN Humphreys; TN Jackson; TN Lawrence; TN Lewis;
TN Macon; TN Marshall; TN Maury; TN Moore; TN Overton; TN Perry; TN Pickett;
TN Putnam; TN Smith; TN Stewart; TN Trousdale; TN Van Buren; TN Warren;
TN Wayne; TN White.

055 Memphis, TN:
SMSA Counties:
4920 Memphis, TN-AR-MS
AR Crittenden; MS Do Soto; TN Shelby; TN Tipton.
Non-SMSA Counties
AR Clay; AR Craighead; AR Cross; AR Greene; AR Lawrence; AR Lee;
AR Mississippi; AR Phillips; AR. Poinsett; AR Randolph; AR St. Francis; MS Alcorn;
MS Benton; MS Bolivar; MS Calhoun; MS Carroll; MS Chickasaw, MS Clay;
MS Coahoma; MS Grenada; MS Itawamba; MS Lafayette; MS Lee; MS Leflore;
MS Marshall; MS Monroe; MS Montgomery; MS Panola; MS Pontotoc; MS Prentiss;
MS Quitman; MS Sunflower; MS Tallahatchie; MS Tate; MS Tippah; MS Tishomingo;
MS Union; MS Washington; MS Webster. MS Yalobusha; MO Dunklin;
MO New Madrid; MO Pemiscot; TN Benton; TN Carroll; TN Chester; TN Crockett;
TN Decatur; TN Dyer; TN Fayette; TN Gibson; TN Hardeman; TN Hardin;
TN Haywood; TN Henderson; TN Henry; TN Lake; TN Lauderdale; TN McNairy; TN Madison; TN Obion; TN Weakley.

### Kentucky:

#### 056 Paducah, KY:
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IL Hardin; IL Massac; IL Pope; KY Ballard; KY Caldwell; KY Calloway; KY Carlisle; KY Crittenden; KY Fulton; KY Graves; KY Hickman; KY Livingston; KY Lyon; KY McCracken; KY Marshall.

#### 057 Louisville, KY:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 4520 Louisville, KY-IN
  - IN Clark; IN Floyd; KY Bullitt; KY Jefferson; KY Oldham.

- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IN Crawford; IN Harrison; IN Orange; IN Scott; IN Washington; KY Breckinridge; KY Grayson; KY Hardin; KY Hart; KY Henry; KY Larue; KY Marion; KY Meade; KY Nelson; KY Shelby; KY Spencer; KY Trimble; KY Washington.

#### 058 Lexington, KY
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 4280 Lexington-Fayette, KY
  - KY Bourbon; KY Clark; KY Fayette; KY Jessamine; KY Scott; KY Woodford.

- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - KY Adair; KY Anderson; KY Bath; KY Boyle; KY Breathitt; KY Casey; KY Clay; KY Estill; KY Franklin; KY Garrard; KY Green; KY Harrison; KY Jackson; KY Knott; KY Lee; KY Leslie; KY Letcher; KY Lincoln; KY Madison; KY Magoffin; KY Menifee; KY Mercer; KY Montgomery; KY Morgan. KY Nicholas; KY Owsley; KY Perry; KY Powell; KY Pulaski; KY Rockcastle; KY Russell; KY Taylor; KY Wolfe.

### West Virginia:

#### 059 Huntington, WV:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 3400 Huntington – Ashland, WV-KY-OH
  - KY Boyd; KY Greenup; OH Lawrence; WV Cabell; WV Wayne.

- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - KY Carter; KY Elliott; KY Floyd; KY Johnson; KY Lawrence; KY Martin; KY Pike; KY Rowan; OH Gallia; WV Lincoln; WV Logan; WV Mason; WV Mingo.

#### 060 Charleston, WV
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 1480 Charleston, WV
  - WV Kanawha; WV Putnam.

- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - WV Boone; WV Braxton; WV Calhoun; WV Clay; Fayette; WV Gilmer; WV Greenbrier; WV Jackson; WV Monroe; WV Nicholas; WV Pocahontas; WV Raleigh; WV Roane; WV Summers; WV Webster; WV Wyoming.

#### 061 Morgantown-Fairmont, WV:
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - WV Barbour; WV Doddridge; WV Harrison; WV Lewis; WV Marion; WV Monongalia; WV Preston; WV Randolph; WV Taylor; WV Tucker; WV Upshur.

#### 062 Parkersburg, WV:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 6020 Parkersburg-Marietta. WV-OH
  - OH Washington; WV Wirt; WV Wood.

- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - WV Pleasants; WV Ritchie.
### Ohio:

**064 Youngstown-Warren, OH**

**SMSA Counties:**

- 9320 Youngstown-Warren, OH
  - OH Mahoning; OH Trumbull.

**Non-SMSA Counties**

- OH Columbiana; PA Lawrence; PA Mercer.

**065 Cleveland, OH**

**SMSA Counties:**

- 0080 Akron, OH
  - OH Portage; OH Summit.
- 1320 Canton, OH
  - OH Carroll; OH Stark.
- 1680 Cleveland, OH
  - OH Cuyahoga; OH Geauga; OH Lake; OH Medina.
- 4440 Lorain-Elyria, OH
  - OH Lorain.
- 4800 Mansfield, OH
  - OH Richland.

**Non-SMSA Counties:**

- OH Ashland; OH Ashtabula; OH Coshocton; OH Crawford; OH Erie;
  - OH Holmes; OH Huron; OH Tuscarawas; OH Wayne.

**066 Columbus, OH**

**SMSA Counties:**

- 1840 Columbus, OH
  - OH Delaware; OH Fairfield; Franklin; OH Madison; OH Pickaway.

**Non-SMSA Counties**

- OH Athens; OH Fayette; OH Guernsey; OH Hocking; OH Jackson; OH Knox;
  - OH Licking; OH Marion; OH Meigs; OH Morgan; OH Morrow; OH Muskingum;
  - OH Noble; OH Perry OH Pike; OH Ross; OH Scioto; OH Union; OH Vinton.

**067 Cincinnati, OH**

**SMSA Counties:**

- 1640 Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN
  - IN Dearborn; KY Boone; KY Campbell; KY Kenton; OH Clermont;
  - OH Hamilton; OH Warren.
- 3200 Hamilton-Middletown, OH
  - OH Butler.

**Non-SMSA Counties**

- IN Franklin; IN Ohio; IN Ripley; IN Switzerland; KY Bracken; KY Carroll;
  - KY Fleming; KY Gallatin; KY Grant; KY Lewis; KY Mason; KY Owen; KY Pendleton;
  - KY Robertson; OH Adams; OH Brown; OH Clinton; OH Highland.

**068 Dayton, OH**

**SMSA Counties:**

- 2000 Dayton, OH
  - OH Greene; OH Miami; OH Montgomery; OH Preble.
- 7960 Springfield, OH
  - OH Champaign; OH Clark.

**Non-SMSA Counties**

- OH Darke; OH Logan; OH Shelby.
069 Lima, OH:
SMSA Counties: 4320 Lima, OH
OH Allen; OH Auglaize; OH Putnam; OH Van Wert.
Non-SMSA Counties
OH Hardin; OH Mercer.

070 Toledo, OH:
SMSA Counties: 8400 Toledo, OH
MI Monroe; OH Fulton; OH Lucas; OH Ottawa; OH Wood.
Non-SMSA Counties
MI Lenawee; OH Hancock; OH Henry; OH Sandusky; OH Seneca; OH Wyandot.

Michigan:
071 Detroit, MI:
SMSA Counties: 0440 Ann Arbor, MI
MI Washtenaw.
2160 Detroit, MI
MI Lapeer; MI Livingston; MI Macomb; MI Oakland; MI St. Clair; MI Wayne.
2640 Flint, MI
MI Genesee; MI Shiawassee.
Non-SMSA Counties
MI Sanilac.

072 Saginaw, MI:
SMSA Counties: 0800 Bay City, MI
MI Bay.
6960 Saginaw, MI
MI Saginaw.
Non-SMSA Counties
MI Alcona; MI Alpena; MI Arenac; MI Cheboygan; MI Chippewa; MI Clare;
MI Crawford; MI Gladwin; MI Gratiot; MI Huron; MI Iosco; MI Isabella; MI Luce;
MI Mackinac; MI Midland; MI Montmorency; MI Ogemaw; MI Oscoda; MI Otsego;
MI Presque Isle; MI Roscommon; MI Tuscola.

073 Grand Rapids, MI:
SMSA Counties: 3000 Grand Rapids, MI
MI Kent; MI Ottawa.
5320 Muskegon - Norton Shores - Muskegon Heights, MI
MI Muskegon; MI Oceana.
Non-SMSA Counties
MI Allegan; MI Antrim; MI Benzie; MI Charlevoix; MI Emmet; MI Grand Traverse; MI
Kalkaska; MI Lake; MI Leelanau; MI Manistee; MI Mason; MI Mecosta; MI Missaukee;
MI Montcalm; MI Newaygo; MI Osceola; MI Wexford.

074 Lansing - Kalamazoo, MI:
SMSA Counties: 0780 Battle Creek, MI
MI Barry; MI Calhoun.
3520 Jackson, MI
MI Jackson.
3720 Kalamazoo-Portage, MI
MI Kalamazoo; MI Van Buren.
4040 Lansing-East Lansing, MI
MI Clinton; MI Eaton; MI Ingham; MI Ionia.
Non-SMSA Counties: 5.5
MI Branch; MI Hillsdale.

