

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

LEXISNEXIS® A.S. PRATT®

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER 2021

EDITOR'S NOTE: DECISIONS, AND MORE . . .

Victoria Prussen Spears

**SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS PARTIALLY RESUSCITATES TRIBUNE
LEVERAGED BUYOUT LITIGATION**

Benjamin Mintz and Justin Imperato

**A WARNING TO CONTRACT COUNTERPARTIES: A DEBTOR CAN SELL
YOUR FULLY PERFORMED CONTRACT WITHOUT CURING DEFAULTS
AND PAYING YOUR CLAIM IN FULL**

Andrew M. Troop and Andrew V. Alfano

**"TAKE THIS PILL, IT'S GOOD FOR YOU": FIFTH CIRCUIT CONCLUDES THAT FORCED
CHANGE OF CONTROL WAS NOT THE PRODUCT OF DURESS**

Arthur J. Steinberg, Jonathan W. Jordan, and Sarah L. Primrose

**CONTRACTUALLY REIMPOSING FIDUCIARY DUTIES IN AN LLC OPERATING
AGREEMENT DESPITE CLAUSE WAIVING FIDUCIARY DUTIES**

Glenn D. West

TRIMARK: ARE "SACRED RIGHTS" STILL SACROSANCT?

Jonathan T. Edwards, Michael G. Parisi, C. Jordan Myers, and Adam R. Monich

PROTECTING NET OPERATING LOSSES IN DISTRESSED INVESTMENTS

Matt Ehinger and Michael Ott

**DELAWARE BANKRUPTCY COURT REBUFFS U.S. TRUSTEE EFFORT TO COLLECT
STATUTORY FEES FROM LITIGATION TRUST DISTRIBUTIONS**

Jacob A. Adlerstein, Robert A. Britton, Claudia R. Tobler, and Miriam M. Levi

**ENGLISH COURT REFUSES TO APPROVE RESTRUCTURING PLAN BASED ON
CROSS-CLASS CRAMDOWN**

Philip Hertz, Lewis Cymbal, Gabrielle Ruiz, and Douglas Deutsch



LexisNexis

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

VOLUME 17

NUMBER 8

Nov./Dec. 2021

Editor's Note: Decisions, and More . . . Victoria Prussen Spears	395
Second Circuit Court of Appeals Partially Resuscitates Tribune Leveraged Buyout Litigation Benjamin Mintz and Justin Imperato	398
A Warning to Contract Counterparties: A Debtor Can Sell Your Fully Performed Contract Without Curing Defaults and Paying Your Claim in Full Andrew M. Troop and Andrew V. Alfano	405
"Take This Pill, It's Good for You": Fifth Circuit Concludes That Forced Change of Control Was Not the Product of Duress Arthur J. Steinberg, Jonathan W. Jordan, and Sarah L. Primrose	410
Contractually Reimposing Fiduciary Duties in an LLC Operating Agreement Despite Clause Waiving Fiduciary Duties Glenn D. West	413
<i>TriMark</i>: Are "Sacred Rights" Still Sacrosanct? Jonathan T. Edwards, Michael G. Parisi, C. Jordan Myers, and Adam R. Monich	419
Protecting Net Operating Losses in Distressed Investments Matt Ehinger and Michael Ott	425
Delaware Bankruptcy Court Rebuffs U.S. Trustee Effort to Collect Statutory Fees from Litigation Trust Distributions Jacob A. Adlerstein, Robert A. Britton, Claudia R. Tobler, and Miriam M. Levi	429
English Court Refuses to Approve Restructuring Plan Based on Cross-Class Cramdown Philip Hertz, Lewis Cymbal, Gabrielle Ruiz, and Douglas Deutsch	433

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

Kent K. B. Hanson, J.D., at 415-908-3207
Email: kent.hanson@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (973) 820-2000

For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:

Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>

For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call

Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

Library of Congress Card Number: 80-68780

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7846-1 (print)

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7988-8 (eBook)

ISSN: 1931-6992

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT'S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW [page number] ([year])

Example: Patrick E. Mears, *The Winds of Change Intensify over Europe: Recent European Union Actions Firmly Embrace the "Rescue and Recovery" Culture for Business Recovery*, 10 PRATT'S JOURNAL OF BANKRUPTCY LAW 349 (2014)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc. Copyright © 2021 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office
230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

SCOTT L. BAENA

Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP

ANDREW P. BROZMAN

Clifford Chance US LLP

MICHAEL L. COOK

Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP

MARK G. DOUGLAS

Jones Day

MARK J. FRIEDMAN

DLA Piper

STUART I. GORDON

Rivkin Radler LLP

PATRICK E. MEARS

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law is published eight times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2021 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

Protecting Net Operating Losses in Distressed Investments

*By Matt Ehinger and Michael Ott**

The authors of this article provide an overview of the rules pertaining to net operating losses in bankruptcy.

