

AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION

JUNE 2017

VOL. 3 • NO. 5

PRATT'S
**PRIVACY &
CYBERSECURITY
LAW**
REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: PRIVACY RIGHTS CALLING Victoria Prussen Spears

PLAINTIFFS FACE CHALLENGES IN CELLULAR PHONE APPLICATION PRIVACY LITIGATION
Michael J. Stortz, Justin O. Kay, and Jessica R. Medina

ON THE HEELS OF FINDING UNEXPECTED DATA TRACKING UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE, THE FTC ISSUES GUIDANCE ON CROSS-DEVICE TRACKING
Alan L. Friel and S. Benjamin Barnes

YOUR PRIVACY POLICY NEEDS UPDATING: THE CALIFORNIA ONLINE PRIVACY PROTECTION ACT AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR YOUR BUSINESS
Nicholas R. Merker, Stephen E. Reynolds, and Martha O'Connor

GUNS AT WORK: EXPANSION OF OHIO'S CONCEALED CARRY RIGHTS
Janay M. Stevens

MANAGING CYBER RISKS: TIPS FOR PURCHASING INSURANCE THAT WORKS FOR YOUR BUSINESS - PART II
Omid Safa, James S. Carter, and Jared Zola

NINTH CIRCUIT WIDENS CIRCUIT SPLIT ON WHETHER DODD-FRANK PROTECTS INTERNAL WHISTLEBLOWING
Jack S. Gearan and Todd D. Wozniak

TOP 10 TAKEAWAYS FROM SAMHSA'S RECENT UPDATE OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER CONFIDENTIALITY REGULATIONS
Jennifer R. Breuer and Gregory E. Fosheim

ILLINOIS CONTINUES LEGISLATIVE EFFORTS AIMED AT PROTECTING CONSUMERS' PRIVACY RIGHTS
Aaron K. Tantleff and Julia K. Kadish

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report

VOLUME 3

NUMBER 5

JUNE 2017

Editor's Note: Privacy Rights Calling

Victoria Prussen Spears

157

Plaintiffs Face Challenges in Cellular Phone Application Privacy Litigation

Michael J. Stortz, Justin O. Kay, and Jessica R. Medina

159

On the Heels of Finding Unexpected Data Tracking Unfair and Deceptive, the FTC Issues Guidance on Cross-Device Tracking

Alan L. Friel and S. Benjamin Barnes

163

Your Privacy Policy Needs Updating: The California Online Privacy Protection Act and Its Implications for Your Business

Nicholas R. Merker, Stephen E. Reynolds, and Martha O'Connor

169

Guns at Work: Expansion of Ohio's Concealed Carry Rights

Janay M. Stevens

172

Managing Cyber Risks: Tips for Purchasing Insurance That Works for Your Business – Part II

Omid Safa, James S. Carter, and Jared Zola

175

Ninth Circuit Widens Circuit Split on Whether Dodd-Frank Protects Internal Whistleblowing

Jack S. Gearan and Todd D. Wozniak

180

Top 10 Takeaways from SAMHSA's Recent Update of Substance Use Disorder Confidentiality Regulations

Jennifer R. Breuer and Gregory E. Fosheim

185

Illinois Continues Legislative Efforts Aimed at Protecting Consumers' Privacy Rights

Aaron K. Tantleff and Julia K. Kadish

190

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the **Editorial Content** appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:
Deneil C. Targowski at 908-673-3380
Email: Deneil.C.Targowski@lexisnexis.com
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:
Customer Services Department at (800) 833-9844
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (518) 487-3385
Fax Number (800) 828-8341
Customer Service Web site <http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/>
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call
Your account manager or (800) 223-1940
Outside the United States and Canada, please call (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3362-4 (print)
ISBN: 978-1-6328-3363-1 (eBook)

ISSN: 2380-4785 (Print)
ISSN: 2380-4823 (Online)

Cite this publication as:
[author name], [*article title*], [vol. no.] PRATT’S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [page number]
(LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);
Laura Clark Fey and Jeff Johnson, *Shielding Personal Information in eDiscovery*, [1] PRATT’S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [159] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt™ Publication
Editorial

Editorial Offices
630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800
201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200
www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW  BENDER

(2017–Pub. 4939)

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

EMILIO W. CIVIDANES

Partner, Venable LLP

RICHARD COHEN

Special Counsel, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

CHRISTOPHER G. C WALINA

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

RICHARD D. HARRIS

Partner, Day Pitney LLP

DAVID C. LASHWAY

Partner, Baker & McKenzie LLP

CRAIG A. NEWMAN

Partner, Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP

ALAN CHARLES RAUL

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

AARON P. SIMPSON

Partner, Hunton & Williams LLP

RANDI SINGER

Partner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

JOHN P. TOMASZEWSKI

Senior Counsel, Seyfarth Shaw LLP

TODD G. VARE

Partner, Barnes & Thornburg LLP

THOMAS F. ZYCH

Partner, Thompson Hine

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report is published nine times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 718.224.2258. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974.

Your Privacy Policy Needs Updating: The California Online Privacy Protection Act and Its Implications for Your Business

*By Nicholas R. Merker, Stephen E. Reynolds, and Martha O'Connor**

The California Online Privacy Protection Act requires that businesses that collect any personally identifiable information from a consumer residing in California must make certain privacy policy disclosures. The authors of this article review the Act and discuss consumer reporting of website or app violations and business compliance.