Indiana:
075 South Bend, IN:
SMSA Counties:
7800 South Bend, IN
   IN Marshall; IN St. Joseph,
   2330 Elkhart IN
   IN Elkhart.
Non-SMSA Counties: 6.2
   IN Fulton; IN Kosciusko; IN Lagrange; MI Berrien; MI Cass; MI St. Joseph.
076 Fort Wayne, IN:
Non-SMSA Counties: 4.4
   IN Allen; IN Dekalb; IN Wells; IN Huntington; IN Noble; IN Steuben; IN Whitley;
   OH Defiance; OH Paulding; OH Williams.
077 Kokomo-Marion, IN:
SMSA Counties:
3850 Kokomo, IN
   IN Howard; IN Tipton.
Non-SMSA Counties: 3.7
   IN Cass; IN Grant; IN Miami; IN Wabash.
078 Anderson-Muncie, IN:
SMSA Counties:
0400 Anderson, IN
   IN Madison.
   5280 Muncie, IN
   IN Delaware.
Non-SMSA Counties: 3.9
   IN Blackford; IN Fayette; IN Henry; IN Jay; IN Randolph; IN Union; IN Wayne.
079 Indianapolis, IN:
SMSA Counties:
1020 Bloomington, IN
   IN Monroe.
   3480 Indianapolis, IN
   IN Boone; IN Hamilton; IN Hendricks; IN Johnson; IN Marion; IN Morgan;
   IN Shelby.
Non-SMSA Counties: 9.7
   IN Bartholomew; IN Brown; IN Daviess; IN Decatur; IN Greene; IN Jackson;
   IN Jennings; IN Lawrence; IN Martin; IN Owen; IN Putnam; IN Rush.
080 Evansville, IN:
SMSA Counties:
2440 Evansville, IN-KY
   IN Gibson; IN Posey; IN Vanderburgh; IN Warrick; KY Henderson.
   5990 Owensboro, KY
   KY Daviess.
Non-SMSA Counties: 3.5
   IL Edwards; IL Gallatin; IL Hamilton; IL Lawrence; IL Saline; IL Wabash;
   IL White; IN Dubois; IN Knox; IN Perry; IN Pike; IN Spencer; KY Hancock;
   KY Hopkins; KY McLean; KY Muhlenberg; KY Ohio; KY Union; KY Webster.
081 Terre Haute, IN:
SMSA Counties:
8320 Terre Haute, IN
   IN Clay; IN Sullivan; IN Vermillion; IN Vigo.
Non-SMSA Counties: 2.5
   IL Clark; IL Crawford; IN Parke.
### Illinois:

082 Lafayette, IN:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 3920 Lafayette - West Lafayette, IN ________________________________ 2.7
  - IN Tippecanoe.
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IN Benton; IN Carroll; IN Clinton; IN Fountain; IN Montgomery; IN Warren; IN White.

083 Chicago, IL:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 1600 Chicago, IL ________________________________ 19.6
    - IL Cook; IL Du Page; IL Kane; IL Lake; IL McHenry; IL Will.
  - 2960 Gary - Hammond - East Chicago, IN ________________________________ 20.9
    - IN Lake; IN Porter.
  - 3740 Kankakee, IL ________________________________ 9.1
    - IL Kankakee.
  - 3800 Kenosha, WI ________________________________ 3.0
    - WI Kenosha.
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IN Bureau; IL De Kalb; IL Grundy; IL Iroquois; IL Kendall; IL La Salle; IL Livingston; IL Putnam; IL Jasper; IN Laporte; IN Newton; IN Pulaski; IN Starke.

084 Champaign-Urbana, IL:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 1400 Champaign - Urbana – Rantoul, IL ________________________________ 7.8
    - IL Champaign.
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IL Coles; IL Cumberland; IL Douglas; IL Edgar; IL Ford; IL Platt; IL Vermilion.

085 Springfield-Decatur, IL:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 2040 Decatur, IL ________________________________ 7.6
    - IL Macon.
  - 7880 Springfield, IL ________________________________ 4.5
    - IL Menard; IL Sangamon.
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IL Cass; IL Christian; IL De Witt; IL Logan; IL Morgan; IL Moultrie; IL Scott; IL Shelby.

086 Quincy, IL:
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IL Adams; IL Brown; IL Pike; MO Lewis; MO Marlon; MO Pike; MO Rails.

087 Peoria, IL:
- **SMSA Counties**
  - 1040 Bloomington - Normal, IL ________________________________ 2.5
    - IL McLean.
  - 8120 Peoria, IL ________________________________ 4.4
    - IL Peoria; IL Tazewell; IL Woodford.
- **Non-SMSA Counties**
  - IL Fulton; IL Knox; IL McDonough; IL Marshall; IL Mason; IL Schuyler; IL Stark; IL Warren.

088 Rockford, IL:
- **SMSA Counties:**
  - 6880 Rockford, IL ________________________________ 6.3
    - IL Boone; IL Winnebago.
  - 3620 Janesville - Beloit WI ________________________________ 3.1
    - WI Rock
Non-SMSA Counties

IL Lee; IL Ogle; IL Stephenson.

**Wisconsin:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Non-SMSA Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>089 Milwaukee, WI</td>
<td>5080 Milwaukee, WI</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Milwaukee; WI Ozaukee; WI Washington; WI Waukesha.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6600 Racine, WI</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Racine.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Dodge; WI Jefferson; WI Sheboygan; WI Walworth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090 Madison, WI</td>
<td>4720 Madison, WI</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Dane.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Adams; WI Columbia; WI Green; WI Iowa; WI Marquette; WI Richland; WI Sauk.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091 La Crosse, WI</td>
<td>3870 LaCrosse, WI</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN Houston; MN Winona; WI Buffalo; WI Jackson; WI Juneau; WI Monroe; WI Trempealeau; WI Vernon.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092 Eau Claire, WI</td>
<td>2290 Eau Claire, WI</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Chippewa; WI Eau Claire.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Barron; WI Dunn; WI Pepin; WI Rusk; WI Sawyer; WI Washburn.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093 Wausau, WI</td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Clark; WI Langlade; WI Lincoln; WI Marathon; WI Oneida; WI Portage; WI Price; WI Taylor; WI Vilas; WI Wood.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>094 Appleton - Green Bay - Oshkosh, WI</td>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0460 Appleton-Oshkosh, WI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Calumet; WI Outaramie; WI Winnebago.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3080 Green Bay, WI</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WI Brown.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI Alger; MI Baraga; MI Delta; MI Dickinson; MI Houghton; MI Iron; MI Keweenaw; MI Marquette; MI Menominee; MI Schoolcraft; WI Door; WI Florence; WI Fond Du Lac; WI Forest WI Green Lake; WI Kewaunea; WI Manitowoc; WI Marinette; WI Menominee; WI Oconto; WI Shawano; WI Waupaca; Waushara.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>095 Duluth, MN</td>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2240 Duluth - Superior, MN-WI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MN St Louis; WI Douglas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI Gogebic; MI Ontonagon; MN Carlton; MN Cook; MN Itasca; MN Koochiching; MN Lake; WI Ashland; WI Bayfield; WI Iron.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minnesota:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Non-SMSA Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>096 Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SMSA Counties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Anoka; Carver; Chisago; Dakota; Hennepin; Ramsey; Scott; Washington; Wright; St. Croix.</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Benton; Sherburne; Stearns.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-SMSA Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Aitkin; Big Stone; Blue Earth; Brown; Cass; Chippewa; Crow Wing; Douglas; Faribault; Goodhue; Grant; Isanti; Kanabec; Kandiyohi; Lac Qui Parle; Le Sueur; McLeod; Martin; Meeker; Mille Lacs; Morrison; Nicollet; Pine; Pope; Renville; Rice; Sibley; Stevens; Swift; Todd; Traverse; Wadena; Watonwan; Yellow Medicine; Burnett; Pierce; Polk.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 097 Rochester, MN:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Olmsted.</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-SMSA Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Dodge; Fillmore; Freeborn; Mower; Steele; Wabasha.</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Iowa:

#### 098 Dubuque, IA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Dubuque.</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-SMSA Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Allamakee; Clayton; Delaware; Jackson; Winneshiek; Crawford; Grant; Lafayette.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 099 Davenport-Rock Island-Moline, IA-IL:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Henry; Rock Island; Scott.</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-SMSA Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Carroll; Handcock; Henderson; Mercer; Whiteside; Clinton; Des Moines; Henry; Lee; Louisa; Muscatine; Clark.</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 100 Cedar Rapids, IA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Linn.</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-SMSA Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Benton; Cedar; Iowa; Johnson; Jones; Washington.</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 101 Waterloo, IA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Black Hawk.</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-SMSA Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Bremer; Buchanan; Butler; Cerro Gordo; Chickasaw; Fayette; Floyd; Franklin; Grundy; Hancock; Hardin; Howard; Mitchell; Winnebago; Worth.</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 102 Fort Dodge, IA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Non-SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Bueno Vista; Calhoun; Carroll; Clay; Dickinson; Emmet; Greene; Hamilton; Humboldt; Kossuth; Palo Alto; Pocahontas; Sac; Webster; Wright.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 103 Sioux City, IA:
### SMSA Counties:

- **7720 Sioux City, IA-NE**: 1.9
  - IA Woodbury; NE Dakota.

### Non-SMSA Counties

- IA Cherokee, IA Crawford; IA Ida; IA Monona; IA O'Brien; IA Plymouth; IA. Sioux; NE Antelope; NE Cedar; NE Cuming; NE Dixon; NE Knox; NE Madison; NE Pierce; NE Stanton; NE Thurston; NE Wayne; SD Bon Homme; SD Clay; SD Union; SD Yankton.

#### 104 Des Moines, IA:

**SMSA Counties:**

- **2120 Des Moines, IA**: 4.5
  - IA Polk; IA Warren.

**Non-SMSA Counties**: 2.4

- IA Adair; IA Appanoose; IA Boone; IA Clarke; IA Dallas; IA Davis; IA Decatur; IA Guthrie; IA Jasper; IA Jefferson; IA Keokuk; IA Lucas; IA Madison; IA Mahaska; IA Marion; IA Marshall; IA Monroe; IA Poweshiek; IA Ringgold; IA Story; IA Tama; IA Union; IA Van Buren; IA Wapello; IA Wayne.

### Missouri:

#### 105 Kansas City, MO:

**SMSA Counties:**

- **3760 Kansas City, MO-KS**: 12.7
  - KS Johnson; KS Wayandotte; MO Cass; MO Clay; MO Jackson; MO Platte; MO Ray.

- **4150 Lawrence, KS**: 7.2

- **7000 St Joseph, MO**: 3.2
  - MO Andrew; MO Buchanan.

**Non-SMSA Counties**: 10.0

- KS Anderson; KS Atchison; KS Brown; KS Doniphan; KS Franklin; KS Leavenworth; KS Linn; KS Miami; MO Atchison; MO Bates; MO Benton; MO Caldwell; MO Carroll; MO Clinton; MO Daviess; MO Dekalb; MO Gentry; MO Grundy; MO Harrison; MO Henry; MO Holt; MO Johnson; MO Lafayette; MO Livingston; MO Mercer; MO Nodaway; MO Pettis; MO Saline; MO Worth.

#### 106 Columbia, MO:

**SMSA Counties:**

- **1740 Columbia, MO**: 6.3
  - MO Adair; MO Audrain; MO Callaway; MO Camden; MO Chariton; MO Cole; MO Cooper; MO Howard; MO Knox; MO Linn.; MO Macon; MO Miller; MO Moniteau; MO Monroe; MO Morgan; MO Osage; MO Putnam; MO Randolph; MO Schuyler; MO Scotland; MO Shelby; MO Sullivan.

**Non-SMSA Counties**: 4.0

- MO Adair; MO Audrain; MO Callaway; MO Camden; MO Chariton; MO Cole; MO Cooper; MO Howard; MO Knox; MO Linn.; MO Macon; MO Miller; MO Moniteau; MO Monroe; MO Morgan; MO Osage; MO Putnam; MO Randolph; MO Schuyler; MO Scotland; MO Shelby; MO Sullivan.