Many businesses recognized significant net operating losses or “NOLs” as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), generally allows many types of taxpayers (including individuals, estates and trusts, exempt organizations, and most C corporations) to utilize NOLs to offset taxable income in other tax years, subject to certain limitations. In fact, the CARES Act, as part of COVID-19 relief legislation, relaxed the limitation on carrying back NOLs for the 2018 through 2020 tax years, generally allowing NOLs to be carried back to each of the five taxable years preceding the loss year, in addition to the general rule allowing NOLs to be carried forward indefinitely following the loss year. As a result, NOLs can be valuable tax tool for a loss-recognizing corporation (the “Loss Corporation”).

However, NOLs are not freely transferable. The Code places limits on the extent to which a Loss Corporation may utilize an NOL following a change in ownership. While these limitations can be significant, importantly, there are exceptions for restructuring transactions completed through a bankruptcy proceeding. Accordingly, as with many other aspects of distressed investing, a bankruptcy proceeding may provide a valuable avenue for maximizing and preserving the value of NOLs.

OWNERSHIP CHANGES

Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code provides that if a Loss Corporation undergoes an “ownership” change, its NOLs are limited on a go forward basis. These rules generally provide that the Loss Corporation may only utilize NOLs generated prior to the change in ownership in any post-ownership change year up to a cap calculated initially by multiplying the equity value of the pre-ownership change corporation by the long-term tax-exempt rate (1.64

* Matt Ehinger is a partner in Ice Miller LLP’s Tax Group advising clients on a variety of tax matters, including federal and state tax planning, compliance and controversy, as well as guidance on international tax matters. Michael Ott is of counsel in the firm’s Bankruptcy and Restructuring Practice, where he counsels banks, financial institutions, and other creditors in distressed situations. The authors may be reached at matthew.ehinger@icemiller.com and michael.ott@icemiller.com, respectively.

percent as of May 2021). To the extent there are unused NOLs under the cap in any one year, such amounts are carried over to the next year.

For purposes of Code Section 382, an ownership change generally will be deemed to have occurred if any five percent owner of the corporation increased its ownership stake in the company by more than 50 percent in the prior three years.¹ These rules take into account any equity shifts as a result of most tax-free reorganizations under Code Section 368.

These limitations can always be burdensome, but the impact could be even greater for businesses undergoing ownership changes during the COVID-19 era. As detailed above, the mathematical cap (which determines the amount of NOLs that can be used on a go-forward basis) is determined in part by the valuation of the business prior to the ownership change. COVID-19 and the related fallout from the pandemic could have a significant impact on valuations of businesses. These circumstances combined with the low tax-exempt rate (which the second part of the Code Section 382 calculation), could greatly limit the amount of NOLs that can be used on a go-forward basis.

BANKRUPTCY EXCEPTIONS FOR LOSS CORPORATIONS

While the Code Section 382 NOL limitations could have a significant impact on a Loss Corporation, there are exceptions to the application of these rules. A principal exception is extended to Loss Corporations that are subject to bankruptcy proceedings. Specifically, Code Section 382 contains two separate exceptions for bankruptcy restructuring transactions.

First, there is no cap on the utilization of NOLs following a restructuring through a bankruptcy case of the Loss Corporation if the shareholders and qualified creditors of the Loss Corporation (as determined prior to bankruptcy restructuring), own 50 percent of the stock of the corporation (i.e., retain 50 percent of the voting power and 50 percent of the economic value), after the restructuring.² As suggested, two primary requirements must be satisfied for this so-called “bankruptcy exception” to apply:

¹ The specifics of the Internal Revenue Code Section 382 cap calculation and the ownership change test are highly technical and contain numerous exceptions and special rules. All taxpayers should consult with their tax professional to determine the application of and implications of the Code Section 382 to their specific situation.