If your company's website or app does not include certain disclosures about users' privacy and how their information is used, you may be in violation of the law. The California Online Privacy Protection Act ("CalOPPA")¹ requires that businesses that collect any personally identifiable information ("PII") from a consumer residing in California (name, address, email address, phone number, social security number) must make certain privacy policy disclosures. Businesses must also state whether third parties can collect PII.

BACKGROUND

California has always been on the leading edge of online privacy practices. In fact, online privacy policies did not exist until CalOPPA went into effect in 2004. And thanks to a 2013 amendment to CalOPPA, California is the only state that requires websites to disclose whether they will honor Do Not Track signals, which signal websites when visitors indicate they do not wish to be monitored. California also has a unique law called the "Shine the Light" law,² which requires companies to disclose details of the third parties with whom they have shared users' personal information, at the request of the individual. The "Shine the Light" law is attractive to plaintiffs' attorneys because it provides for statutory penalties of \$500-\$3,000 per violation. Although the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit threw out three related class actions³ because the plaintiffs had failed to allege they submitted requests for the disclosure information, companies should not become complacent.

* Nicholas R. Merker is a partner at Ice Miller LLP and co-chair of its Data Security and Privacy Practice. Stephen E. Reynolds is a partner in the firm's Litigation Group and co-chair of the Data Security and Privacy Practice. Martha O'Connor is an associate in the firm's Litigation Group and is a member of its Data Security and Privacy Group. The authors may be reached at nicholas.merker@icemiller.com, stephen.reynolds@icemiller.com, and martha.o'connor@icemiller.com, respectively.

¹ Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 22575-22579.

² Cal. Civil Code §§ 1798.83-1798.84.

³ *Miller v. Hearst Communications, Inc.*, 554 Fed.Appx. 657 (9th Cir. 2014); *King v. Conde Nast Publications*, 554 Fed.Appx. 545 (9th Cir. 2014); and *Baxter v. Rodale, Inc.*, 555 Fed.Appx. 728 (9th Cir. 2014).

Indeed, “Shine the Light” has encouraged businesses to be thorough in their record-keeping in case they do receive such a request. Now, California has again stepped to the forefront of privacy protection.

REPORTING WEBSITES OR APPS

On October 14, 2016, California Attorney General Kamala Harris implemented processes by which consumers themselves can report websites or apps that are noncompliant. The Attorney General hopes this new system will improve the ability of the California Department of Justice to enforce the provisions of CalOPPA. To make a report, consumers need only visit the website⁴ and fill out a simple online form to report violations of specific websites or apps they encounter. These potential violations include:

- (1) a missing privacy policy;
- (2) a privacy policy that is too difficult to locate;
- (3) an incomplete privacy policy;
- (4) a failure to provide a notice of a material change to a privacy policy;
- (5) a company not abiding by the representations it made in its privacy policy.

The online form asks a few simple questions about the alleged violation, and a consumer does not have to volunteer his or her personal information. It takes just a few moments to fill out. This initiative is effective immediately.

COMPLIANCE

Many websites and app developers such as Apple, Google Play, Facebook, and Amazon have already taken steps to ensure compliance with the law. In 2012, they voluntarily agreed to principles articulated by Harris in order to improve the privacy protections for consumers who use apps and websites. These safeguards include ensuring a consumer has an opportunity to read the app’s privacy policy before, rather than after, downloading the app, and standardizing the location in which a consumer can locate the privacy policy on the app’s download screen. The agreement also ensured that apps and websites disclosed to consumers how they used certain information. This new reporting process builds on the 2012 agreement by including consumers in the reporting process.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR WEBSITE OPERATORS AND APP DEVELOPERS?

It is more important than ever to be certain that your website or app complies with all relevant state and federal laws. Note that even if your business or website is not

⁴ <https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/caloppa/complaint-form>.

based in California, it is subjected to CalOPPA and the “Shine the Light” law if any of your consumers or users reside in California. This essentially includes all websites and apps. These disclosure requirements are of particular concern with health and fitness apps, as they collect certain sensitive data about users’ health, including weight, blood pressure, and other measures of wellness. A Future of Privacy Forum study, which was cited in the California Attorney General’s press release, notes that these types of mobile apps are less likely to have privacy policies than other types of apps.

Any business that violates CalOPPA is sent a notice and has 30 days to bring its website or app into compliance. Although there is no private right of action under CalOPPA, the California Attorney General has enforcement powers. A violation of CalOPPA carries a penalty of \$2,500 per violation, which, given the number of users any given website or app may have, can certainly add up. In 2012, the California Attorney General sued Delta Air Lines for its failure to include a privacy policy with its mobile app, though that suit was eventually dismissed on federal law preemption grounds. Now, with California’s new online reporting system, coupled with a recent partnership with Carnegie-Mellon University to identify mobile applications that violate CalOPPA, such scrutiny is likely to continue. Compliance programs ensuring proper documentation of appropriate privacy policies are absolutely essential nowadays. A company’s privacy policy cannot be a mere afterthought or footnote, and cookie cutters just won’t work anymore, because the consequences for violating CalOPPA can be so steep. And with the public enlisted as reporters, it’s “all hands on deck” for enforcement. Times have changed, and new online privacy laws are “shining the light” on your business.