#### 107 St. Louis, MO:

**SMSA Counties:**

- **7040 St. Louis, MO-IL**: 14.7
  - IL Clinton; IL Madison; IL Monroe; IL St. Clair; MO Franklin; MO Jefferson; MO St. Charles; MO St. Louis; MO St. Louis City.

**Non-SMSA Counties**: 11.4

- IL Alexander IL Bond; IL Calhoun; IL Clay; IL Effingham; IL Fayette; IL Franklin; IL Greene; IL Jackson; IL Jasper; IL Jefferson; IL Jersey; IL Johnson; IL Macoupin; IL Marion; IL Montgomery; IL Perry; IL Pulaski; IL Randolph; IL Richland; IL Union; IL Washington; IL Wayne; IL Williamson; MO Bollinger; MO Butler; MO Cape Girardeau; MO Carter; MO Crawford; MO Dent; MO Gasconade; MO Iron; MO Lincoln; MO Madison; MO Maries; Mississipi; MO Montgomery; MO Perry; MO Phelps; MO Reynolds; MO Ripley; MO St. Francis; MO Ste. Genevieve; MO Scott; MO Stoddard; MO Warren; MO Washington; MO Wayne.

#### 108 Springfield, MO:

**SMSA Counties:**
Arkansas:

109 Fayetteville, AR:
Non-SMSA Counties

Arkansas:

110 Fort Smith, AR:

SMSA Counties:

Arkansas:

111 Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR:

SMSA Counties:

Mississippi:

112 Jackson, MS:

SMSA Counties:

Mississippi:

113 New Orleans, LA:

SMSA Counties

Louisiana:
LA Jefferson; LA Orleans; LA St. Bernard; LA St. Tammany.
Non-SMSA Counties

LA Assumption; LA Lafourche; LA Plaquemines; LA St. Charles; LA St. James;
LA St. John The Baptist; LA Tangipahoa; LA Terrebonne; LA Washington; MS Forrest;
MS Lamar; MS Marion; MS Pearl River; MS Perry; MS Pike; MS Walthall.

114 Baton Rouge, LA:
SMSA Counties:
0760 Baton Rouge, LA

LA Ascension; LA East Baton Rouge; LA Livingston; LA West Baton Rouge.
Non-SMSA Counties

LA Concordia; LA E. Feliciana; LA Iberville; LA Pointe Coupee; LA St. Helena;
LA West Feliciana; MS Adams; MS Amite; MS Wilkinson.

115 Lafayette, LA:
SMSA Counties:
3880 Lafayette, LA

LA Lafayette.
Non-SMSA Counties

LA Acadia; LA Evangeline; LA Iberia; LA St. Landry; LA St. Martin;
LA St. Mary; LA Vermillion.

116 Lake Charles, LA:
SMSA Counties:
3960 Lake Charles, LA

LA Calcasieu.
Non-SMSA Counties

LA Allen; LA Beauregard; LA Cameron; LA Jefferson Davis LA Vernon.

117 Shreveport, LA:
SMSA Counties:
0220 Alexandria, LA

LA Grant; LA Rapides.
7680 Shreveport, LA

LA Bossier; LA Caddo; LA Webster.
Non-SMSA Counties

LA Avoyelles; LA Bienville; LA Claiborne; LA De Soto; LA Natchitoches;
LA Red River; LA Sabine; LA Winn.

118 Monroe, LA:
SMSA Counties:
5200 Monroe, LA

LA Ouachita.
Non-SMSA Counties

LA Caldwell; LA Catahoula; LA East Carroll; LA Franklin; LA Jackson; LA La Salle; LA
Lincoln; LA Madison; LA Morehouse; LA Richland; LA Tensas; LA Union; LA West
Carroll.

Texas:
119 Texarkana, TX:
SMSA Counties:
8360 Texarkana, TX-Texarkana, AR

AR Little River; AR Miller; TX Bowie.
Non-SMSA Counties

AR Columbia; AR Hempstead; AR Howard; AR Lafayette; AR Nevada; AR Pike; AR
Sevier; TX Camp; TX Cass; TX Lamar; TX Morris; TX Red River; TX Titus.

120 Tyler-Longview, TX:
SMSA Counties:
4420 Longview, TX

TX Gregg; TX Harrison.
8640 Tyler, TX
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Non-SMSA Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX Anderson; TX Angelina; TX Cherokee; TX Henderson; TX Houston; TX Marion; TX Nacogdoches; TX Panola; TX Rusk; TX San Augustine; TX Shelby; TX Upshur; TX Wood.</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Hardin; TX Jefferson; TX Orange.</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Jasper; TX Newton; TX Sabine; TX Tyler.</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties: 0840 Beaumont-Port Arthur Orange, TX TX Hardin; TX Jefferson; TX Orange.</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Austin; TX Burleson; TX Calhoun; TX Chambers; TX Colorado; TX De Witt; TX Fayette; TX Goliad; TX Grimes; TX Jackson; TX Lavaca; TX Leon; TX Madison; TX Matagorda; TX Polk; TX Robertson; TX San Jacinto; TX Trinity; TX Victoria; TX Walker; TX Washington; TX Wharton.</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Bastrop; TX Blanco; TX Burnet; TX Caldwell; TX Lee; TX Llano.</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties: 3810 Killeen-Temple, TX TX Belt TX Coryall.</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8800 Waco, TX TX McLermarx.</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties TX Bosque; TX Falls; TX Freestone; TX Hamilton; TX Hill; TX Lampasas; TX Limestone; TX Milam; TX Mills.</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Collier; TX Dallas; TX Denton; TX Ellis; TX Hood; TX Johnson; TX Kaufman; TX Parker; TX Rockwall; TX Tarrant; TX Wise.</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7640 Sherman-Denison, TX TX Grayson.</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties OK Bryan; TX Cooke; TX Delta; TX Erath; TX Fannin; TX Franklin; TX Hopkins; TX Hunt; TX Jack; TX Montague; TX Navarro; TX Palo Pinto; TX Rains; TX Sommerveil; TX Van Zandt.</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX Archer; TX Baylor; TX Cottle; TX Foard; TX Hardeman; TX Wilbarger; TX Young.</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
127 Abilene, TX:
SMSA Counties:
0040 Abilene, TX
  TX Callahan; TX Jones; TX Taylor. 11.6
Non-SMSA Counties
  TX Brown; TX Coleman; TX Comanche; TX Eastland; TX Fisher; TX Haskell; TX Kent;
  TX Knox; TX Mitchell; TX Nolan; TX Scurry; TX Shackelford; TX Stephens; TX
  Stonewall; TX Throckmorton. 10.9

128 San Angelo, TX:
SMSA Counties:
  7200 San Angelo, TX 19.2
  TX Tom Green.
Non-SMSA Counties
  TX Coke; TX Concha; TX Crockett; TX Irion; TX Kimble; TX McCulloch; TX Mason; TX
  Menard; TX Reagan; TX Runnels; TX San Saba; TX Schleicher; TX Sterling; TX
  Sutton, TX Terrell. 20.0

129 San Antonio, TX:
SMSA Counties:
  4080 Laredo 87.3
  TX Webb.
  7240 San Antonio, TX 47.8
  TX Bexar; TX Comal; TX Guadalupe.
Non-SMSA Counties
  TX Atascosa; TX Bandera; TX Dimmit; TX Edwards; TX Frio; TX Gillespie; TX
  Gonzales; TX Jim Hogg; TX Karnes; TX Kendall; TX Kerr; TX Kinney; TX La Salle; TX
  McMullen; TX Maverick; TX Medina; TX Real; TX Uvalde; TX Val Verde; TX Wilson; TX
  Zapata; TX Zavala. 49.4

130 Corpus Christi, TX:
SMSA Counties:
  1880 Corpus Christi, TX 41.7
  TX Nueces; TX San Patricio.
Non-SMSA Counties
  TX Aransas; TX Bee; TX Brooks; TX Duval; TX Jim Wells; TX Kenady; TX Kyberg; TX
  Live Oak; TX Refugio. 44.2

131 Brownsville-McAllen-Harlingen, TX:
SMSA Counties:
  1240 Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX 71.0
  TX Cameron.
  4880 McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg, TX 72.8
  TX Hidalgo.
Non-SMSA Counties
  TX Starr; TX Willacy. 72.9

132 Odessa-Midland, TX:
SMSA Counties:
  5040 Midland, TX 19.1
  TX Midland.
  5800 Odessa, TX 15.1
  TX Ector.
Non-SMSA Counties
  TX Andrews; TX Crane; TX Glasscock; TX Howard; TX Loving; TX Martin; TX Pecos;
  TX Reeves; TX Upton; TX Ward; TX Winkler. 18.9

133 El Paso, TX:
SMSA Counties:
  2320 El Paso, TX 57.8
  TX El Paso.
Non-SMSA Counties 49.0
NM Chaves; NM Dona Ana; NM Eddy; NM Grant; NM Hidalgo; NM Luna; NM Otero;
NM Sierra, TX Brewster; TX Culberson; TX Hudspeth; TX Jeff Davis; TX Presidio.

134 Lubbock, TX:
SMSA Counties:
   4600 Lubbock ____________________________ 19.6
   TX Lubbock.
Non-SMSA ____________________________ 19.5
   NM Lea; NM Roosevelt; TX Bailey; TX Borden; TX Cochran; TX Crosby; TX Dawson;
   TX Dickens; TX Floyd; TX Gaines; TX Garza; TX Hale; TX Hockley; TX King; TX Lamb;
   TX Lynn; TX Motley; TX Terry; TX Yoakum.

135 Amarillo, TX:
SMSA Counties:
   0320 Amarillo, TX ____________________________ 9.3
   TX Potter; TX Randall.
Non-SMSA Counties ____________________________ 11.0
   NM Curry; NM Harding; NM Quay; NM Union; OK Beaver; OK Cimarron; OK Texas; TX
   Armstrong; TX Briscoe; TX Carson; TX Castro; TX Childress; TX Collingsworth; TX
   Dallam; TX Deaf Smith; TX Donley; TX Gray; TX Hall; TX Hansford; TX Hartley; TX
   Hemphill; TX Hutchinson; TX Lipscomb; TX Moore; TX Ochitree; TX Oldham; TX
   Parmer; TX Roberts; TX Sherman; TX Swisher; TX Wheeler.

Oklahoma:
136 Lawton, OK:
SMSA Counties:
   4200 Lawton, OK ____________________________ 14.8
   OK Comanche.
Non-SMSA Counties ____________________________ 10.8
   OK Cotton; OK Green; OK. Harmon; OK Jackson; OK Jefferson; OK Kiowa; OK
   Stephens; OK Tillman.