² Specifically, 26 U.S.C. § 382(j)(5)(A)(ii) provides that the cap shall not apply if: the shareholders and creditors of the old loss corporation (determined immediately before such ownership change) own (after such ownership change and as a result of being shareholders and creditors immediately before such change) stock of the new loss corporation (or stock of a controlling corporation if also in bankruptcy) which meets the requirements of section 1504(a)(2) (determined by substituting “50 percent” for “80

- First, the Loss Corporation must have been under the jurisdiction of a court immediately before the ownership change in a Title 11 or similar case.
- Second, the former shareholders and qualified creditors of the bankrupt corporation must retain “control” after the ownership change.³

With respect to the second requirement, stock transferred to a creditor only counts towards the 50 percent threshold if the stock was transferred in satisfaction of indebtedness held by the creditor for at least 18 months prior to the filing of the bankruptcy case, or if the indebtedness arose in the ordinary course of business of the Loss Corporation and continued to be held by the original creditor.⁴ To the extent these requirements are satisfied, this allows the NOLs to be used going forward without the limitations imposed by the general Code Section 382 rules.

While valuable, this exception will be lost (and the cap will be set to zero) if there is a second ownership change within two years after the bankruptcy restructuring transaction.⁵ Additionally, while this bankruptcy exception contained within Code Section 382 eliminates the cap on the utilization of post-restructuring NOLs, the NOLs that can be utilized going forward will be reduced by the amount of any deductions that the Loss Corporation took within the prior three years with respect to interest payments made to creditors that received stock in the restructuring transaction.⁶ These are some of the considerations that must be taken into account when evaluating the viability of the “bankruptcy exception” to Code Section 382.

Additionally, to the extent that the Loss Corporation does not qualify for the bankruptcy exception (or elects not to utilize the exception), then under Code Section 382(l)(6), following a bankruptcy restructuring, the Code Section 382 cap is calculated to account for any increase in value of the corporation resulting from any surrender or cancellation of creditor’s claims in the restructuring. The

percent” each place it appears). Section 1504(a)(2) provides that corporations will be considered part of an affiliated group either entity (or a common parent) owns at least 80 percent of the total voting power and 80 percent of the total value of the other entity.

³ This article is designed to provide an overview of these rules. As one might expect, there is a great amount of detail to these rules that must be satisfied to take advantage of the exceptions discussed herein. Additionally, the Internal Revenue Service has challenged taxpayer’s claiming these exceptions to the extent that restructurings are undertaken principally for tax avoidance purposes.

⁴ 26 U.S.C. § 382(l)(5)(E).

⁵ *Id.* § 382(l)(5)(D).

⁶ *Id.* § 382(l)(5)(B).

valuation of a business could be increased substantially as a result of such claims being mitigated, which could have a corresponding positive impact on the cap for calculating the NOLs going forward.⁷

These specialized NOLs rules related to bankruptcy proceedings provide potentially valuable planning considerations. For example, a corporation with a large NOL may find bankruptcy as an attractive avenue for obtaining an equity infusion. Under the bankruptcy exception to the NOL limitations, a new investor could potentially obtain up to 50 percent of the Loss Corporation's equity, without effecting the value of the NOL, provided that the Loss Corporation's existing shareholders and qualified creditors retain the remaining 50 percent equity.

OTHER BANKRUPTCY ADVANTAGE

Reorganizing through a bankruptcy proceeding has another advantage when it comes to preserving and maximizing the value of NOLs. A debtor with significant NOLs can typically obtain an order from the bankruptcy court early in its bankruptcy case requiring large shareholders of the corporation to notify the debtor before engaging in any material trading of the debtor's equity, and voiding any transfers made without notice. Such an order provides some protections against the debtor-taxpayer losing its NOLs based upon actions of its shareholders that would normally be outside of a taxpayer's control.

CONCLUSION

Given the scale of the COVID-19 downturn, NOLs generated could be a valuable asset for some time. Preserving and protecting their value will be critically important in the coming years and require careful planning that all Loss Corporations should evaluate and consider.

⁷ A taxpayer may elect to apply the cap under Section 382(l)(6) rather than utilize the bankruptcy exception under Section 382(l)(5). This may be useful if the taxpayer anticipates that a subsequent sale will occur within two years after the restructuring.