137 Oklahoma City, OK:
SMSA Counties:
   5880 Oklahoma City, OK ____________________________ 10.2
   OK Canadian; OK Cleveland; OK McClain; OK Oklahoma; OK Pottawatomie.
Non-SMSA Counties ____________________________ 9.0
   OK Alfalfa; OK Atoka; OK Beckham; OK Blaine; OK Caddo; OK Carter; OK Coat; OK
   Custer; OK Dewey; OK Ellis; OK Garfield; OK Garvin; OK Grady; OK Grant; OK
   Harper; OK Hughes; OK Johnston; OK Kingfisher; OK Lincoln; OK Logan; OK Love;
   OK Major; OK Marshall; OK Murray, OK Okfuskee; OK Pontotoc; OK Roger Mills; OK
   Seminole; OK Washita; OK Woods; Ok Woodward.

138 Tulsa, OK:
SMSA Counties:
   8560 Tulsa, OK ____________________________ 10.2
   OK Creek; OK Mayes; OK Osage; OK Rogers; OK Tulsa; OK Wagoner.
Non-SMSA Counties ____________________________ 10.0
   OK Cherokee; OK Key; OK McIntosh; OK Muskogee; OK Noble; OK Nowata; OK
   Okmulgee; OK Pawnee; OK Payne; OK Washington.

Kansas:
139 Wichita, KS:
SMSA Counties:
   9040 Wichita, KS ____________________________ 7.9
   KS Butler; KS Sedgwick.
Non-SMSA Counties ____________________________ 5.7
   KS Barber; KS Barton; KS Chase; KS Chautauqua; KS Clark; KS Comanche. KS
   Cowley; KS Edwards; KS Elk; KS Finney; KS Ford; KS Grant; KS Gray; KS Greeley;
   KS Greenwood; KS Hamilton; KS Harper; KS Harvey; KS Haskell; KS Hodgeman; KS
Kearny; KS Kingman; KS Kiowa; KS Lane; KS McPherson; KS Marion; KS Meade; KS Morton; KS Ness; KS Pawnee; KS Pratt; KS Reno; KS Rice; KS Rush; KS Scott; KS Seward; KS Stafford; KS Stanton; KS Stevens; KS Sumner, KS Wichita.

140 Salina, KS:
Non-SMSA Counties
KS Cheyenne; KS Cloud; KS Decatur; KS Dickinson; KS Ellis; KS Ellsworth; KS Gove; KS Graham; KS Jewell; KS Lincoln; KS Logan; KS Mitchell; KS Norton; KS Osborne; KS Ottawa; KS Phillips; KS Rawlins; KS Republic; KS Rooks; KS Russell; KS Saline; KS Sheridan; KS Sherman; KS Smith; KS Thomas; KS Trego; KS Wallace.

141 Topeka, KS:
SMSA Counties:
8440 Topeka, KS
KS Jefferson; KS Osage; KS Shawnee.
Non-SMSA Counties

Nebraska:
142 Lincoln, NE:
SMSA Counties:
4360 Lincoln, NE
NE Lancaster.
Non-SMSA Counties
NE Butler; NE Fillmore; NE Gage; NE Jefferson; NE Johnson; NE Nemaha; NE Otoe; NE Pawnee; NE Polk; NE Richardson; NE Saline, NE Seward; NE Thayer; NE York.

143 Omaha, NE:
SMSA Counties:
5920 Omaha, NE
IA Pottawattamie; NE Douglas; NE Sarpy.
Non-SMSA Counties
IA Adams; IA Audubon; IA Cass; IA Fremont; IA Harrison; LA Mills; IA Montgomery; IA Page; IA Shelby; IA Taylor; NE Burt; NE Cass; NE Colfax; NE Dodge; NE Platte; NE Saunders; NE Washington.

144 Grand Island, NE:
Non-SMSA Counties
NE Adams; NE Aurthe; NE Blaine; NE Boyd; NE Brown; NE Buffalo; NE Chase; NE Cherry; NE Clay; NE Custer; NE Dawso; NE Dundy; NE Franklin; NE Frontier; NE Fumas; NE Garfield; NE Gosper; NE Grant; NE Greeley, NE Hall; NE Hamilton; NE Harlan; NE Hayes; NE Hitchcock; NE Holt; NE Hooker; NE Howard; NE Kearney; NE Keith; NE Keya Paha; NE Lincoln; NE Logan; NE Loup; NE McPherson; NE Merrick; NE Nance; NE Nuckol; NE Perkins; NE Phelps; NE Red Willow; NE Rock; NE Sherman; NE Thomas; NE Valley; NE Webster; NE Wheeler.

145 Scottsbluff, NE:
Non-SMSA Counties
NE Banner; NE Box Butt; NE Cheyenne; NE Dawes; NE Deuel; NE Garden; NE Kimball; NE Morrill; NE Scotts Buff; NE Sheridan; NE Sioux; NE Goshen.

South Dakota:
146 Rapid City, SD:
SMSA Counties:
6660 Rapid City, SD
SD Pennington; SD Meade.
Non-SMSA Counties
SD Bennett; SD Buffalo; SD Butte; SD Campbell; SD Corson; SD Custer; SD Dewey (Armstrong); SD Fall River; SD Haakon; SD Harding; SD Hughes; SD Hyde; SD Jackson; SD Jones; SD Lawrence; SD Lyman; SD Mellette; SD Perkins; SD Potter;
SD Shannon (Washington); SD Stanley; SD Sully; SD Todd; SD Tripp; SD Walworth; SD Washabaugh; SD Ziebach; WY Crook; WY Niobrara; WY Weston.

147 Sioux Falls, SD:

SMSA Counties:
- 7760 Sioux Falls, SD
- SD Minnehaha.

Non-SMSA Counties
- IA Lyon; IA Osceola; MN Cottonwood; MN Jackson; MN Lincoln; MN Lyon; MN Murray; MN Nobles; MN Pipestone; MN Redwood; MN Rock; SD Aurora; SD Beadle; SD Brookens; SD Brule; SD Charles Mix; SD Davison; SD Douglas; SD Gregory; SD Hand; SD Hanson; SD Hutchinson; SD Jerauld; SD Kingsbury; SD Lake; SD Lincoln; SD McCook; SD Miner; SD Moody; SD Sanborn; SD Turner.

148 Aberdeen, SD:

Non-SMSA Counties
- SD Brown; SD Clark; SD Codington; SD Day; SD Deuel; SD Edmunds; SD Faulk; SD Grant; SD Hamlin; SD McPherson; SD Marshall; SD Roberts; SD Spink.

North Dakota:

149 Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN:

Non-SMSA Counties
- MN Becker MN Clay; MN Cass; MN Wilkin; ND Barnes; ND Dickey; ND Eddy; ND Foster; ND Griggs; ND La Moure; ND Logan; ND McIntosh; ND Ransom; ND Richland; ND Sargent; ND Steele; ND Stutsman; ND Traill.

150 Grand Forks, ND:

SMSA Counties:
- 2985 Grand Forks, ND
- MN Polk; ND Grand Forks.

Non-SMSA Counties
- MN Beltrami; MN Clearwater MN Hubbard; MN Kittson; MN Lake of the Woods; MN Mahnomen; MN Marshall; MN Norman; MN Pennington; MN Red Lake; MN Roseau; MN Benson; ND Cavalier; ND Nelson; ND Pembina; ND Ramsey; ND Towner; ND Walsh.

151 Bismarck, ND:

SMSA Counties:
- 1010 Bismarck, ND
- ND Burleigh; ND Morton.

Non-SMSA Counties
- ND Adams; ND Billings; ND Bowman; ND Dunn; ND Emmons; ND Golden Valley; ND Grant; ND Hettinger; ND Kidder; ND Mercer; ND Oliver; ND Sheridan; ND Sioux; ND Slope; ND Stark; ND Wells.

152 Minot, ND:

Non-SMSA Counties
- MT Daniels; MT Richland; MT Roosevelt; MT Sheridan; ND Bottineau; ND Burke; ND Divide; ND McHenry; ND McKenzie; ND McLean; ND Mountrail; ND Pierce; ND Renville; ND Rolette; ND Ward; ND Williams.

Montana:

153 Great Falls, MT:

SMSA Counties.
- 3040 Great Falls, MT
- MT Cascade.

Non-SMSA Counties
- MT Blaine; MT Broadwater; MT Chouteau; MT Fergus; MT Glacier; MT Hill; MT Jefferson; MT Judith Basin; MT Lewis and Clark; MT Liberty; MT Meagher; MT Petroleum; MT Phillips; MT Pondera; MT Teton; MT Toole; MT Valley; MT Wheatland.
154 Missoula, MT:
Non-SMSA Counties
MT Beaverhead; MT Deer Lodge; MT Flathead; MT Granite; MT Lincoln;
MT Madison; MT Mineral; MT Missoula; MT Powell; MT Ravalli; MT Sanders;
MT Silver Bow; MT Lake.

155 Billings, MT:
SMSA Counties:
0880 Billings, MT
MT Yellowstone.
Non-SMSA Counties
MT Big Horn; MT Carbon; MT Carter; MT Custer; MT Dawson; MT Fallon; MT
Gallatin; MT Garfield; MT Golden Valley; MT McCona; MT Musselshell; MT Park; MT
Powder River; MT Prairie; UT Rosebud; MT Stillwater, MT Sweet Grass; MT
Treasure; MT Wilbax; MT Yellowstone Nat'l Park; WY Big Horn; WY Hot Springs;
WY Park; WY Sheridan; WY Washakie.

Wyoming:
156 Cheyenne-Casper, WY:
Non-SMSA Counties
CO Jackson; WY Albany; WY Campbell; WY Carbon; WY Converse; WY Fremont
WY Johnson; WY Laramie; WY Natrona, WY Platte.

Colorado:
157 Denver, CO:
SMSA Counties:
2080 Denver-Boulder, CO
CO Adams; CO Arapahoe; C0 Boulder. CO Denver; CO Douglas; CO Gilpin; CO
Jefferson.
2670 Fort Collins, CO
CO Larimer.
3060 Greeley, CO
CO Weld.
Non-SMSA Counties
CO Cheyenne; CO Clear Creek; CO Elbert CO Grand; CO Kit Carson; CO Logan; CO Morgan;
CO Park; CO Phillips; CO Sedgwick; CO Summit; CO Washington; CO Yuma.

158 Colorado Springs-Pueblo, CO:
SMSA Counties:
1720 Colorado Springs, CO
CO EL Paso; CO Teller.
6560 Pueblo, CO
CO Pueblo.
Non-SMSA Counties
CO Alamosa; CO Baca; CO Bent; CO Chaffee; CO Conejos; CO Costilla; CO Crowley; CO
Custer; CO Fremont; CO Huerfano; CO Kiowa; CO Lake; CO Las Animas; CO Lincoln; CO
Mineral; CO Otero; CO Prowers; CO Rio Grande; CO Saguache.

159 Grand Junction, CO:
Non-SMSA Counties
CO Archuleta; CO Delta; CO Dolores; CO Eagle; CO Garfield; CO Gunnison; CO Hinsdale;
CO La Plata, CO Mesa; CO Moffat; CO Montezuma; CO Montrose; CO Ouray; CO Pitkin; CO
Rio Blanco; CO Rout; CO San Juan; CO San Miguel; UT Grand; UT San Juan.

New Mexico:
160 Albuquerque, NM:
SMSA Counties:
0200 Albuquerque, NM
NM Bernalillo; NM Sandoval.
Non-SMSA Counties
NM Citron. NM Colfax; NM De Baca; NM Guadalupe; NM San Juan; NM San Miguel; NM Santa Fe; NM Socorro; NM Taos; NM Torrance; NM Valencia.

Arizona:
161 Tucson, AZ:
SMSA Counties:
8520 Tucson, AZ______________________________24.1
AZ Pima.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________27.0
AZ Cochise; AZ Graham; AZ Greenlee; AZ Santa Cruz.
162 Phoenix, AZ:
SMSA Counties:
6200 Phoenix, AZ____________________________15.8
AZ Maricopa.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________19.6
AZ Apache; AZ Coconino; AZ Gila; AZ Mohave; AZ Navajo; AZ Pinal; AZ Yavapai; AZ Yuma.

Nevada:
163 Las Vegas, NV:
SMSA Counties:
4120 Las Vegas, NV____________________________13.9
NV Clark.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________12.6
NV Esmeralda; NV Lincoln; NV Nye; UT Beaver; UT Garfield; UT Iron; UT Kane; UT Washington.
164 Reno, NV:
SMSA Counties:
6720 Reno, NV____________________________8.2
NV Washoe.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________9.2
NV Churchill; NV Douglas; NV Elko; NV Eureka; NV Humboldt; NV Lander; NV Lyon; NV Mineral; NV Pershing; NV Storey; NV White Pine; NV Carson City

Utah:
165 Salt Lake City, Ogden, UT:
SMSA Counties
6520 Provo-Orem, UT____________________________2.4
UT Utah.
7160 Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT____________________6.0
UT Davis; UT Salt Lake; UT Tooele; UT Weber.
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________5.1
ID Bear Lake; ID Franklin; ID Oneida; UT Box Elder; UT Cache; UT Carbon; UT Daggett; UT Duchesne; UT Emery; UT Juab; UT Millard; UT Morgan; UT Piute; UT Rich; UT Sanpete; UT Sevier; UT Summit; UT Uintah -UT Wasatch; UT Wayne; WY Lincoln; WY Sublette; WY Sweetwater; WY Uinta.

Idaho:
166 Pocatello-Idaho Falls, ID:
Non-SMSA Counties____________________________4.0
ID Bannock; ID Bingham; ID Boline; ID Bonneville; ID Butte; ID Camas; ID Caribou; ID Cassia; ID Clark; ID Custer; ID Fremont; ID Gooding; ID Jefferson; ID Jerome; ID Lemhi; ID Lincoln; ID Madison; ID Minidoka; ID Power; ID Teton; ID Twin Falls; WY Teton.
167 Boise City, ID:
SMSA Counties:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Non-SMSA Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1080 Boise City, ID</td>
<td>ID Adams; ID Boise; ID Canyon; ID Elmore; ID Gem; ID Owyhee; ID Payette; ID Valley; ID Washington; OR Harney; OR Malheur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 Spokane, WA</td>
<td>ID Benewah; ID Bonner; ID Boundary; ID Clearwater; ID Idaho; ID Kootena; ID Latah; ID Lewis; ID Nez Perce; ID Shoshone; WA Adams; WA Asotin; WA Columbia; WA Ferry; WA Garfield; WA Lincoln; WA Pend Oreille; WA Stevens; WA Whitman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169 Richland, WA</td>
<td>OR Baker; OR Gilliam; OR Grant; OR Morrow; OR Umatilla; OR Union; OR Wallowa; OR Wheeler; WA Walla Walla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170 Yakima, WA</td>
<td>WA Chelan; WA Douglas; WA Grant; WA Kittitas; WA Okanagan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171 Seattle, WA</td>
<td>WA Clallam; WA Grays Harbor; WA Island; WA Jefferson; WA Kitsap; WA Lewis; WA Mason; WA Pacific; WA San Juan; WA Skaqil; WA Thurston; WA Whatcom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172 Portland, OR</td>
<td>OR Benton; OR Clatsop; OR Columbia; OR Crook; OR Deschutes; OR Hood River; OR Jefferson; OR Lincoln; OR Linn; OR Sherman; OR Tillamook; OR Wasco; OR Yamhill; WA Cowlitz; WA Klickitat; WA Skamania; WA Wahkiakum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173 Eugene, OR</td>
<td>OR Marion; OR Polk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© 2012, Ice Miller LLP and the Mechanical Contractors Association of America, Inc. • Page 118
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>SMSA Counties</th>
<th>Non-SMSA Counties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>OR Coos; OR Curry; OR Douglas; OR Jackson; OR Josephine; OR Klamath; OR Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redding, CA</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Lassen; CA Modoc; CA Plumas; CA Shasta; CA Siskiyou; CA Tehama.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eureka, CA</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Del Norte; CA Humboldt; CA Trinity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Lassen; CA Modoc; CA Plumas; CA Shasta; CA Siskiyou; CA Tehama.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas-Seaside-Monterey, CA</td>
<td>7120</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Monterey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland, CA</td>
<td>7360</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Alameda; CA Contra Costa; CA Marin; San Francisco; CA San Mateo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose, CA</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Santa Clara.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>7485</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Santa Cruz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valleto-Fairfield-Napa, CA</td>
<td>8720</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napa; Solano.</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Sonoma.-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake; Mendocino; CA San Benito.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento, CA</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Placer; CA Sacramento; CA Yolo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Yolo</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Butte; CA Colusa; CA El Dorado; CA Glenn; CA Nevada; CA Sierra; CA Sutter; CA Yuba.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton-Modesto, CA</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Stanislaus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modesto</td>
<td>5170</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA San Joaquin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine; Amador; CALaveras; Mariposa; Merced; Tuolumne.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno-Bakersfield, CA</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Kent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>0680</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td></td>
<td>CA Fresno.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings; Madera; Tulare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA</td>
<td>0360</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach</td>
<td>4480</td>
<td>CA Los Angeles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000 Oxnard-Simi Valley-Ventura, CA</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>CA Inyo; CA Mono; CA San Luis - Obispo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Ventura</td>
<td>6780 Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Riverside; CA San Bernadino.</td>
<td>7480 Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc, CA</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Santa Barbara.</td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181 San Diego, CA</td>
<td>CA Imperial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7320 San Diego, CA</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA San Diego.</td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182 Anchorage, AK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0380 Anchorage, AK</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK Anchorage Division.</td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK Aleutian Islands Division; AK Anagoon Division; AK Barrow-North Slope Division; AK Bethel Division; AK Bristol Bay Borough; AK Bristol Bay Division; AK Cordova McCarthy Division; AK Fairbanks Division; AK Haines Division; AK Juneau Division; AK Kenai-Cook Inlet Division; AK Ketchikan Division; AK Kobuk Division; AK Kodiak Division; AK Kuskokwim Division; AK Matanuska-Susitna Division; AK Nome Division; AK Outer Ketchikan Division; AK Prince of Wales Division; AK Seward Division; AK Sitka Division; AK Skagaway-Yakutat Division; AK Southeast Fairbanks Division; AK Upper Yukon Division; AK Valdez-Citina-Whittier Division; AK Wade Hampton Division; AK Wrangell-Petersburg Division; AK Yukan-Koyukuk Division.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183 Honolulu, HI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSA Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3320 Honolulu, HI</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI Honolulu.</td>
<td>Non-SMSA Counties</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI Hawaii; HI Kauai; HI Maui; HI Kalowao.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PREVENTING HARASSMENT

Although not related directly to collective bargaining, contractors and unions are each obligated to work to prevent harassment in the workplace, including on the jobsite. Federal law prohibits employment harassment on the basis of sex, race, color, national origin, religion, disability, and age. State and local laws may prohibit harassment based on other characteristics. Employers should develop, publish and enforce policies that prohibit harassment in the workplace by owners, managers, supervisors, fellow employees and customers. The following is a sample of a policy that can be a starting point for an employer to develop an anti-harassment policy for use in its own operations.

ANTI-HARASSMENT POLICY AND COMPLAINT PROCEDURE

Purpose

The Company’s policy is to promote a respectful work environment. In addition, the Company intends to maintain a workplace free of sexual and other harassment and intimidation, including harassment based on race, color, sex (with or without sexual conduct), religion, national origin, protected activity (i.e. opposition to prohibited discrimination or participation in the complaint process), age, disability, veteran status or any other protected categories. Harassment will not be tolerated by the Company. The Company is also committed to ensuring that its employees are not subjected to harassment by non-employees. Accordingly, this policy applies to management, non-management employees, customers, vendors, and others with whom we have a relationship.

What is Harassment?

Sexual and other harassment is a form of misconduct that undermines the integrity of the employment relationship. Harassment is not only offensive, but it may also harm morale and interfere with our effectiveness and our ability to fulfill our responsibilities to our customers. All employees must be allowed to work in an environment free from unsolicited and unwelcome sexual overtones and harassment in any form. It is also important to recognize that the workplace travels with us wherever we go (including conferences, meetings, casual get-togethers after work). Accordingly, harassment is not tolerated on Company property or any other location.

Sexual harassment, for purposes of this policy, is defined as unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when: (1) submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of the individual's employment; (2) submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual; or (3) such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment.

Examples of Conduct that Constitute Harassment

Sexual harassment does not mean occasional compliments of a socially acceptable nature. However, sexual harassment does include, but is not limited to, actions such as:

- sex-oriented verbal “kidding” or abuse, crude or offensive language, jokes, or pranks.
- possession, display, or distribution of photographs, drawings, objects, or graffiti of a sexual nature (employees should keep in mind that this type of material may not be placed on walls, bulletin boards, or elsewhere on Company property, nor should it be circulated in the workplace).
• subtle or other pressure for sexual activity.
• epithets, slurs, put-downs, negative stereotyping, or threatening, intimidating or hostile acts.
• physical conduct such as patting, pinching, or constant brushing against another's body.
• explicit demands for sexual favors, whether or not accompanied by implied or overt promises of preferential treatment or threats concerning an individual's employment status.
• offensive sexual flirtations, advances or propositions.
• any other offensive, hostile, intimidating, or abusive conduct of a sexual nature.

Keep in mind that this Policy applies not only to sexual harassment, but to harassment in general. Therefore, the above activities or conduct that relate to an individual’s race, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, religion, national origin, disability, and any other protected category may also violate our Policy. For example, written or graphic material that defames or shows hostility or aversion toward an individual or group (including religious groups) violate this Policy.

**Complaint Procedure**

We have adopted a complaint procedure that assures a prompt, thorough, and impartial investigation of all complaints, followed by swift and appropriate corrective action where warranted. We encourage employees to report harassment and other inappropriate conduct before it becomes severe or pervasive. While not all incidents of harassment violate the law, we intend to prevent and correct harassment and other inappropriate conduct before it rises to the level of a violation of law.

Any employee who believes that he or she has been a victim of some form of sexual or other harassment or other inappropriate conduct or behavior should report the incident immediately to _______________________.

No one will be subject to adverse treatment or retaliation because they report harassment or provide information concerning such reports.

**Responsibility of Supervisors, Managers and Others**

All supervisors and other members of management are held accountable for the effective administration of this Policy. If a supervisor or other member of management is advised of any alleged violation of this Policy, or if he/she independently observes conduct which may be prohibited by this policy, he/she must immediately report the matter to ________________ so that an appropriate investigation can be initiated. Under no circumstances will the individual who conducts the investigation or who has any direct or indirect control over the investigation be subject to the supervisory authority of the alleged harasser.

In addition to the above, any employee who is aware of any conduct or other circumstances that may violate this Policy must report this to ______________________.

**Confidentiality**

The complaint and information collected during such an investigation will be kept confidential to the extent possible and will not be disclosed unnecessarily or to persons not involved directly in conducting the investigation and determining what action, if any, to take in response to the complaint. Complete
confidentiality cannot be guaranteed because an effective investigation usually requires revealing certain information to the alleged harasser and potential witnesses.

**Remedial and Corrective Action to be Taken by the Company**

Following the receipt of a complaint, management will initiate a prompt investigation. Typically, this investigation will involve an initial interview with the complainant and interviews with any other individuals who are involved, including the accused employee. If, following a complaint of sexual or other harassment, an investigation reveals that some act of sexual or other harassment, or other inappropriate conduct or behavior, has occurred, prompt and appropriate corrective action will be taken. If no determination can be made because the evidence is inconclusive, the parties will be informed of this result and of any preventive measures that will be undertaken, which may include counseling, training, and/or monitoring.

The person who engaged in inappropriate conduct or behavior in violation of this Policy will be subject to sanctions or penalties, up to and including suspension and/or immediate termination of employment. If the offender is not a Company employee, we will take reasonable measures to the extent we can exercise any control over the problem.
DIGEST OF THE LM-2 OF EVERY UA LOCAL UNION
FROM THE DOL/OLMS WEBSITE

A chart is provided on the following pages.
The following information is comprised from the most recently available LM 2s on the US Department of Labor/Office of Labor Management Standards website. The information in this chart has been condensed by MCAA from the information available on the web. Complete information can be found by accessing: http://kcerds.dol-esa.gov/query/getOrgQry.do. Once at this address, the File Number can be used to search specific unions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 91</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>012-295</td>
<td>$1,380,759.00</td>
<td>$28,049.00</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 760</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>023-479</td>
<td>$994,677.00</td>
<td>$6,102.00</td>
<td>1,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 548</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>019-491</td>
<td>$98,959.00</td>
<td>$539.00</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 52</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>042-331</td>
<td>$1,234,552.00</td>
<td>$103,453.00</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 498</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>037-254</td>
<td>$1,002,047.00</td>
<td>$15,392.00</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 377</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>044-711</td>
<td>$957,649.00</td>
<td>$3,068.00</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 372</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>038-819</td>
<td>$815,029.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 119</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>018-927</td>
<td>$752,321.00</td>
<td>$29,782.00</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association AK</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>068-369</td>
<td>$12,008.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 375</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>006-193</td>
<td>$13,617,194.00</td>
<td>$5,040.00</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 367</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>024-701</td>
<td>$6,068,613.00</td>
<td>$385.00</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 262</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>044-522</td>
<td>$685,407.00</td>
<td>$2,868.00</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 469</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>027-528</td>
<td>$11,430,756.00</td>
<td>$9,951.00</td>
<td>3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association AR</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>066-670</td>
<td>$7,741.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 706</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>039-050</td>
<td>$5,32,787.00</td>
<td>$2,760.00</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 29</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>039-350</td>
<td>$631,199.00</td>
<td>$333,749.00</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 155</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>042-317</td>
<td>$1,346,526.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 78</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>028-033</td>
<td>$1,304,689.00</td>
<td>$45,221.00</td>
<td>1,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 761</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>023-640</td>
<td>$2,021,193.00</td>
<td>$51,398.00</td>
<td>1,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 709</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>044-134</td>
<td>$2,608,840.00</td>
<td>$160,913.00</td>
<td>1,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 62</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>017-233</td>
<td>$1,260,051.00</td>
<td>$272,864.00</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 582</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>019-544</td>
<td>$1,884,874.00</td>
<td>$21,349.00</td>
<td>859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 494</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>044-082</td>
<td>$960,150.00</td>
<td>$302,322.00</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 484</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>011-748</td>
<td>$75,106.00</td>
<td>$7,894.00</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 483</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>035-308</td>
<td>$4,507,221.00</td>
<td>$497,628.00</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 467</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>034-796</td>
<td>$4,749,032.00</td>
<td>$2,271.00</td>
<td>1,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 460</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>543-454</td>
<td>$296,302.00</td>
<td>$6,360.00</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 447</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>048-052</td>
<td>$6,874,759.00</td>
<td>$5,065.00</td>
<td>1,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 442</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>540-954</td>
<td>$2,220,440.00</td>
<td>$1,876.00</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 403</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>024-385</td>
<td>$338,686.00</td>
<td>$9,342.00</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 398</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>004-066</td>
<td>$1,753,955.00</td>
<td>$14,200.00</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 393</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>028-029</td>
<td>$11,931,089.00</td>
<td>$3,855,663.00</td>
<td>2,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 38</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>035-601</td>
<td>$27,688,064.00</td>
<td>$11,674,176.00</td>
<td>1,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 364</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>034-696</td>
<td>$626,096.00</td>
<td>$220,319.00</td>
<td>846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 355</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>533-906</td>
<td>$1,937,915.00</td>
<td>$38,290.00</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 345</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>063-064</td>
<td>$2,174,010.00</td>
<td>$1,085,640.00</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 343</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>048-580</td>
<td>$2,357,652.00</td>
<td>$415,734.00</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 342</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>033-320</td>
<td>$13,851,726.00</td>
<td>$386,681.00</td>
<td>3,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 250</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>048-054</td>
<td>$4,446,188.00</td>
<td>$3,787,596.00</td>
<td>4,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 246</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>047-775</td>
<td>$1,838,608.00</td>
<td>$139,274.00</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 230</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>022-553</td>
<td>$2,882,131.00</td>
<td>$1,676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 228</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>046-443</td>
<td>$828,239.00</td>
<td>$78,798.00</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 159</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>041-211</td>
<td>$1,249,608.00</td>
<td>$82,456.00</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 114</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>014-337</td>
<td>$1,056,364.00</td>
<td>$1,279.00</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Leadership Council CA</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>057-625</td>
<td>$2,798,394.00</td>
<td>$2,798,394.00</td>
<td>28,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council 36</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>001-950</td>
<td>$53,146.00</td>
<td>$53,146.00</td>
<td>1,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council 16</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>039-835</td>
<td>$4,759,520.00</td>
<td>$63,989.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association CO</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>031-010</td>
<td>$47,081.00</td>
<td>$1,209.00</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 58</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>026-335</td>
<td>$5,932,824.00</td>
<td>$6,209.00</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 3</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>023-480</td>
<td>$2,330,968.00</td>
<td>$54.00</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 208</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>042-108</td>
<td>$6,738,328.00</td>
<td>$7,817.00</td>
<td>1,523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 145</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>041-054</td>
<td>$1,559,263.00</td>
<td>$9,320.00</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connecticut</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association CT</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>007-837</td>
<td>$78,606.00</td>
<td>$320.00</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 777</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>530-948</td>
<td>$4,552,099.00</td>
<td>$80,499.00</td>
<td>3,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delaware</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association DE</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>543-930</td>
<td>$80,417.00</td>
<td>$10,107.00</td>
<td>1,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 782</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>029-117</td>
<td>$134,374.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 74</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>519-271</td>
<td>$10,928,259.00</td>
<td>$7,102,577.00</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District of Columbia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 5 and 602 are listed in MD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Florida</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 821</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>056-264</td>
<td>$1,428,112.00</td>
<td>$1,087.00</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 803</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>026-015</td>
<td>$1,647,043.00</td>
<td>$753,104.00</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 725</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>006-785</td>
<td>$2,935,011.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>1,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 719</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>035-086</td>
<td>$507,226.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 630</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>017-670</td>
<td>$3,046,774.00</td>
<td>$904.00</td>
<td>1,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 519</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>010-634</td>
<td>$866,208.00</td>
<td>$26,284.00</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 366</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>038-138</td>
<td>$287,443.00</td>
<td>$160,671.00</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 295</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>039-008</td>
<td>$350,921.00</td>
<td>$40,714.00</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 234</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>033-180</td>
<td>$1,839,827.00</td>
<td>$650.00</td>
<td>1,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 123</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>541-169</td>
<td>$2,165,193.00</td>
<td>$186,964.00</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>056-382</td>
<td>$153,220.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report data as of 09/15/2012
## US Department of Labor
### Union Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Georgia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 72</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>001-752</td>
<td>$9,873,625.00</td>
<td>$2,259,752.00</td>
<td>3,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 473</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>035-122</td>
<td>$7,760.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 188</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>040-555</td>
<td>$928,753.00</td>
<td>$36,701.00</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 177</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>036-656</td>
<td>$665,123.00</td>
<td>$97,537.00</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 150</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>044-909</td>
<td>$1,598,623.00</td>
<td>$10,456.00</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Leadership Council GA</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>060-129</td>
<td>$483,096.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hawaii</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 811</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>502-273</td>
<td>$27,993.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 675</td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>025-657</td>
<td>$21,065,715.00</td>
<td>$192,958.00</td>
<td>1,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idaho</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association ID</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>055-031</td>
<td>$359,373.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 648</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>039-492</td>
<td>$1,368,370.00</td>
<td>$44,908.00</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 296</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>014-020</td>
<td>$1,290,879.00</td>
<td>$3,390.00</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illinois</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association IL</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>530-862</td>
<td>$700,458.00</td>
<td>$112,207.00</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 99</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>031-925</td>
<td>$872,094.00</td>
<td>$29,506.00</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 93</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>025-166</td>
<td>$4,980,266.00</td>
<td>$135,030.00</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 653</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>021-522</td>
<td>$224,296.00</td>
<td>$3,436.00</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 65</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>022-892</td>
<td>$727,837.00</td>
<td>$14,322.00</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 63</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>033-178</td>
<td>$350,833.00</td>
<td>$56,751.00</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 597</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>016-412</td>
<td>$7,739,106.00</td>
<td>$1,964.00</td>
<td>9,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 553</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>013-039</td>
<td>$5,846,380.00</td>
<td>$43,110.00</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 551</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>003-178</td>
<td>$1,001,580.00</td>
<td>$814,914.00</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 501</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>540-949</td>
<td>$10,492,216.00</td>
<td>$2,308,296.00</td>
<td>2,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 439</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>022-612</td>
<td>$1,455,727.00</td>
<td>$15,526.00</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 360</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>004-503</td>
<td>$622,915.00</td>
<td>$13,719.00</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 353</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>045-512</td>
<td>$2,006,587.00</td>
<td>$7,039.00</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 281</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>036-737</td>
<td>$4,211,005.00</td>
<td>$195,670.00</td>
<td>1,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 25</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>025-912</td>
<td>$9,268,886.00</td>
<td>$1,702,046.00</td>
<td>1,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 23</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>007-224</td>
<td>$4,132,766.00</td>
<td>$14,780.00</td>
<td>762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 160</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>002-592</td>
<td>$2,062,208.00</td>
<td>$1,048,121.00</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 149</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>013-825</td>
<td>$1,026,606.00</td>
<td>$36,481.00</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 137</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>031-859</td>
<td>$3,311,963.00</td>
<td>$865,631.00</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 130</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>019-085</td>
<td>$13,365,133.00</td>
<td>$1,750,878.00</td>
<td>5,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 101</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>022-594</td>
<td>$6,057,301.00</td>
<td>$1,833,740.00</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council 34 IL</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>045-509</td>
<td>$453,004.00</td>
<td>$3,034.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indiana**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association IN</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>066-698</td>
<td>$2,572,702.00</td>
<td>$7,041.00</td>
<td>5,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 661</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>037-798</td>
<td>$154,381.00</td>
<td>$10,136.00</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 440</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>029-139</td>
<td>$8,345,599.00</td>
<td>$582,793.00</td>
<td>1,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 210</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>541-929</td>
<td>$919,789.00</td>
<td>$37,695.00</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 172</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>043-683</td>
<td>$2,249,521.00</td>
<td>$55,348.00</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 166</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>035-313</td>
<td>$2,822,444.00</td>
<td>$91,340.00</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 157</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>001-978</td>
<td>$5,322,902.00</td>
<td>$3,855.00</td>
<td>1,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 136</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>043-439</td>
<td>$2,334,948.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>1,352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Iowa**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 33</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>026-728</td>
<td>$4,019,651.00</td>
<td>$420,380.00</td>
<td>1,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 125</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>044-195</td>
<td>$981,435.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>1,127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Kansas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 441</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>542-788</td>
<td>$2,502,585.00</td>
<td>$15,718.00</td>
<td>1,365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Kentucky**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 633</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>035-163</td>
<td>$605,041.00</td>
<td>$6,926.00</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 502</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>543-051</td>
<td>$7,150,314.00</td>
<td>$77,356.00</td>
<td>1,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 452</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>007-949</td>
<td>$3,557,241.00</td>
<td>$29,794.00</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 248</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>010-425</td>
<td>$2,174,698.00</td>
<td>$6,235.00</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 184</td>
<td>KY</td>
<td>002-005</td>
<td>$1,646,696.00</td>
<td>$54,642.00</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Louisiana</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association LA</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>526-753</td>
<td>$646,309.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association LA</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>063-818</td>
<td>$74,476.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 659</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>513-665</td>
<td>$280,248.00</td>
<td>$1,911.00</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 60</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>037-884</td>
<td>$2,698,476.00</td>
<td>$146,372.00</td>
<td>2,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 247</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>040-029</td>
<td>$529,761.00</td>
<td>$29,423.00</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 198</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>003-618</td>
<td>$2,806,165.00</td>
<td>$20,239.00</td>
<td>1,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 141</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>016-902</td>
<td>$751,250.00</td>
<td>$5,973.00</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 106</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>021-168</td>
<td>$85,848.00</td>
<td>$20,100.00</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 716</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>540-792</td>
<td>$110,796.00</td>
<td>$5,272.00</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maryland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association MD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>540-948</td>
<td>$523,838.00</td>
<td>$35,406.00</td>
<td>8,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 669</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>059-937</td>
<td>$25,655,037.00</td>
<td>$181,890.00</td>
<td>11,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 602</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>002-953</td>
<td>$3,823,733.00</td>
<td>$11,250.00</td>
<td>3,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 536</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>037-478</td>
<td>$369,658.00</td>
<td>$3,428.00</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 5</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>020-400</td>
<td>$4,505,405.00</td>
<td>$123,770.00</td>
<td>1,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 489</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>001-314</td>
<td>$103,125.00</td>
<td>$15,530.00</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 486</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>526-810</td>
<td>$2,931,865.00</td>
<td>$7,813.00</td>
<td>2,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Leadership Council MD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>540-957</td>
<td>$43,417.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Massachusetts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 550</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>043-095</td>
<td>$1,038,965.00</td>
<td>$231,918.00</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 537</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>007-596</td>
<td>$12,027,555.00</td>
<td>$622,051.00</td>
<td>2,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 4</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>042-915</td>
<td>$2,949,057.00</td>
<td>$150,416.00</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 12</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>005-674</td>
<td>$3,447,509.00</td>
<td>$4,002.00</td>
<td>1,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 104</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>513-708</td>
<td>$903,413.00</td>
<td>$10,713.00</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report data as of 09/15/2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michigan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>054-059</td>
<td>$1,212,482.00</td>
<td>$1,302.00</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 98</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>005-131</td>
<td>$3,856,856.00</td>
<td>$1,275,248.00</td>
<td>1,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 85</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>040-102</td>
<td>$3,263,289.00</td>
<td>$141,783.00</td>
<td>1,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 704</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>025-963</td>
<td>$1,315,106.00</td>
<td>$3,554.00</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 671</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>058-719</td>
<td>$1,189,499.00</td>
<td>$253,955.00</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 636</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>022-479</td>
<td>$5,058,063.00</td>
<td>$7,718.00</td>
<td>2,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 506</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>017-265</td>
<td>$717,284.00</td>
<td>$99,511.00</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 370</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>029-171</td>
<td>$1,326,914.00</td>
<td>$6,022.00</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 357</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>541-086</td>
<td>$2,588,331.00</td>
<td>$9,111.00</td>
<td>693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 333</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>541-123</td>
<td>$971,794.00</td>
<td>$104,340.00</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 190</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>041-335</td>
<td>$4,840,832.00</td>
<td>$1,259,335.00</td>
<td>1,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 174</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>541-147</td>
<td>$4,959,806.00</td>
<td>$54,063.00</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minnesota</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association MN</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>537-334</td>
<td>$626,035.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>7,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 6</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>046-886</td>
<td>$601,142.00</td>
<td>$348.00</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 589</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>028-559</td>
<td>$890,770.00</td>
<td>$186,609.00</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 539</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>014-537</td>
<td>$1,092,416.00</td>
<td>$713.00</td>
<td>1,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 455</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>026-741</td>
<td>$9,278,684.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>1,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 417</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>048-304</td>
<td>$1,474,899.00</td>
<td>$2,253.00</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 340</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>017-509</td>
<td>$244,114.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 34</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>041-013</td>
<td>$1,000,401.00</td>
<td>$8,720.00</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 15</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>007-489</td>
<td>$3,028,898.00</td>
<td>$897,837.00</td>
<td>1,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 11</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>029-887</td>
<td>$2,184,068.00</td>
<td>$638,815.00</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mississippi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association MS</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>531-746</td>
<td>$6,709.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 714</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>021-749</td>
<td>$12,582.00</td>
<td>$33,868.00</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 619</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>022-191</td>
<td>$556,463.00</td>
<td>$130,941.00</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 568</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>024-633</td>
<td>$359,636.00</td>
<td>$2,084.00</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 436</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>041-056</td>
<td>$193,078.00</td>
<td>$243.00</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council MS</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>542-439</td>
<td>$194,580.00</td>
<td>$6,156.00</td>
<td>8,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 8</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>007-030</td>
<td>$2,255,327.00</td>
<td>$40,535.00</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 781</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>034-886</td>
<td>$295,242.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 562</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>035-932</td>
<td>$36,112,612.00</td>
<td>$221,795.00</td>
<td>4,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 533</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>035-249</td>
<td>$10,179,284.00</td>
<td>$619,755.00</td>
<td>1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 45</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>022-650</td>
<td>$439,021.00</td>
<td>$5,120.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 314</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>017-828</td>
<td>$1,133,975.00</td>
<td>$3,243.00</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 268</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>028-836</td>
<td>$4,493,960.00</td>
<td>$1,705,270.00</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 178</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>014-991</td>
<td>$882,514.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association MT</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>011-238</td>
<td>$50,053.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 459</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>039-109</td>
<td>$164,943.00</td>
<td>$1,585.00</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 41</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>021-752</td>
<td>$419,350.00</td>
<td>$465.00</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 30</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>011-399</td>
<td>$1,422,531.00</td>
<td>$12,818.00</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 464</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>006-618</td>
<td>$4,067,110.00</td>
<td>$4,057.00</td>
<td>826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 16</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>019-806</td>
<td>$851,015.00</td>
<td>$687.00</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association NV</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>517-857</td>
<td>$18,271.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 525</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>022-947</td>
<td>$10,767,630.00</td>
<td>$7,488.00</td>
<td>2,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 350</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>040-098</td>
<td>$909,321.00</td>
<td>$4,754.00</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 788</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>501-892</td>
<td>$5,284.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 131</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>069-389</td>
<td>$1,298,839.00</td>
<td>$24,699.00</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council 10 NH</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>543-638</td>
<td>$73,243.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association NJ</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>516-591</td>
<td>$95,716.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 9</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>032-930</td>
<td>$7,927,373.00</td>
<td>$908,214.00</td>
<td>2,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 855</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>511-432</td>
<td>$1,195,451.00</td>
<td>$26,530.00</td>
<td>1,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 696</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>020-817</td>
<td>$2,792,190.00</td>
<td>$36,304.00</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 475</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>025-078</td>
<td>$5,176,744.00</td>
<td>$109,369.00</td>
<td>1,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 322</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>023-760</td>
<td>$5,641,117.00</td>
<td>$45,828.00</td>
<td>1,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 274</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>035-654</td>
<td>$3,426,944.00</td>
<td>$44,928.00</td>
<td>1,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 24</td>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>544-251</td>
<td>$3,388,584.00</td>
<td>$96,977.00</td>
<td>1,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Mexico</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 412</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>039-924</td>
<td>$3,345,082.00</td>
<td>$113,292.00</td>
<td>1,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New York</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association NY</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>530-034</td>
<td>$91,623.00</td>
<td>$925.00</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 773</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>049-572</td>
<td>$2,848,167.00</td>
<td>$51,115.00</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 73</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>517-753</td>
<td>$2,315,785.00</td>
<td>$1,137,651.00</td>
<td>558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 7</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>002-630</td>
<td>$1,788,596.00</td>
<td>$99,087.00</td>
<td>1,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 638</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>035-070</td>
<td>$25,758,975.00</td>
<td>$366,281.00</td>
<td>7,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 373</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>022-477</td>
<td>$466,971.00</td>
<td>$48,759.00</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 267</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>517-594</td>
<td>$774,983.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 22</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>540-839</td>
<td>$2,704,825.00</td>
<td>$236,883.00</td>
<td>1,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 21</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>540-810</td>
<td>$4,151,779.00</td>
<td>$1,342,698.00</td>
<td>1,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 200</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>529-417</td>
<td>$2,101,855.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 13</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>055-764</td>
<td>$1,919,630.00</td>
<td>$2,343.00</td>
<td>1,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 128</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>022-860</td>
<td>$39,717.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 112</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>036-567</td>
<td>$1,591,179.00</td>
<td>$24,274.00</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 1</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>010-417</td>
<td>$41,305,101.00</td>
<td>$186,739.00</td>
<td>5,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Dakota</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>057-387</td>
<td>$4,110.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 300</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>540-995</td>
<td>$1,298,633.00</td>
<td>$42,533.00</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ohio</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>066-692</td>
<td>$1,331,407.00</td>
<td>$6,755.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 94</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>041-401</td>
<td>$1,723,872.00</td>
<td>$4,014.00</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Report data as of 09/15/2012*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 776</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>001-444</td>
<td>$1,073,981.00</td>
<td>$1,461.00</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 711</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>517-725</td>
<td>$16,057.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 577</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>003-615</td>
<td>$3,125,612.00</td>
<td>$106,252.00</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 55</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>011-734</td>
<td>$4,408,044.00</td>
<td>$81,648.00</td>
<td>1,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 50</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>037-153</td>
<td>$8,108,303.00</td>
<td>$253,188.00</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 495</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>045-468</td>
<td>$4,048,704.00</td>
<td>$5,659.00</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 42</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>038-337</td>
<td>$1,531,635.00</td>
<td>$233,677.00</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 396</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>541-194</td>
<td>$1,231,249.00</td>
<td>$861.00</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 392</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>035-688</td>
<td>$6,159,316.00</td>
<td>$67,914.00</td>
<td>2,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 219</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>005-186</td>
<td>$301,865.00</td>
<td>$3,022.00</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 189</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>002-751</td>
<td>$7,447,901.00</td>
<td>$128,664.00</td>
<td>1,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 168</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>035-725</td>
<td>$865,081.00</td>
<td>$993.00</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 162</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>027-715</td>
<td>$1,878,229.00</td>
<td>$143,634.00</td>
<td>829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 120</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>034-443</td>
<td>$6,198,037.00</td>
<td>$255,702.00</td>
<td>1,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 798</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>029-826</td>
<td>$61,833,886.00</td>
<td>$759,828.00</td>
<td>6,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 430</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>540-908</td>
<td>$2,285,010.00</td>
<td>$138,097.00</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 344</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>039-549</td>
<td>$2,935,874.00</td>
<td>$17,633.00</td>
<td>1,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 290</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>516-800</td>
<td>$8,666,401.00</td>
<td>$10,206.00</td>
<td>4,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>061-130</td>
<td>$118,130.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 692</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>022-875</td>
<td>$5,015,219.00</td>
<td>$487,249.00</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 690</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>034-585</td>
<td>$5,639,470.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 600</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>008-134</td>
<td>$26,196.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 542</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>514-825</td>
<td>$1,758,739.00</td>
<td>$3,995.00</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 524</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>016-187</td>
<td>$7,617,793.00</td>
<td>$14,514.00</td>
<td>751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 520</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>004-051</td>
<td>$8,420,793.00</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td>1,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 47</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>021-026</td>
<td>$1,338,887.00</td>
<td>$79,452.00</td>
<td>1,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 449</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>030-432</td>
<td>$8,549,087.00</td>
<td>$1,350,565.00</td>
<td>1,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization Name</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>File Number</td>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>Total Membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 420</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>001-114</td>
<td>$13,859,160.00</td>
<td>$140,662.00</td>
<td>4,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 354</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>070-019</td>
<td>$1,055,775.00</td>
<td>$125,175.00</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 27</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>016-785</td>
<td>$6,826,056.00</td>
<td>$1,111,347.00</td>
<td>854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>541-163</td>
<td>$4,108,420.00</td>
<td>$429,397.00</td>
<td>1,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 51</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>541-163</td>
<td>$4,108,420.00</td>
<td>$429,397.00</td>
<td>1,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>541-236</td>
<td>$1,535,410.00</td>
<td>$544,637.00</td>
<td>1,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>048-720</td>
<td>$95,694.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association TN</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>048-720</td>
<td>$95,694.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 854</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>515-318</td>
<td>$484.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 718</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>037-532</td>
<td>$126,630.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 702</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>517-554</td>
<td>$94,603.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 614</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>540-884</td>
<td>$1,886.00</td>
<td>$14,382.00</td>
<td>813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 572</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>026-782</td>
<td>$2,482,433.00</td>
<td>$3,032,169.00</td>
<td>1,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 538</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>036-312</td>
<td>$420,867.00</td>
<td>$2,034.00</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 43</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>032-241</td>
<td>$2,181,526.00</td>
<td>$188,096.00</td>
<td>1,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 17</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>026-550</td>
<td>$559,616.00</td>
<td>$49,085.00</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 102</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>012-950</td>
<td>$109,134.00</td>
<td>$39,174.00</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council TN</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>544-260</td>
<td>$269,035.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>061-516</td>
<td>$1,350,407.00</td>
<td>$114,000.00</td>
<td>12,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association TX</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>061-516</td>
<td>$1,350,407.00</td>
<td>$114,000.00</td>
<td>12,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 823</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>015-471</td>
<td>$327,916.00</td>
<td>$57,454.00</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 68</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>039-449</td>
<td>$7,068,943.00</td>
<td>$21,651.00</td>
<td>1,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 629</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>037-139</td>
<td>$127,277.00</td>
<td>$155,147.00</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 529</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>038-145</td>
<td>$155,075.00</td>
<td>$30,561.00</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 389</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>033-749</td>
<td>$297,046.00</td>
<td>$79,548.00</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 286</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>002-035</td>
<td>$5,293,772.00</td>
<td>$3,427,120.00</td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 211</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>039-301</td>
<td>$5,963,367.00</td>
<td>$250,192.00</td>
<td>2,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 196</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>010-560</td>
<td>$94,014.00</td>
<td>$1,461.00</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report data as of 09/15/2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 195</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>016-282</td>
<td>$464,695.00</td>
<td>$73,099.00</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 146</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>038-883</td>
<td>$1,079,910.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 142</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>034-872</td>
<td>$1,958,337.00</td>
<td>$25,747.00</td>
<td>1,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 100</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>035-293</td>
<td>$2,083,395.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>1,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council 0 TX</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>543-553</td>
<td>$19,229.00</td>
<td>$9,188.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 140</td>
<td>UT</td>
<td>543-224</td>
<td>$3,913,315.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>1,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association VA</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>066-979</td>
<td>$159,573.00</td>
<td>$5,789.00</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 851</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>066-450</td>
<td>$48,196.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 540</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>035-785</td>
<td>$402,383.00</td>
<td>$17,582.00</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 477</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>057-353</td>
<td>$28,000.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 376</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>516-131</td>
<td>$28,172.00</td>
<td>$441.00</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 272</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>501-586</td>
<td>$41,874.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 110</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>028-754</td>
<td>$1,354,050.00</td>
<td>$813.00</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 10</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>031-892</td>
<td>$1,025,895.00</td>
<td>$2,258.00</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 693</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>031-967</td>
<td>$878,774.00</td>
<td>$13,342.00</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association WA</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>002-166</td>
<td>$1,664,053.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>7,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 699</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>036-293</td>
<td>$2,307,399.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 598</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>032-421</td>
<td>$9,084,353.00</td>
<td>$1,854,086.00</td>
<td>1,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 44</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>037-857</td>
<td>$3,820,983.00</td>
<td>$20,272.00</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 32</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>030-830</td>
<td>$13,105,411.00</td>
<td>$36,608.00</td>
<td>3,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 26</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>542-367</td>
<td>$7,072,845.00</td>
<td>$12,101.00</td>
<td>2,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF District Council WA</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>507-090</td>
<td>$37,875.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>1,702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report data as of 09/15/2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>File Number</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
<th>Total Liabilities</th>
<th>Total Membership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>062-708</td>
<td>$1,164,929.00</td>
<td>$3,369.00</td>
<td>2,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association WV</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>003-478</td>
<td>$759,722.00</td>
<td>$13,012.00</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 625</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>043-206</td>
<td>$2,028,941.00</td>
<td>$138,384.00</td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 565</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>002-889</td>
<td>$732,451.00</td>
<td>$15,109.00</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 521</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>029-579</td>
<td>$949,444.00</td>
<td>$14,847.00</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 152</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>018-594</td>
<td>$3,876,778.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>067-887</td>
<td>$700,315.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>6,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF State Association WI</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>009-300</td>
<td>$5,329,408.00</td>
<td>$126,977.00</td>
<td>1,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 434</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>036-231</td>
<td>$7,635,668.00</td>
<td>$21,505.00</td>
<td>3,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 400</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>040-864</td>
<td>$3,226,783.00</td>
<td>$10,711.00</td>
<td>1,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 183</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>017-792</td>
<td>$1,278,334.00</td>
<td>$477,988.00</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF Local 118</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>040-611</td>
<td>$657,364.00</td>
<td>$4,736.00</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>WY</td>
<td>031-448</td>
<td>$718,688.00</td>
<td>$144,703.00</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>